The United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) reports over 80 million people are displaced worldwide with approximately 26.3 million categorized as refugees and over a million residing temporarily in South East Asia. Despite the lack of national legislative framework in place for refugees and asylum seekers (RAS), Malaysia hosts approximately 178,140 as registered with UNHCR and the majority originate from Myanmar. In this review, we examine refugees from South East Asia, particularly from Myanmar that have contributed to the largest influx of refugees to this region with a focus on their health status. The present study traces barriers to the health care of refugees in the country of asylum and also the challenges faced by these communities in accessing health services.
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INTRODUCTION

A refugee is a person who, according to the Article 1, 1951 Convention on Refugees, resides outside his/her country of nationality or habitual residence and has a well-founded fear of persecution due to race, religion, nationality and membership of a particular social or political group. A refugee is also unable or unwilling to avail himself/herself to protection within that country or to return there, for fear of persecution. Therefore, individuals in this category require basic rights to independence, protection, family life, safety and freedom of movement. While Article 23 of the Refugee Convention and Article 25 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights guarantee the rights of refugees not only to access services for physical and mental health but also education and justice (UNHCR, 2010).

According to the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), global forced displacement has surpassed 82 million at the end of 2020 with 26.4 million being refugees (UNHCR, 2021a). Over half the numbers originate from five countries such as the Syrian Arab Republic (6,700,000), Venezuela (4,000,00), Afghanistan (2,600,00), South Sudan (2,200,000) and Myanmar (1,100,000). Approximately 39% of refugees worldwide reside in Turkey (3,700,000) followed by Colombia (1,700,000), Pakistan (1,400,000), Uganda (1,400,000) and Germany (1,200,000).

Countries such as Malaysia, Bangladesh, Thailand and Indonesia have not ratified the 1951 Refugee Convention relating to the status of refugees and the 1967 protocol. However, due to humanitarian grounds, these countries, which have a long tradition of hosting refugees continue to host them. The lack of a legal framework to manage RAS results in limited access to basic rights to health, education, and livelihoods. RAS end up working in the informal sector and are often subject to abuse and exploitation.

Migration usually happens as a result of a combination of “push and pull” factors that are inter-related and often mutually dependent. Unlike migrants, RAS flee as a result of push factors such as poverty, political violence or persecution, war and human rights abuses that force them to leave their country. In addition, RAS must undergo a Refugee Status Determination (RSD) process in order to be recognised. In Malaysia, this process is organised by UNHCR.

While the UNHCR’s primary objective is to protect refugees’ rights and well-being, its ultimate goal is to assist in the development of durable solutions which aim to ensure safe and sustainable outcomes for refugees. Three solutions are available including voluntary repatriation (refugees who have decided to return home), local integration (when refugees are integrated into communities in their country of asylum) or resettlement to a third country (that has agreed to admit them and ultimately grant them permanent
settlement) where it is impossible for refugees to return home or stay in the host country (UNHCR, n.d.-a). UNHCR reported about 1.1 million refugees around the world have become citizens of their asylum countries in the last decade (UNHCR, n.d.-a). Of the millions of refugees of concern to UNHCR globally, only an estimated one per cent of refugees is submitted for resettlement. The vast majority of refugees from Malaysia and Indonesia resettled in Australia, Canada, Denmark, United States, Sweden, New Zealand, and the Czech Republic and where they have family relations (IRS Asia Pacific, 2012). In Malaysia, resettlement became the primary durable solution for refugees with nearly 110,000 refugees submitted for resettlement in the United States of America (86%), Australia (8%), New Zealand (2%), Canada (2%), Norway (1%) and Denmark (1%) (UNHCR, n.d.-a).

Refugees in Asia

Asia has been at the forefront as a transit point for entry among refugees in the past including Bangladesh, Thailand, Malaysia and Indonesia, followed by Africa and the Middle East. Southeast Asia (SEA), has served as Asia’s largest entry point with more than 1 million refugees arriving mainly from Myanmar (UNHCR, 2019a, 2021b) with Rohingyas being recognized as the most vulnerable population amongst all displaced people worldwide (Pugh, 2013; Equal Rights Trust, 2014).

Myanmar, formerly known as Burma, comprises more than 100 ethnic groups with the largest minority groups being the Shan (9%) and Karen (7%). Other minority ethnic groups include Mon, Karen, Rakhine, Chin, Kareneni and many more that constitutes 5% or less of the population (Ekeh & Smith, 2007). Apart from persecution conflict between these groups was largely due to ethnic and religious discrimination (Chambers, 2015a, 2015b). Karen, Kareneni and Chin ethnic groups faced violent conflicts, horrific violations of human rights and oppression by the central military government (Christian Solidarity Worldwide, 2006; Ekeh & Smith, 2007). Like many other ethnic minorities in Myanmar, the Rohingya Muslims and Chin also suffered routine discrimination especially on the status of citizenship and abuse by the government (Charney, 2005; Zarni & Cowley, 2014; Tran, 2015).

The creation of Myanmar’s 1982 Citizenship Law stripped nationality status from the Rohingyas and declared them as stateless (Burma Citizenship Law, 1982), resulting in the lack of rights to medical treatment and education, exclusion from employment and other opportunities. This citizenship law only acknowledges families residing in the country before independence in 1948. The government of Myanmar continues the systematic persecution of Rohingyas, even though the elected government attempted to strengthen the human rights situation in Myanmar in 2015 (Ganguly and Miliate, 2015).

In view of humanitarian needs, proximity and similarity in faith, most Rohingyas seek refuge in Bangladesh, followed by Malaysia and Thailand (Mahmood et al., 2017; Stange et al., 2019) with many travelling to neighbouring countries via land and sea. The first forced migration of Rohingya refugees to Bangladesh was in 1978 and then in 1991-1992 (Smith, 1995). During these two periods, almost 250,000 people migrated to Bangladesh and relocated into government-led camps in Cox’s Bazar in south-eastern Bangladesh (Al Imran & Mian, 2014), currently largest refugee camp in the world. In August 2017, continued violence resulted in a mass migration of internally displaced persons (IDPs), mostly Rohingya refugees, into Bangladesh (UNHCR, 2019b) reaching a total of 884,041 by the end of March 2021 (UNHCR, 2021c). In Thailand up to 91,479 Myanmar’s refugees are housed in nine Royal Thai Government (RTG)-run “temporary shelters” along the Thai-Myanmar border, whilst 5,000 urban refugees and asylum seekers together with 550,000 stateless people from other countries reside in different areas in the country (UNHCR, 2021d). Majority of Karen and Kareneni refugees left for Thailand to reside in these “temporary shelters” as early as the 1980s (Bowles, 1998). On 10 January 2017, the Thai government passed a resolution to establish a framework for screening and managing refugees and undocumented migrants (Fortify Rights, 2018), which was a positive step towards ensuring RAS have access to human rights, status, and protection. This led to the implementation of the Compulsory Migrant Health Insurance program for undocumented migrants, including refugees, to seek medical treatment in Thai public hospitals (Guinto et al., 2015). As of March 2021, refugee groups from Myanmar occupying nine camps on the Thai-Myanmar border are mainly Karen, Kareneni and Burmese (UNHCR, 2021d).

Indonesia is a transit country where significant numbers of RAS reside temporarily with Australia being the intended final destination (Hugo et al., 2017). As of March 2021, there were 10,184 refugees registered with the UNHCR office in Indonesia, the majority originating from Afghanistan (56%), followed by Somalia (10%), Iraq (5%) and Myanmar (5%) (UNHCR, 2021e). As in the case of neighbouring countries, the 1951 Refugee Convention does not also apply to Indonesia, but the Indonesian government has given UNHCR the authority to provide shelter and durable solutions for these communities. A large proportion of refugees to Indonesia originate from Afghanistan, Myanmar and Somalia, and mainly live in community accommodation (UNHCR, 2016a). During the Bay of Bengal and Andaman Sea Crisis in May 2015, the Indonesian government assisted with the disembarking of 1,000 Rohingya refugees and 800 Bangladeshi migrants onto their shores and provided basic assistance, medical services, education and shelter while durable solutions were sought (UNHCR, 2016b). Under the UNHCR’s mandate, RAS has access to low-cost medical treatment provided by public healthcare facilities known as ‘Puskesmas’ (UNHCR, 2017).

Similar to Indonesia’s standing, Malaysia have been hosting refugees on humanitarian grounds. A circular in 2005 from the Attorney General’s office provides a degree of immunity from prosecution for illegal entry of RAS registered with UNHCR in Malaysia (UNHCR, 2016c). However, there is no provision for access to formal education and limited access to affordable healthcare. The push factors in host countries have been key in the arrival of refugees in Malaysia.

As there is a lack of prospects of local integration in Malaysia, UNHCR-Malaysia focuses on transitional agreements to find temporary solutions, including advocacy to develop policy frameworks that would enable refugees not only to work lawfully but also to ensure access to affordable healthcare in government-run facilities and education (UNHCR, n.d.-a). The summary number of refugees from 2010 to 2020 from four transit countries in Bangladesh and Southeast Asia are presented in Table 1 (The World Bank, 2020).

Due to the ongoing global migration and refugee crisis, the medical needs of newly arrived refugees have become pressing issue. Exposure to overcrowding, insufficient water supply, starvation, poor hygiene and sanitation, and physical and psychological stresses lead to an array of diseases (ISCN, 2018; Sultana, 2011). Thus, before being accepted for permanent resettlement, refugees must pass an overseas
Acehnese have been repatriated since then.

Many years, the right to work and access healthcare services were permitted to enter and reside in the territory for two years, the right to work and access healthcare services and access to primary education on a discretionary basis. Many Acehnese have been repatriated since then.

Malaysia has been a destination of choice for refugees for the past 45 years and at the end of May 2021, among the 32.75 million population of Malaysia, 2.84 million were non-citizens (Bernama, 2021) with 179,570 being RAS. Malaysia continues to host refugees from Myanmar’s troubled ethnic minorities, especially the stateless Rohingya. The summary for number of Myanmar refugees in Malaysia from 2010 to 2020 are given in Table 2 (Statista, 2020). According to UNHCR (2021f), the majority of Myanmar refugees in Malaysia comprise Rohingyas (57.3%), Chins (12.5%) and other sub-ethnic groups (16.4%). The remaining 24,700 (13.8%) originate from Pakistan, Yemen, Somalia, Syria, Afghanistan, Sri Lanka, Iraq, Palestine and other countries.

In Malaysia, there is currently no large-scale voluntary repatriation program as the political instability in Myanmar has hindered UNHCR’s efforts to safely return ethnic minorities to their country of origin (UNHCR, n.d.-a). According to Wake and Cheung (2016), repatriation of Rohingya refugees without legal status in Myanmar, is unlikely to be an option in the foreseeable future. Without any legal rights to live in Myanmar, most refugees are not only unable to work but also have very limited access to quality healthcare and education (UNHCR, n.d.-b).

Refugees residing in Malaysia and camps such as Cox’s Bazar in Bangladesh, may face nearly identical issues. However, the living conditions in camps, on the other hand, are far worse with; overcrowding, limited power and basic sanitation facilities. Floods, landslides, fires, and other disasters are a constant threat to the camp residents where most live in temporary shelters built with bamboo and tarpaulins, or sometimes without shelter entirely. Unlike other RAS, refugees in Malaysia are known as urban refugees because they live in urban or peri-urban area rather than a camp run by a designated authority or UNHCR. Most reside in the Klang Valley region, which comprises Kuala Lumpur and Selangor and other surrounding cities such as, Pulau Pinang and Johor with the majority living in large groups and cramped spaces in low-cost housing with proximity to the local community (Amara & Aljunid, 2014; Nah, 2010). Refugees living in Malaysia share issues common with the urban poor such as overcrowding, violence, limited access to health, social services and education (Grabksa, 2006; Horst, 2006). Nevertheless, they are fundamentally different from the urban poor and other displaced communities in the host country as they are unable to return to their home country on a long-term basis (Chatelard et al., 2009). In addition, many have experienced or witnessed abuse, torture and other

### Table 1. Number of refugees from four transit countries in Bangladesh and Southeast Asia from 2010 to 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Bangladesh</th>
<th>Thailand</th>
<th>Malaysia</th>
<th>Indonesia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>229,256</td>
<td>96,666</td>
<td>81,518</td>
<td>808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>229,669</td>
<td>89,245</td>
<td>86,675</td>
<td>1,004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>230,696</td>
<td>84,475</td>
<td>90,173</td>
<td>1,811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>231,142</td>
<td>136,489</td>
<td>97,504</td>
<td>3,195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>232,468</td>
<td>130,227</td>
<td>99,373</td>
<td>4,267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>231,954</td>
<td>108,252</td>
<td>94,161</td>
<td>5,954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>276,203</td>
<td>106,431</td>
<td>92,259</td>
<td>7,824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>932,209</td>
<td>104,605</td>
<td>103,837</td>
<td>9,782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>906,460</td>
<td>102,234</td>
<td>121,305</td>
<td>10,786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>854,779</td>
<td>97,556</td>
<td>129,107</td>
<td>10,287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>871,924</td>
<td>91,803</td>
<td>178,610</td>
<td>13,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of Myanmar Refugees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>76,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>81,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>84,670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>92,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>93,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>88,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>87,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>98,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>114,230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>119,230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>154,030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

human rights violations related to conflict and displacement (Jacobsen, 2012), in addition to being officially excluded from the labour market and access to health care and education (Crisp & Refstie, 2011).

A circular issued by the Malaysian Ministry of Health Malaysia in 2005 enabled RAS registered with UNHCR to be eligible for a 50% reduction for foreigners’ treatment charges at any public healthcare facility (Equal Rights Trust, 2014; Ministry of Health Malaysia, 2014). Costs of treatment and use of health facilities for nationals and foreigners at a government hospital are given in Table 3 (Hospital Sungai Buloh, 2021) yet despite fee reduction, medical care costs remain high, especially as most refugees are daily waged, workers. The current law which prohibits RAS from formal employment compromises their ability to seek healthcare services (ISCG, 2018; Letchamanan, 2013; Yunus et al., 2021). In 2014, UNHCR launched REMEDI, a health insurance scheme for refugees, in partnership with a private insurance provider to increase access to secondary and tertiary medical services (Hamdan, 2016). However, this program faced several difficulties and was suspended due to the sharp increase in foreigners’ rates at public hospitals in 2016, the voluntary nature of the scheme resulting in insufficient enrolment of refugees facilities, it was also claimed that REMEDI’s medical coverage was inadequate as there was no outpatient treatment coverage (Chuah et al., 2018). Documentation concerns, fear of imprisonment, language and cultural gaps, inadequate health literacy and other barriers to accessing public health services have all been well-documented (Chuah et al., 2018). Therefore, there is now an urgent need to map Malaysia’s current health care services for refugees systematically in order to inform and support future planning and programming by various non-state health authorities (Yunus et al., 2021).

Presently, refugees are also able to access free or minimal cost for medical treatment from a wide range of health care services established by non-governmental organizations (NGOs), civil society organizations (CSOs) and private entities. UNHCR supported the first primary care clinic for refugees in 2008 which was implemented by A Call to Serve, a local NGO. Tzu Chi Foundation also opened an integrated primary care clinic in 2011, partially supported by UNHCR. There was also a smaller primary care facility in Ampang, supported by the Malaysian Social Research Institute, which has discontinued in 2021 (UNHCR, n.d.-c). In 2020, the Qatar Fund for Development (QFFD) in collaboration with three medical relief NGOs such as Mercy Malaysia, Islamic Medical Association of Malaysia and Malaysian Relief to establish several private health and mobile clinics for refugees. Three of the five state clinics are in the Klang Valley. The remaining two are in Kedah and Johor. In addition, Health Equity Initiatives (HEI) is a Malaysian-based non-profit organization, which in collaboration with several partners, provides mental health services, evidence-based advocacy, training and technical support programs, but because of budget constraints, most services are one-off or seasonal. However, many organizations are not well coordinated often resulting in replication of health programs and services (Yunus et al., 2021). Most clinics are located in urban cities such as Kuala Lumpur, Selangor, Johor and Penang where for example up to 77.1% of RAS are reported to live, whilst access to healthcare services in the other states, particularly outside city centres, is dispersed, often driven by donations and hence unsustainable (The Malaysian Reserve, 2019).

Access to health treatment is one of the major challenges for RAS and despite the apparent availability of public health facilities, the lack of legal status and protection remain key issues. Many regard themselves as outcasts in society and under constant fear and anxiety of arrest and detention. RAS also come from countries with lower standards of hygiene and sanitation and little or no knowledge of disease transmission, which raises their exposure to endemic infections such as tuberculosis, leprosy, malaria, dengue fever, hepatitis, and neglected tropical diseases including helminth and filarial infections. Based on the paper reported in 2013, a low educational background can be responsible for the perpetuation of health problems through little or lack of awareness of good health practices (Nasr et al., 2013).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A Pubmed, MEDLINE, Microsoft Academic and Google Scholar searches were performed for both peer, non-peer-reviewed articles and reports relevant to refugees worldwide using keyword search terms refugee/health, refugee/rights, refugee / worldwide, refugee / South East Asia and refugee / Malaysia. Individual diseases such as hepatitis, parasitic infection, malaria, diphtheria, Covid –19, and refugees from throughout the world, were also searched for in addition to citations found inside articles. We also looked at the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) official website for information on refugees around the world, as well as the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for information on global health issues.

**RESULTS**

**Health concerns of Myanmar refugees**

RAS are exposed to a wide range of acute and chronic health problems including communicable and non-communicable diseases as the majority flee from countries plagued by war, violence, or economic instability (Lori & Boyle, 2015). Thus, screening for communicable diseases plays a vital role to avoid potential infectious disease concerns in the country of asylum.

Communicable diseases are easily transmitted among large displaced populations due to congested living conditions in informal settlements, inadequate water and sanitation facilities or temporary placement sites (Lam et al., 2017). CDC has highlighted that the two most common

---

**Table 3. A summary of fees for Malaysians and foreign nationals relating to a) daily ward use, b) daily treatment and c) facility fees for foreign nationals**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ward Class</th>
<th>Malaysian (USD)</th>
<th>Foreigners (USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) 1st class</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 bed</td>
<td>29.00</td>
<td>77.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 bed</td>
<td>21.75</td>
<td>58.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 bed</td>
<td>14.50</td>
<td>48.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd class</td>
<td>9.67</td>
<td>43.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd class</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>38.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) 1st class</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>24.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd class</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>24.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd class</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>24.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Labour room</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>72.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>87.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICU</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>72.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Hospital Sungai Buloh (2021).
diseases among Myanmar refugees include hepatitis B and intestinal parasitic infections (IPI) (CDC, n.d.) in addition to four broad categories which include vaccine-preventable infections (hepatitis, measles, diphtheria, chola and COVID-19), parasitic infections, vector-borne infections (malaria and dengue), and infections spread by close contact (tuberculosis (TB), HIV and sexually-transmitted infections (STIs)). As there are limited number of studies in Malaysia, this review provides an opportunity to describe the public health concerns among Myanmar refugees worldwide in order to draw policy-relevant findings that can inform local NGOs and private organizations for the design of more effective surveillance, screening, and biomonitoring systems in the management of the community.

**Vaccine-preventable infections**

**Hepatitis**
Hepatitis is a common viral disease amongst RAS in their country of origin and is characterized by liver inflammation, although there are other possible causes. There are significant benefits in reducing the burden of vaccine-preventable infectious diseases among RAS, but low vaccination coverage rates and inadequate living conditions in refugee camps often cause large-scale outbreaks (Golicha et al., 2018; Kouadio et al., 2010; Shannon et al., 2019). Viral infections of the liver are classified according to the different viruses responsible i.e., A, B, C, D and E. The most important human viruses including hepatitits A virus (HAV), hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) which are primarily transmitted through infectious body fluids. Both varieties have been reported among Burmese refugees with infections of less than 15% (Chaves et al., 2009; CDC, n.d.; Khokon, 2017; Mazhar et al., 2021; Paxton et al., 2012). Hepatitis A and E were commonly found in refugee camps and had similar clinical presentations (Mazhar et al., 2021). A study in 2015 reported high prevalences of any previous HBV infection, as well as chronic or acute infections among Burmese refugees (36.0% past; 9.4% chronic or acute) (Scott et al., 2015). Since chronic hepatitis B is infectious and chronically infected people can benefit from treatment, CDC recommends that all refugees from countries with hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg; a marker of acute or chronic infection) with a prevalence rate exe 2% HBV screening or due to personal characteristics (such as injecting drug use or contact with the family of an HBV-infected person) are likely to be at risk of HBV infection (CDC, 2020; Hsan et al., 2019). According to Museru et al. (2010), prevalences of HBV in refugees often exceeds 10%, although little data on HCV are available.

**Measles**
Measles is a paramyxovirus-induced, highly contagious respiratory infection (CDC, 2021) that was associated with a newly arrived refugee to the US in 2012. In addition, WHO reported a large outbreak of measles at the Rohingya refugee camp at Cox’s Bazar with more than 1700 cases in late 2017 (WHO, 2017) and cases continued to rise in January 2020 with a total of 4224 cases (WHO, 2020a). Mass vaccination campaigns were conducted in response to the outbreak with 69% of children received the second dose of the measles vaccine (WHO, 2019a). Previous studies evaluated the effectiveness of this mass vaccination program and highlighted the immense success of two large scale vaccinations in preventing 77,000 new cases over the course of one year in 2017, suggesting that high vaccination coverage is essential in this population for the prevention of future outbreaks (Chin et al., 2020).

**Diphtheria**
Diphtheria is another highly infectious disease that typically spreads from person to person through respiratory droplets and direct exposure to exudates of the lesion, but transmission can be prevented through vaccination using diphtheria antitoxin (DAT) and/or antibiotics (Mondiale & WHO, 2017). In 2017, an outbreak of diphtheria occurred in Bangladesh, shortly after 700,000 Rohingya migrants poured into the refugee camp in Cox’s Bazar (Chan et al., 2018) with the initial index case reported in November 2017 in the Balukhali camp (Finger et al., 2019). To date, the total number of reported cases of diphtheria is 9,266 (3016 in 2017; 5330 in 2018; 614 in 2019; 226 in 2020, and 80 as of May 2021) with 9023 were reported from the camps and 243 from the host community (WHO, 2021a). A total of 47 diphtheria patients have died from the beginning of the epidemic until the end of May 2021 (case fatality rate <1%) (WHO, 2021a). After three rounds of mass vaccination in children only slightly reduced transmission, and further public health measures are needed to end the epidemic (Polonsky et al., 2021). The series of acute outbreaks of both measles and diphtheria challenged the ability of healthcare providers to control infections in refugees (White, 2017).

**Cholera**
Cholera is fatal if untreated and more often amplified in crowded refugee camps due to inadequate sanitation and limited access to clean water in camps. Several cholera outbreaks also took place in the Mae La refugee camp involving Karen refugees in 2005 with more than 1000 cases and the most recent in 2010 with more than 350 culture-confirmed cases including the death of a pregnant woman. In January 2013, a campaign was launched to vaccinate Mae La refugees and within 8 months after the inception of the campaign, no additional cholera cases have occurred (AsiaNews, 2010; Phares & Ortega, 2014).

Considering the imminent threat of infectious disease outbreaks due to the influx of forcibly Displaced Myanmar Nationals into Bangladesh, the Government of Bangladesh with WHO launched a vaccination program for all except < 1-year old children against three deadly diseases (measles, rubella and poliomyelitis) and oral cholera (Khan et al., 2019). The world’s second-largest oral cholera vaccination campaign was launched in October 2017 by the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) and World Health Organization (WHO), with 900,000 doses administered during mass vaccination campaigns in two sub-districts of Cox’s Bazar in Ukhiya and Teknaf in Bangladesh (UNICEF, 2017).

**Coronaviruses**
More recently UNHCR reported that globally 21,000 of the world’s 30 million refugees have been tested positive for the COVID-19 virus across 97 countries with Cox’s Bazar district also testing positive for COVID-19 (WHO, 2021a), except that only 1% (15,175 tests) of the population has been tested (WHO, 2020b). As of May 2021, a total of 670 COVID-19 cases were reported in the Rohingyas refugee camps. Since the outbreak 11 people in the camp have died of confirmed COVID-19, with a case fatality rate of 1.6% (WHO, 2021a). According to Berkley (2020), low and middle-income countries will receive equal access and coverage to the COVID-19 vaccination, as well as 5% of total available vaccine doses for RAS. This has been agreed by the COVAX global vaccine centre to ensure that these communities are not left behind. Extensive communication between community members and religious leaders is ongoing in all camps in order to increase the Rohingyas’ confidence and acceptance by COVID-19.
19 vaccination (WHO, 2021a). In Malaysia, as reported by Reuters (2021) all non-citizens including students, RAS registered with UNHCR and undocumented migrants will be eligible for a free COVID-19 vaccine. According to the Malaysian government, vaccinating the most vulnerable during a pandemic is considered a humanitarian act.

**Parasitic infections**

Intestinal parasitic infections (IPIs), caused by soil-transmitted helminths (STHs) and protozoan parasites, are among the most prevalent infections in humans in low developing countries where sanitation and hygiene are poor. Lozano et al. (2012) stated that the infectious protozoan parasites pose a major health threat and cause more than a million deaths per year. Neglected tropical diseases such as STHs, filariasis, food and water-borne cryptosporidiosis, amoebiasis and giardiasis are highly prevalent in marginalized communities. Mild infections are generally asymptomatic but heavy infections can lead to clinical consequences. Some studies have demonstrated that severe ascariasis, trichuriasis and hookworm infections are indicators of malnutrition, iron deficiency anaemia, and low serum retinol, indicative of vitamin A deficiency (Al-Mekhlafi et al., 2005; Ngui et al., 2012).

Among Burmese refugees, *Ascaris lumbricoides*, *Trichuris trichiura*, hookworm and *Giardia* sp. are some of the typical parasite infections in tropical populations (CDC, n.d.). STHs are prevalent amongst the poorest and most deprived communities and the global strategy to control these infections is dependent upon a large-scale administration of anthelminthic drugs to high-risk groups. However, re-infection is known to occur post-treatment particularly in the case of *A. lumbricoides* and *T. trichiura* even as early as 3 months reaching pre-treatment levels after 12 months (Jia et al., 2012). This has created an obstacle for WHO to attain the elimination global target for soil-transmitted helminthiasis by 2030. Previous reports have highlighted STHs among Burmese children and Karen’s students with infections as high as 69% (Montresor et al., 2004; Saksirisampant et al., 2004) with *A. lumbricoides* and *T. trichiura*. In addition, schistosomiasis, strongyloidiasis, hookworm, taeniasis and IPIs is widely reported among RAS from Myanmar (Brummaier et al., 2021; Chaves et al., 2009; CDC, n.d.; McCleery et al., 2015; O’Connell et al., 2018; Paxton et al., 2012; Piangjai et al., 2003).

Similar studies in Malaysia are limited. However, a recent study in the Klang Valley among refugee children showed approximately more than a third were infected with STH (Alaribi et al., 2020).

**Vector-borne infections (Mosquito associated infections)**

With approximately 228 million cases worldwide and 405,000 deaths annually in 2018, malaria remains a major public health issue (WHO, 2020c). The WHO reported Myanmar as a malaria-endemic nation with 60% of the population at risk of transmission (Department of Public Health, Ministry of Health and Sports, The Republic of The Union of Myanmar, 2015) with outbreaks of mosquito-borne infections such as malaria and dengue frequently corresponding with the monsoon season (WHO, 2018a). Malaria is highly seasonal in 13 districts located in the southeast and northeast regions of the country (Haque et al., 2014). However previous studies showed a low presence of malaria infections among Myanmar refugees in their settlements (Chaves et al., 2009; Lu et al., 2020; Paxton et al., 2012).

Dengue is another common mosquito-borne disease in the tropics caused by the dengue virus (DENV) which spread rapidly mostly in urban and suburban areas. In the past two decades, WHO reported the number of dengue fever cases had risen over eightfold, from 505,430 in 2000 to 2.4 million in 2010 and 5.2 million in 2019 (WHO, 2021b). Rare outbreaks of dengue haemorrhagic fever occurred in 1987 in a long-term Cambodian refugee camp near the Thai-Cambodian border (Elias et al., 1990) together with a Burmese refugee camp at the Thai border (AsiaNews, 2010) and also in the Cox’s Bazar district involving Rohingya refugees (WHO, 2019b).

**Infections spread by close contact**

Tuberculosis is classified into either a latent /non-infectious type or an active infection which can spread to other members of the community (CDC, 2011). Crowded conditions, malnutrition and poor quality of life normally observed in refugee camps are responsible for the spread of this disease. Myanmar is ranked as one of the top 30 countries with the highest levels of tuberculosis infections (Kanabus, 2021; WHO, 2020d). Several studies have shown high prevalences of latent infections in Myanmar and Rohingya refugees (Chaves et al., 2009; Jasmine et al., 2018; Wong & Lee, 2020), whereas levels of active infections were mainly low (Chaves et al., 2009; Jasmine et al., 2018).

Records on sexually transmitted infections (STI) such as chlamydia, gonorrhoea and HIV among Myanmar refugees were limited. Unpublished data from Minnesota and Texas (CDC, n.d.) stated that this infection is not common among Myanmar refugees with low infections of less than 2% being recorded (Chaves et al., 2009). However, the prevalence of STI in this population is extremely concerning especially as Myanmar has the second-highest HIV/AIDS rate in South East Asia at 0.8% (WHO, 2018b) which is exacerbated by inadequate health services (UNHCR, 2019c). While CDC highlighted hepatitis B and IPIs are some of the health concerns especially among Myanmar refugees (CDC, n.d.).

The health concerns of refugees in Malaysia are not well captured. A UNHCR survey in 2015 highlighted almost a quarter suffer from non-communicable diseases such as diabetes, cardiovascular disease and hypertension among adult refugees (UNHCR, 2015). While 27.5% of children are underweight and 11.5% stunted. These numbers are likely conservative estimates and other existing health issues have not been adequately recorded. The majority of RAS live among local populations in cities with hygiene and overcrowding being major concerns. All of these can increase the risk of infections such as dengue fever which is endemic in this country with the increased risk, particularly in urban and peri-urban areas. This is followed by hand, foot and mouth disease, leptospirosis, hepatitis B and HIV), and more recently is SARs CoV-2 that has plagued the world is at risk of infection. STHs is also considered endemic, with infections vary greatly across the population particularly children among underprivileged communities (Al-Mekhlafi et al., 2005). Fundamental problems according to Chuah et al. (2018) are; poor health literacy, lack of awareness of one’s entitlement to healthcare, language barrier, cultural disparities and inability to afford healthcare owing to inadequate livelihoods.

Despite the dramatic increase numbers of refugees to Malaysia over the last decade, there is still lack of information as there has yet a comprehensive study to examine the public health status of refugees in Malaysia. Without a concerted effort to address the social, cultural and economic determinants of health, as well as more inclusive health policies this community will continue to suffer. Therefore, further studies are necessary in order to address their health concerns and shape an effective public health policy.
CONCLUSIONS

RAS is often subjected to a variety of health risks whether in the country of origin, during transit and destination or on their return. The key determinant of health for the population is the presence of legislative and administrative frameworks to effectively manage RAS in the country without which the population has no formal access to basic education, health services and work rights. A comprehensive study on the health status among the largest RAS community in the region is urgently required to bridge the gap of information on disease prevalences in the community not only to improve their health status but also to identify potential public health risks they are vulnerable to and to the community at large.

Despite adequate healthcare is provided for RAS in most countries in Southeast Asia, the majority face many challenges including the provision and cost of treatment, inadequate knowledge of diseases, language barriers, fears of deportation and also arrest. The incidences of vaccine-preventable infections (hepatitis, measles, diphtheria, cholera and Covid-19), parasitic infections, vector-borne infections (malaria and dengue), infections spread by close contact (tuberculosis (TB), HIV and sexually transmitted infections (STI) continue to influence the health and wellbeing of RAS globally and in Malaysia. Some issues that need to be addressed by stakeholders are the inclusion of RAS in national vaccination programmes in addition to introducing health programs at preventive levels and increasing an understanding of disease and transmission through good practices. In addition, improvements in health access coverage should be undertaken especially in communities that do not reside in high-density locations.

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) was established to reduce the gap between urban populations by 2030, especially immigrants, refugees and vulnerable groups.

A comprehensive strategy for universal health coverage is therefore required to provide healthcare for all non-citizens in the country, including migrants, stateless and refugee communities, which will improve their health status and address public health concerns that affect all populations in the country.
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