

October 6, 2020

CE Oversight Committee Meeting

In Attendance:

Trish Hobson, Mary Ann Priester, James Searcy, Rosalyn Allison-Jacobs, Stephanie Shatto, Megan Coffey, Branden Lewis, , , Kecia Robinson, Beth Reichert, Kally Canfield, Jessica Rice, James Lee , Hope Marshall, Katie Lindsay, Maurice Collins, Brian Battle

Welcome, Intro, Overview of Agenda – Trish Hobson

Vote: Approval of Minutes – approved with additional of James Lee to the attendance list.

Evaluation CE Prioritization Evaluation Matrix – Mary Ann Priester:

First month of the evaluation of the prioritization evaluation matrix.

- July 1st was the full implementation of the matrix. – 37 households matched 12 unmatched 8 enrolled 5 intakes scheduled 6 are engaged 1 is housed. (current data set) Evaluation matrix really looks at those that are housed. We currently only have 1 household housed at this time. (under new policy)
- Previous policy – 37 households 24 enrolled – 13 housed
- 1st matrix measure – highest Covid risk factors housed 1st – of those housed in past 60 days:
 - Covid score breakdown -12 had 0 score 1 scored a 7 (again, these households may have been housed before the implementation of the new process).
 - Under the new policy (1 household housed) was a 5 on the covid scale.
- 2nd matrix – are the highest at risk in the que for housing?
 - Again, the vulnerability scores may not be good judge of the evaluation tool at this point because most of these households were again, engaged in the housing process before July 1st 2020. .
- 3rd matrix – what tools have been provided to agency for better implementation
 - Met with PSH providers/RRH providers/Case Conferencing group
 - Decided this group was not the best to provide feedback on the effectiveness of the training.
- 4th matrix – are the agencies adhering to the policies?
 - Not a large enough sample to truly understand. But not seeing a pushback from the case conferencing meetings about the prioritization.
- 5th matrix – race equity
- 82% black and 17% white – housing placements (shows consistency with our homeless population).
 - Do we have covid vulnerability scores from people of color or just our white consumers?
 - Do have a large amount of missing Covid Scores from those that identify as African American.
 - We have collected scores from more than we have missing scores for.
 - 6th matrix – race equity of those returning to homelessness:
 - People who were housed between 2018 – 2020 (month of September)

- 53 people returned to homelessness : 47 identified as black and 6 identified as white (89% of returns to homelessness identified as black).
 - This percentage is higher than the African American population in our community in general and in our homeless population.
- 7th matrix Systems planning: for those populations that may be negatively effected by the new prioritizations.
 - Need for longer term subsidies for larger families (with or without case management)
 - 8th Matrix – does the VI SPDAT tool
 - 9th matrix – policy changes based on the evaluation?
 - May not have enough data yet (only 1 household housed under the new prioritization – so may be too early on to make these decisions).

Framework Group Update:

In March – 211 was being inundated with calls on many issues. Temporary suspended calls for housing assistance, homeless assistance. Instead of an in-person CE screening, now taking calls on the hotline. Unsure of when the county will be back to completing an in-person assessment, so continuing to work through the hotline.

Per Hud Guidance – have added a crisis needs assessment and a housing needs assessment. Complete a diversion assessment and a prevention assessment (to ensure there are no alternatives).

Prioritization match process – may be leaving out larger families – but has increased the number of referrals for RRH slots for chronic individuals.

Challenges include – obtaining ID/SS cards, housing inventory, different agencies have different roles for Case Managers in the housing process.

Looking for ways to provide enhanced diversion and delayed diversion.

Also looking at the prioritization tools that we use VISPDAT II and III as well as other tools (CE Oversight Committee)

Seeing a higher need for prevention dollars in the CE process (many communities use CE as a prevention provider). Need to investigate if this is possible within our system.

Open Voting Member slot

- We have had no one apply for this open slot. Trish will send out the application again to the committee members in case we know of anyone interested.