

November 17, 2020

CE Oversight Committee Meeting

**In Attendance:**

Trish Hobson, Mary Ann Priester, James Searcy, Rosalyn Allison-Jacobs, Stephanie Shatto, Megan Coffey, Branden Lewis, Beth Reichert, Hannah- Marie Warfle, Tameka Gunn, Sonia Jenkins, James Lee, Hope Marshall, Chanda Scott, Quanisha Salvage, Kally Canfield

**Welcome, Intro, Overview of Agenda – Trish Hobson**

**Promise Resource Network – requested to be an onsite location for CE: Trish Hobson/Megan Coffey**

- PRN is requesting to have a staff person trained to provide CE onsite at their location and where they meet those they serve. Believe they have built a relationship with the population and would be able to help people along the way.
- A call recently determined that it would be beneficial for the client if the assessor has a relationship with the client.
- Concern could be that assessments are done for someone that could not be literally homeless.
- As we continue to build out the number of staff completing CEs we need to continue to be mindful of the consistency of the VI scores.
- Vote was held and it was unanimous that PRN would have a staff member trained to complete CEs.

**Data Review from 211 – Mary Ann**

- October 2020 higher number of in person assessments 467 (than any single month in the previous quarter)
- More adult single assessments completed
- In person household assessments – large increase could be also do to motel eviction prevention coming through 211/CE.
- Higher number of Veterans and Chronic Homeless served as well.
- Increases in every category
- Drop in # of people identifying as at -risk
- Decrease in # of people reporting being homeless at the time of the call.
- Decrease in referrals for in person assessments.
- Decrease in referrals for assistance with motel eviction.
- Decrease in 211 callers but an increase in – in-person CE assessments
- Question – do we know how the veterans were assisted? All Veterans go on the bi-name list (case conferencing, etc. and referred to Veterans Bridge Homes for additional resources).
- Question – How do MaryAnn/Megan interpret the data? Motel assistance funding has basically ended so that could be a piece of the decrease in callers. At-risk callers should be referred to transitional housing or 211 other resources – but we know that some at risk callers are referred for an in person assessment as well.

**Committee Updates:**

- Continue to look for an additional member to fill the slot for Chanda Scott. Will not open the position until January, but if you are aware of anyone interested can invite
- Trish Hobson shared: In May, when the officers took office, the intent was that the officers would finish out this term and would then elect new officers this time next year.

**Community Updates:**

Beth Reichert shared: Focus Group took place to assist with the United Way strategic planning with 211. It was a small focus group, but with robust conversation. Our CofC was held up as an example of how we can work together with 211 and how the relationship can work effectively.

James Lee shared: reminded everyone to take time for ourselves to help us manage through these tough times – as we help others, we have to help ourselves as well.

Rosalyn Allison-Jacobs Evaluate upstream in a new phase – a cross section of providers are looking to redesign the homeless prevention system by building on data we have collected in the first 2 phases. January the real work begins but launching this month.

**Next Meeting:**

Update on the mapping project and an update on the evaluation tools.

Call ended at 11:45