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I. INTRODUCTION

A new Regional Transit Authority (the “RTA”) for Southeast Michigan was created through state legislation on December 19, 2012 (MI P.A. 387, 2012). The RTA service area is comprised of the counties of Macomb, Oakland, Washtenaw, and Wayne. The mission of the RTA is to manage and secure transportation resources that significantly enhance mobility options, to improve quality of life for the residents and to increase economic viability of the four-county area and the city of Detroit.

The Federal Transit Administration’s Title VI circular (FTA C 4702.1B) requires that “no person in the United States shall, on the grounds of race, color or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance.” National origin includes those individuals that are limited-English proficient. As such, the RTA is obligated to comply with these requirements for all programs, policies, transit service and activities. FTA requires that RTA document this compliance by submitting a Title VI Program to their FTA Regional Civil Rights Officer (RCRO) once every three years. The Title VI Program must be approved by the RTA Board of Directors whom is responsible for policy decisions prior to the submission of the program to FTA.

The RTA does not operate any transit services. Therefore, it is only responsible for to follow the “General Requirements” set forth in Chapter III FTA C 47002.1B. Since the RTA does operate transit service, it is not required to report on any additional requirements within Chapter IV (collect/report data, evaluate service and fare equity changes, and monitor transit service) as described in Chapter IV. Only transit providers that operate 50 or more fixed route vehicles in peak service AND are in a UZA of 200,000 or more in population are required to these additional requirements.

The Title VI Officer is responsible for ensuring implementation of the Title VI plan. The Officer will be responsible for the overall management of the day-to-day administration of the Title VI Plan. The current information for this individual is as follows:

Matt Webb, Chief Operating Officer/Title VI Officer
Regional Transit Authority of Southeast Michigan
1001 Woodward Avenue, Suite 1400
Detroit, MI 48226
Phone: (313) 402-1020
Email: mwebb@rtamichigan.org

The Title VI Officer is responsible for Title VI compliance and is assigned the responsibility for implementing, monitoring, and ensuring RTA’s compliance with the Title VI regulations. The Title VI responsibilities are as follows:

- Maintain knowledge of Title VI requirements.
- Attend training on Title VI and other nondiscrimination authorities when offered, and when feasible, by FTA or any other regulatory agency.
- Disseminate Title VI information to the public including in languages other than English, when necessary.
- Develop a process to collect data related to race, gender and national origin of service area population to ensure low income, minorities, and other underserved groups are included and not discriminated against.
- Implement procedures for the prompt processing of Title VI complaints.
- Investigate and/or resolve Title VI complaints.

A. Overview of General RTA Activities
The purpose of the RTA is to coordinate the activities of the existing transit agencies within its jurisdiction and secure funding to improve and enhance public transportation within the four-county area and the city of Detroit. Functionally, the RTA is responsible for developing and updating a Regional Master Transit Plan, engaging in coordinated planning activities with the existing transit providers, partnering on service improvements with the existing transit providers, administering regional programs, and programming a portion of the state and federal transportation funds that are apportioned to the Detroit and Ann Arbor urbanized areas.

The RTA’s activities are largely interdependent with several other organizations operating within southeast Michigan, as listed below. The RTA endeavors to work with these organizations to coordinate public information and engagement. In the case of SEMCOG, the RTA is reliant on its public outreach process to support the annual 5307 program of projects (POP). That process is described in Appendix B of this document.

- Ann Arbor Area Transportation Authority (AAATA)
- Detroit Department of Transportation (DDOT)
- Detroit Transit Corporation (DTC) (aka People Mover)
- M-1 RAIL (operator of the QLINE)
- Southeast Michigan Council of Governments (SEMCOG)
- Suburban Mobility Authority for Regional Transportation (SMART)
- Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT)
- Washtenaw Area Transportation Study (WATS)

B. RTA Governance
The RTA is governed by a ten-member board with two representatives from each of the participating counties, one representative from the City of Detroit, and one non-voting member appointed by the governor who serves as the chair. The RTA Board includes the following sub-committees Executive and Policy Committee, Finance and Budget Committee, and the Planning and Service Coordination Committee. The purpose of these committees is to set the direction of the RTA through policy making, budget considerations, and coordination of existing transit services.

II. REQUIREMENT TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT A TITLE VI PROGRAM
The RTA is required to submit an updated Title VI program every three years. This report covers the years from 2016 through 2018.

RTA’s Title VI submission contains the following:

- Title VI notice to the public (Section II – NOTICE TO THE PUBLIC)
III. NOTICE TO THE PUBLIC
The RTA has developed a public notice to inform customers of their rights under 49 CFR Section 21.9(d), which is posted on the RTA’s web site as well as at the locations identified below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Main Office</td>
<td>1001 Woodward, Suite 1400</td>
<td>Detroit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The public notice states the following:
The Regional Transit Authority of Southeast Michigan (RTA) is committed to ensuring that no person is excluded from participation in, or denied the benefits of its programs and services on the basis of race, color, and national origin in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

For information about the RTA’s non-discrimination obligations, or to file a complaint if you believe you have been subjected to unlawful discrimination, please contact the RTA by mail at the Regional Transit Authority of Southeast Michigan, 1001 Woodward, Suite 1400, Detroit, MI 48226; or by email at TitleVI@rtamichigan.org.

IV. COMPLAINT PROCEDURES

RTA has in place a Title VI Complaint Procedure, which outlines a process for local disposition of Title VI complaints and is consistent with guidelines found in Chapter VII of the Federal Transit Administration Circular 4702.1A, dated May 13, 2007. If a person believes that RTA’s federally funded programs have violated their civil rights on the basis of race, color, or national origin they may file a written complaint by following the procedure outlined below:

_Filing:_
Any person who believes that he or she or any specific class of persons has been subjected to discrimination or retaliation prohibited by Civil Rights authorities, based upon race, color, sex, age, national origin, or disability may file a written complaint to RTA’s Title VI Program Coordinator. A formal complaint must be filed within 180 calendar days of the alleged occurrence or when the alleged discrimination became known to the complainant. The complaint must meet the following requirements:

- Complaints must be in writing and signed by the complainant(s).
- Complaints must include the date of the alleged act(s) of discrimination (date when the complainant(s) became aware of the alleged discrimination; or the date on which the conduct was discontinued or the latest instance of the conduct.
- Complaint must present a detailed description of the issues, including names, job titles, and addresses of those individuals perceived as parties in the action complained against.
- Complaint must be completed in the RTA Complaint form, which is attached as Appendix A, and on the RTA website (www.rtamichigan.org).

Signed complaints should be mailed to:
Title VI Coordinator
Regional Transit Authority of Southeast Michigan
1001 Woodward Avenue, Suite 1400
Detroit, MI 48226

Note: If a Title VI complaint is received on a Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) related contract against RTA, MDOT will be responsible for conducting the investigation of the complaint. Upon receipt of a complaint filed against RTA, the complaint and any pertinent information will be immediately forwarded to the MDOT, Office of Civil Rights Programs.

_Receipt and Acceptance:_
Upon receipt of the complaint, the Title VI Program Coordinator will determine its jurisdiction, and need for additional information.

In order to be accepted, a complaint must meet the following criteria:

- The complaint must be filed within 180 calendar days of the alleged occurrence or when the alleged discrimination became known to the complainant.
- The allegation(s) must involve a covered basis such as race, color, sex, age, national origin, or disability.
- The allegation(s) must involve a program or activity that receives Federal financial assistance.

_Dismissal:_
A complaint may be recommended for dismissal for the following reasons:

- The complainant requests withdrawal of the complaint.
- The complainant fails to respond to repeated requests for additional information needed to process the complaint.
- The complainant cannot be located after reasonable attempts to contact the complainant.

Investigation of Complaints:
Upon receipt of the complaint, RTA’s Chief Executive Officer, or designee, shall appoint one or more staff, as appropriate, to evaluate and investigate the complaint, in consultation with RTA legal counsel. If necessary, the Complainant shall meet with the staff to further explain his or her complaint. The staff shall complete their review no later than 60 calendar days after the receipt of the complaint. If more time is required, the Chief Executive Officer, or designee, shall notify the Complainant of the estimated timeframe for completing the review. Upon completion of the review, staff shall make a recommendation regarding the merit of the complaint and whether remedial actions are available to provide redress. If the Chief Executive Officer, or designee, concurs, he or she shall issue the written response to the Complainant.

Appeals:
If FTA concludes that the respondent is in compliance with laws/regulations and the complainant disagrees, the complainant may, if dissatisfied, file an action in the appropriate U.S. District Court.

Submission of Complaint to the Federal Transit Administration
If the Complainant is dissatisfied with the resolution of the complaint, he or she may also submit a written complaint within 60 days after the alleged date of discrimination to the Federal Transit Administration for further investigation.

FTA Office of Civil Rights
1200 New Jersey Avenue SE
Washington, DC 20590

V. INVESTIGATIONS, COMPLAINTS, AND LAWSUITS
In accordance with 49 CFR 21.9(b), RTA must record and report any investigations, complaints, or lawsuits involving allegations of discrimination. The records of these events shall include the date the investigation, lawsuit, or complaint was filed; a summary of the allegations; the status of the investigation, lawsuit, or complaint; and actions taken by the RTA in response; and final findings related to the investigation, lawsuit, or complaint. The records for the previous three (3) years shall be included in the Title VI Plan when it is submitted to the FTA.

The RTA has had one complaint involving allegations of discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin over the past three (3) years. A summary of this incident is recorded in Table 1.0.
VI. ADVISORY BOARDS

The RTA has two advisory committees to assist in the decision making and development of the organization. The Public Transportation Provider’s Advisory Council consists of each transit provider that falls under the jurisdiction of the RTA. Their purpose is to discuss opportunities for coordination and evaluate the impacts of decisions being made by the RTA on their respective organizations. A Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) was formed as part of the development of the Board of Director’s by-laws to ensure that the public is represented across the jurisdiction of the RTA and that advocates for ADA, elderly, faith-based, business, civic leadership and transit riders would have the opportunity to provide input to the RTA decision-making body. The CAC may do all of the following:

- Review and comments on the comprehensive regional public transit service plan for the region and all annual updates.
- Advise the Board regarding the coordination of functions between different owners and operators of public transportation facilities within the public transit region.
- Review and comment on a specialized services coordination plan for persons with disabilities and persons who are 65 years of age or older, as required by State Trunk Line Highway System Act, Act 51, Public Acts of Michigan, 1951, as amended.
- Upon request of the Board, provide recommendations on other matters that concern public transportation in the public transit region of the Authority.

The CAC is the only body where the RTA has some discretion over membership selection. The racial breakdown of the CAC is as follows:

FTA Circular 4702.1B, Chapter III, Para 10 states: “Recipients that have transit-related, non-elected planning boards, advisory councils or committees, or similar committee, the membership of which is selected by the recipient, must provide a table depicting the racial breakdown of the membership of those committees, and a description of efforts made to encourage the participation of minorities on such committees.”

The CAC is the only Committee where the RTA has some discretion over the committee selection.

---

Table 1.0 – Title VI Complaint Log

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ATU Reflex Complaint</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Actions Taken</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8/5/2016</td>
<td>Complaint regarding the allocation of federal funding between DDOT and SMART. There does not appear to be any legitimate basis for applying a formula that divides federal funding equally between DDOT and SMART, given the substantially higher level of ridership and dependence on public transportation by DDOT riders, and the disproportionate impact on the minority and low-income population of Detroit.</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>RTA determined there not to be a disproportionate negative impact to Detroit residents as a result of the RTA Board decision to reallocate federal formula funds.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VII. SUB-RECIPIENT MANAGEMENT

A. Providing Assistance

To ensure that all sub-recipients comply with Title VI regulations RTA provides its sub-recipients with sample notices and procedures for tracking Title VI complaints. RTA will also provide its sub-recipients demographic information and any other information pertinent to Title VI upon request.

B. Monitoring Sub-Recipients

To ensure that all sub-recipients comply with Title VI regulations, the Title VI Coordinator monitors the performance of sub-recipients annually. The sub-recipient monitoring process is summarized below.

- Ensure that project agreements with sub-recipients contain all required federal clauses.
- Request sub-recipients provide us with a copy of a Title VI plan.
- File copy of agreement/contract and Title VI plan, if available, with RTA.
- On an annual basis, send a letter to sub-recipient requesting a copy of A-133 audit report or other financial documentation, if the sub-recipient received less than $500,000 in federal funding from all sources.
- Review financial paperwork and communicate information to sub-recipient.
VIII. Appendix A

RTA DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINT FORM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>First Name</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mailing Address</th>
<th>City/State</th>
<th>Zip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Home Telephone</th>
<th>Other Telephone</th>
<th>E-mail Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do you wish to remain anonymous?  
☐ Yes  ☐ No

Alleged Discrimination:
☐ Race  ☐ Color  ☐ National Origin  ☐ Age
☐ Religion  ☐ Disability  ☐ Sex/Gender

Race of Complainant:
☐ Black  ☐ White  ☐ Hispanic  ☐ Asian American
☐ American Indian  ☐ Alaska Native  ☐ Pacific Islander  ☐ Other___________

How were you discriminated against?  Please explain your complaint as clearly as possible. Include how other persons were treated differently. Use additional sheet(s), if necessary. Attach supporting documents if available.

Date and place of the alleged discriminatory action(s). Please include the earliest date of discrimination and the most recent date(s) of discrimination.

The law prohibits intimidation or retaliation against anyone because they have either taken action, or participated in action, to secure rights protected by the laws. **If you feel that you have been retaliated against, separate from the discrimination alleged above**, please explain the circumstances below. Describe the action you took which you believe was the cause for the alleged retaliation.

Name(s) of individual(s) responsible for the discriminatory action(s).

Name(s) of person(s) who may be contacted for additional information to support or clarify your complaint. (Attach additional sheets, if necessary).
IX. Appendix B

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PLAN

A. Purpose of the Public Participation Plan

This plan specifies the RTA’s underlying goals as well as strategies and techniques to be considered and employed in achieving the goals of the public participation process.

- Define the tools the RTA uses to provide the public access to information on its programs and projects.
- Define the tools RTA uses to receive input its programs and projects.
- Define measures of effectiveness for RTA’s public engagement.

The RTA does not directly provide transit services, but it may provide funding to existing transit providers to augment service. Therefore, the RTA will work with the existing providers to ensure that they are following all the appropriate Title VI processes that govern major service changes and fare equity.

B. Environmental Justice Populations

Based on regional totals developed by SEMCOG, minority persons make up 27 percent of the region’s total population. In addition, 10 percent of households in the region are living at or below the poverty level. For both minority populations and low-income households, 2010 U.S. Census block groups where the percentage population of either group meets or exceeds the regional average are identified as EJ block groups. SEMCOG indicates that region wide, 12 percent of total land area is home to a significant EJ population.

Because of the importance of public involvement, RTA will endeavor to meet with representatives from various EJ populations and encourage involvement in the planning process. Specific actions taken by RTA to reach out to EJ populations include placing advertisements in various news publications reaching African-American, Asian-American, Native American, and Hispanic persons and low-income residents and focusing efforts to meet with and present information to these various groups. Information is also disseminated through transit providers, local block clubs, libraries, and various grass roots organizations.

C. Techniques for Public Information Access

The RTA will use a variety of methods to educate and inform the public. Holding public meetings is an essential part of the PPP. Therefore, the RTA endeavor to ensure that all venues are accessible and in close vicinity to major bus routes for ease in travel. The methods will include:

- Providing information at RTA’s standing Committee and Board meetings
- Holding additional public meetings/hearings at convenient and accessible locations and times
- Making public information available in electronically accessible format and means, such as Social Media, Website (www.rtamichigan.com), press releases, and E-Blast notices
- With the permission of the transit providers, posting information through Rider Alerts, interior bus and train signage, and stop and station signage

D. Techniques for Garnering Public Input

The RTA will use a variety of methods to garner public input. The methods will include:

- Verbal public comments at the RTA standing Committee and Board meetings, and additional public meetings
• Written comments at RTA standing Committee and Board meetings, and additional public meetings; through RTA general email, or project specific email
• Verbal comments by RTA general phone, or project specific phone
• Social Media discussions and dedicated surveys
• General-public, or targeted stakeholder surveys
• Charrette-style discussions

E. Tools for Measuring Effectiveness

As part of future updates to this policy, the RTA will evaluate its public involvement process, ensuring that the RTA is effective in facilitating full and open access to the regional planning process for all citizens. The evaluation will be done in the form of an evaluation that identifies the following:

• Scheduled standard Committee and Board meeting dates versus actual meetings held
• Public meetings: locations, promotion, attendance, number of comments generated (if requested at the meeting)
• Number of additional comments received and the means of receipt
• If applicable, the RTA will supplement this report with more detailed reports on large-scale public outreach efforts (e.g. the Regional Master Transit Plan)

F. Role of the Southeast Michigan Council of Governments (SEMCOG)

The Southeast Michigan Council of Governments (SEMCOG) is designated by the Federal government as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the southeast region of the state of Michigan. SEMCOG is responsible for coordinating transportation planning activities within the MPO boundary which includes all of Wayne, Oakland Macomb and Washtenaw counties. SEMCOG works with Federal and local governments, state departments of transportation, transit agencies, area stakeholders, and customers to ensure that the plans and projects developed enhances the region toward achieving consistent quality-of-life measures that are beneficial to all.

The public is included in the planning process through informational meetings, public hearings, community meetings, surveys and/or one-on-ones. As stated, the RTA coordinates efforts with SEMCOG to solicit public response on major projects, as well as the annual funded program of projects. SEMCOG incorporates RTA projects into the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). Via SEMCOG’s various committee meetings, participants review, discuss, comment and recommend actions relevant to transportation planning on transit projects. In addition to notices, SEMCOG publicizes the final program of projects and provides notice of scheduled meetings. Public comment is ongoing and is received via public hearings, letters, phone calls, e-mails, and verbally.

Federal legislation requires MPOs to produce documents that govern the regional transportation investments and planning activities, including the development of the Unified Planning Work Program, the Long-Range Transportation Plan, the Transportation Improvement Program, and the Public Participation Plan.

G. Summary of Outreach from 2016 through September 2018

During the period of 2016 through September 2018, the RTA has completed extensive public outreach around the following major activities:

• Adoption of region’s first transit plan called the Regional Master Transit Plan (RMTP)
• Education and outreach associated with a November general election referendum
• Development of locally preferred alternatives along Gratiot Avenue and Michigan Avenue
• Service enhancements funded by the RTA (new REFLEX service)
Updated master planning efforts called the Connect Southeast Michigan Plan (2018)

The following is a summary of the outreach activities held for each of the aforementioned:

**RMTP Adoption (2016)**
The RTA utilized its diverse array of engagement tools, including traditional public engagement, social media, and pop-up community conversations, to obtain stakeholder feedback in shaping the future of regional transit. During a community conversation which lasted over a year, the RTA attended and hosted over 130 events across Southeast Michigan. This included multiple rounds of open house meetings, community conversations held at churches, art fairs, public festivals, farmers markets, and with non-profits and municipalities. The RTA also garnered over 15,500 Facebook likes, nearly 1,800 public comments, and almost 1,500 retweets on Twitter. A Textizen survey, with ads placed on 528 AAATA, DDOT, DTC, and SMART vehicles, received 348 responses. Additional details on outreach activities held during 2016 included:

- Region-wide open houses which allowed the RTA the opportunity to speak directly with stakeholders and explain the RMTP process.
- An RTA Meeting Toolkit allowed stakeholders and organizations to host their own meetings on transit.
- RMTP webpage on the RTA website provided up-to-date documentation, events, and status of the RMTP.
- Listening sessions across Southeast Michigan, including community centers, churches, and community events.
- Ongoing media outreach shared updates on the RMTP through traditional and online outlets.
- Social media, including Twitter, Facebook, and MySidewalk, allowed further stakeholder engagement and promotion of the RMTP.
- RTA newsletters were distributed in print and online.
- Community events such as local farmer’s markets, art fairs, and family fun events allowed RTA staff to engage the people of Southeast Michigan face to face.
- Text surveys sent through Textizen allowed stakeholders to provide feedback on their phones.
- A Ridership Satisfaction Survey and Public Opinion Survey identified top priorities for service improvement.
- Branded giveaways, such as t-shirts and buttons, at community events raised interest and the profile of the RTA and the RMTP.
- Advertisements on local transit ensured Southeast Michigan’s existing transit users were aware of.

**Development of Locally Preferred Alternatives for Gratiot Avenue (2014 – 2016):**
The RTA collected and monitored feedback on the development of the Gratiot Avenue Locally Preferred Alternative. This included direct feedback through an ongoing stakeholder committee, and public meetings.

The stakeholder committee, which was comprised of local government representatives from along the corridor, met monthly from May 2014 through March 2016. The meetings were held at Eastpointe and Roseville City Halls.
The project held dozens of meetings along the corridor, including meetings in Detroit, Roseville, and Mount Clemens. All the meetings were held in ADA accessible facilities that were accessible by public transit.

**Development of Locally Preferred Alternatives for Michigan Avenue (2014 – 2016):**
The RTA collected and monitored feedback on the development of the Michigan Avenue Locally Preferred Alternative. This included direct feedback through an ongoing stakeholder committee, and public meetings.

The stakeholder committee, which was comprised of local government representatives from along the corridor, met monthly from May 2014 through March 2016. The meetings were held at Dearborn City Hall.

The project held dozens of meetings along the corridor, including meetings in Detroit, Dearborn, Wayne, Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti. All the meetings were held in ADA accessible facilities that were accessible by public transit.

**Referendum Election (2016):**
The RTA collected and monitored feedback on the 2016 RMTP from the adoption of the RMTP in July/August 2016 through the November 2016 millage loss. This included direct feedback to the RTA through email, phone calls, and RTA social media; and a review of an independent voter survey.

*Direct Feedback*
RTA staff isolated feedback from the period of July 2016 – November 2016 to capture reactions to the 2016 RMTP when it was being adopted, as the election neared, and just after the election. These comments were in the form of approximately 500 emails, phone calls, and social media posts directly to the RTA.

*Independent Voter Survey*
The campaign group that supported the RTA millage, Citizens for Connecting Our Communities, commissioned a post-election analysis survey. The results of that survey were presented to the RTA Board at the May 2017 Board Retreat. Briefly, the survey identified the following plan-related issues:

- Foster better understanding on limitations of revenue source constraints: Property taxes proved problematic as the selected funding mechanism to support public transportation expansion. Many members of the public pointed to the other major transit expansion initiatives in the nation that fund transit via local option sales taxes.

- More fully address outer edge and rural communities: 28% of the no vote came from communities receiving no proposed fixed-route transit investment in the RTA plan. These communities are frequently overlooked because they are not traditional transit market areas.

- Need to broaden outreach strategy: The RTA team did a tremendous amount of outreach and education throughout 2015 and 2016. Despite hundreds of meetings, public events, media stories, and extensive social media there was still a large portion of the public that felt uneducated about the Master Plan, the RTA, and transit in general.
Capitalize more on transportation innovations: In the ever-evolving mobility environment, voters were reluctant to support a 20-year plan that did not articulate a clear strategy for adapting to technological innovations. The Master Plan did include a high-level investment program focused on potential flexible services.

Provide greater clarity/greater efficiency: 46% of all voters agreed that there are already too many different transportation providers across southeast Michigan and a fear that the money would support waste and duplication of services.

**Reflex Service Enhancements (2016 and 2018):** As part of the service roll-out for this pilot program, RTA, SMART, and DDOT collaborated on the public hearings to establish the Reflex service. The agencies held a series of four meetings (two workshops, two formal hearings) to inform the public about the new service. The meetings were held in transit-accessible locations along both corridors in geographically diffuse areas (i.e. one in Detroit, one in the suburbs). SMART held its own public hearings to conclude the Reflex service on Gratiot Avenue and start the FAST service. SMART held a series of five formal hearings. The RTA and DDOT collaborated on public hearings to conclude the Reflex service on Woodward Avenue. RTA and DDOT held a series of four meetings – three formal hearings and a workshop. All of the meetings were held in transit-accessible locations along both corridors in geographically diffuse areas. The providers also completed a Title VI service equity analysis for service start and conclusion as the pilot service transitioned to both SMART and DDOT core line of services.

**Connect Southeast Michigan Plan Engagement (2018):**
RTA Board Members, RTA staff, consultants and CAC members had an open community conversation on the draft Connect Southeast Regional Plan from April to July 2018. These conversations took place all across the region in rural parts of northern Macomb (Richmond/Lennox) and Oakland Counties, to southern and western Wayne County, multiple meetings in the urbanized core of our region, including Detroit, Livonia, Novi, Mount Clemens, to Ann Arbor in Washtenaw County, to Lansing to Mackinac Island as part of the Detroit Regional Chamber’s Policy Conference.

In total, the RTA has presented the draft CSM plan in over 40 different sessions during this time span. This number does not factor in the additional meetings and educational sessions put on by our region’s business leaders, philanthropic community, or transit advocates. The RTA enacted an engagement program that included the following strategies: public meetings, stakeholder surveys, website, social media, and direct media. The engagement period lasted from April 19, 2018 through July 19, 2018. The results of the engagement were presented to the RTA Board during the June and July meetings. The engagement included the following strategies and activities:

- **Community Meetings: Hosted eight community meetings, at least one in each RTA jurisdiction.**
  - Wednesday, April 25 – Oakland – Birmingham Public Library (5:30 – 7:30 PM)
  - Thursday, April 26 – Detroit – Detroit Historical Museum (5:30 – 7:30 PM)
  - Monday, April 30 -- Wayne -- Schoolcraft College, Livonia (5:30 – 7:30 PM)
  - Wednesday, May 2 – Rochester Community House, Rochester (5:30 – 7:30 PM)
  - Wednesday, May 2 – Washtenaw – Ann Arbor Public Library (5:30 – 7:30 PM)
  - Wednesday, May 2 – Oakland – Rochester Hills Community Center (5:30-7:30 PM)
  - Thursday, May 3 – Macomb – Mt. Clemens Public Library (5:30 – 7:30 PM)
  - Wednesday, July 18 – Washtenaw – Chelsea Silver Maples (7:00 – 8:30PM)
Stakeholder presentations: Held numerous presentations on the plan at stakeholder meetings including service organizations, chamber of commerce events, and other special interest/advocacy meetings.

Media Relations: Conducted a media relations campaign that focused on major and community outlets. This included editorial board meetings, media events, and interviews.

Social Media: Conducted a social media campaign to promote community meetings, drive traffic to the RTA website, and collect direct feedback through surveys. This included a partner outreach effort to make sure engagement opportunities are shared among several social media accounts.

Website: Update the RTA website to have more robust information about the CSM. This included an extensive frequently asked questions component.

Engagement Survey: Developed a survey that was used on social media, direct email to the RTA email list, and through stakeholder email lists to obtain feedback on the draft CSM plan.

Newsletter: Developed a tabloid style newsletter that was used as collateral at public meetings and as a piece to be shared at libraries and other community institutions.
X. Appendix C

LANGUAGE ASSISTANCE PLAN FOR PERSONS WITH LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY (LEP)

A. Introduction

The purpose of this plan is to document RTA’s strategy to serve Limited English Proficient (LEP) persons in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Executive Order 13166. An LEP person is one who does not speak English as their primary language and who has limited ability to read, write, or understand English. Title VI prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin under any program that receives federal financial assistance. Executive Order 13166 titled “Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency”, defines national origin discrimination to include different treatment based upon a person’s inability to speak, read, write, or understand English. Under these provisions, RTA is required to take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access for LEP persons to RTA programs and services.

This plan details how RTA staff can identify a person who may need language assistance, the ways in which assistance may be provided, training provided to staff, how to notify LEP persons that assistance is available, and information for future plan updates.

In developing the plan, RTA undertook a U.S. Department of Transportation four factor LEP analysis which considers the following: 1) the number or proportion of LEP persons in the RTA service area who may be served by or encounter a RTA program, activity or service; 2) the frequency with which LEP individuals come in contact with a RTA program, activity, or service; 3) the importance of the program, activity or service provided by RTA to the LEP population; and 4) the resources available to RTA and overall costs to provide LEP assistance. A brief description of these considerations is provided in the following section.

B. Four Factor Analysis

Factor 1: Proportion, Numbers and Distribution of LEP Persons

The RTA service area includes all of Macomb, Oakland, Washtenaw, and Wayne Counties. Per the 2016 American Community Survey, there are 3,970,912 persons of 5 and older within the RTA service area. 86,434, 2.2%, speak English “not well”, or “not at all”. See table below for a breakdown by county:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proficiency Level</th>
<th>Macomb</th>
<th>Oakland</th>
<th>Washtenaw</th>
<th>Wayne</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total (over 5)</td>
<td>812,174</td>
<td>1,167,085</td>
<td>339,514</td>
<td>1,652,139</td>
<td>3,970,912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Not well&quot;</td>
<td>15,189</td>
<td>16,658</td>
<td>4,117</td>
<td>29,778</td>
<td>65,742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Not at all&quot;</td>
<td>5,241</td>
<td>4,238</td>
<td>1,421</td>
<td>9,792</td>
<td>20,692</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The RTA also analyzed the different language spoken within the service area to determine which were most likely to be encountered within the service area. The RTA used the Detailed Languages Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English for the Population 5 Years and Over: 2009-2013 to get a more detailed survey of languages. See the table below for a breakdown of the top ten most common languages spoken throughout the region by county:
Based on this analysis, RTA determined that it is likely that staff will encounter individuals that speak English, Spanish, and/or Arabic. It is less likely that staff will encounter individuals that speak other languages listed in the above table as they represent less than 1% of the overall population.

**Factor 2: Frequency of Contact with LEP Individuals**

RTA informally surveyed its employees, SEMCOG Title VI coordinators, and RTA-affiliated transit providers (DDOT, SMART, AAATA, and DTC) on the nature and frequency of their contact with LEP persons while performing daily job functions, and anticipates that further interaction with LEP persons is limited. However, the RTA office is publicly accessible, and numerous public engagement events are part of the public transit planning processes. Programs, services, and activities that have potential impact for LEP persons include, but are not limited to:

- Public involvement and public engagement meetings/hearings for transit projects affecting LEP communities or individuals;
- Federal funding sub-recipients (transit providers);
- Internet access: Websites must be accessible to LEP persons;
- Phone communications: notices/greetings in languages other than English.

**Factor 3: Nature and Importance of the Program, Activity, or Service to LEP**

The RTA is responsible for completing a Regional Master Transit Plan, planning and coordinating public transportation in the four-county region, including the City of Detroit. The nature of the RTA’s planning activities is very important to LEP communities. Given the number of LEP individuals in the region, we will ensure reasonable accessibility to all of our programs, services, and activities consistent with DOT Guidance.

**Factor 4: Resources Available to RTA and Overall Cost**

U.S. Department of Transportation Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients’ Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons published in the Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239) states:

“A recipient’s level of resources and the costs imposed may have an impact on the nature of the steps it should take in providing meaningful access for LEP persons. Smaller recipients with more limited budgets are not expected to provide the same level of language services as larger recipients with larger budgets.
In addition, “reasonable steps” may cease to be reasonable where the costs imposed substantially exceed the benefits. Recipients should carefully explore the most cost-effective means of delivering competent and accurate language services before limiting services due to resource concerns.”

Based on this guidance, RTA has reviewed its resources and deemed that, upon request, we will translate vital documents into the language requested to ensure accessibility. At a minimum RTA’s Title VI policy, LEP policy, and complaint forms will be available in English, Spanish, and Arabic.

RTA-funded planning activities have funds dedicated for interpreter services at public meetings and translation of documents. Contractor and sub-contractor activities are also covered under these resources. The RTA will provide translation and interpreter services if given sufficient notice.

C. Safe Harbor Stipulation

Federal law provides a “Safe Harbor” situation so that recipients can ensure with greater certainty that they comply with their obligation to provide written translations in languages other than English. A “Safe Harbor” means that if a recipient provides written translation in certain circumstances, such action will be considered strong evidence of compliance with the recipient’s written-translation obligations under Title VI. RTA defines an interpreter as “a person who translates spoken language orally,” as opposed to a translator, who translates written language or who transfers the meaning of written text from one language into another. The person who translates orally is not a translator, but an interpreter. RTA will, upon request, make an interpreter available, in circumstances where written translations are not required.

Failure to provide written translations under the circumstances does not mean there is non-compliance, but rather provides a guide for recipients that would like greater certainty of compliance than can be provided by a fact-intensive, four-factor analysis. For example, even if a Safe Harbor is not used, if written translation of a certain document(s) would be so burdensome as to defeat the legitimate objectives of its program, it is not necessary. Other ways of providing meaningful access, such as effective oral interpretation of certain vital documents, might be acceptable under such circumstances.

Strong evidence of compliance with the recipient’s written translation obligations under “Safe Harbor” includes providing written translations of vital documents for each eligible LEP language group that constitutes five percent or 1,000, whichever is less, of the population of persons eligible to be served or likely to be affected or encountered. Translation of other documents, if needed, can be provided orally.

This “Safe Harbor” provision applies to the translation of written documents only. It does not affect the requirement to provide meaningful access to LEP individuals through competent oral interpreters where oral language services are needed and reasonable.

The languages that trigger the Safe Harbor threshold are: Spanish and Arabic. Thus, RTA will translate upon request all vital documents which include, but not limited to complaint forms, complaint procedures, and all public meeting notices. The RTA has Identification Language Cards and Translation Services...
interpreters available on-demand. On average, written translation requests of vital documents will be made available within five to seven business days.

D. Providing Notice to LEP Persons

U.S. DOT LEP guidance says:

Once an agency has decided, based on the four factors, that it will provide language service, it is important that the recipient notify LEP persons of services available free of charge. Recipients should provide this notice in languages LEP persons would understand.

The guidance provides several examples of notification including:

1. Signage in languages that an LEP individual would understand when free language assistance is available with advance notice.
2. Stating in outreach documents that free language services are available from the agency.
3. Working with community-based organizations and other stakeholders to inform LEP individuals of the recipient’s services, including the availability of language assistance services.

Statements in languages that an LEP individual would understand will be placed in public information and public notices informing LEP individuals that those requiring language assistance and/or special accommodations will be provided the requested service free of charge, with reasonable advanced notice to the RTA.

Options and Proposed Actions

Options

Federal fund recipients have two (2) ways to provide language services: oral interpretation either in person or via telephone interpretation service, and written translation. The correct mix should be based on what is both necessary and reasonable in light of the four-factor analysis.

Considering the size of the RTA planning area, the concentration of LEP individuals in the service area, and RTA’s financial resources, it is necessary to limit language aid to the most basic and cost-effective services. Other than the previously mentioned vital documents, if there are additional language assistance measures required for LEP individuals, RTA shall proceed with interpretation options to meet all requests for those language groups to ensure equal access, while also complying with LEP regulations.

Proposed Actions

- Notify the public that interpreter services are available upon request, with seven-day advanced notice
- With advanced notice of seven calendar days, RTA will provide interpreter services at public meetings, including language translation and signage for the hearing impaired.
- RTA will utilize the Translators Resource List as provided by MDOT for translation services and verbal interpretation
- The Census Bureau “I-speak” Language Identification Card will be distributed to all employees that may potentially encounter LEP individuals.

RTA definition - Interpreter: is “a person who translates spoken language orally,” as opposed to a translator, who translates written language or who transfers the meaning of written text from one language into another. The person who translates orally is not a translator, but an interpreter.
• Once the LEP individual’s language has been identified, an agency from the Translators Resource List will be contacted to provide interpretation services.
• RTA’s Title VI webpage will be published in English, Spanish, and Arabic.
• Publications of RTA’s Title VI Complaint Form and Title VI Plan will be made available on RTA’s website in English, Spanish, and Arabic, as well as other languages upon request.
• Translation of other vital RTA documents will be made available upon request.
• In the event that an RTA employee encounters a LEP individual, they will follow the procedure listed below:

  **Office Encounter:**

  1. Provide an I-speak language identification card to determine the language spoken of the LEP individual.
  2. Once the foreign language is determined, provide information to Title VI coordinator who will contact an interpreter from MDOT’s Translators Resource List.
  3. If the need is for a document to be translated, the Title VI coordinator will have the document translated and provided to the requestor as soon as possible.

  **In Writing:**

  1. Once a letter has been received it will be immediately forwarded to the Title VI Coordinator.
  2. The Title VI Coordinator will contact a translator from the MDOT’s Translators Resource List to determine the specifics of the letter request information.
  3. The Title VI Coordinator will work with the selected agency to provide the requested service to the individual in a timely manner.

  **Over the Phone:**

  1. If someone calls into RTA’s office speaking another language, every attempt will be made to keep that individual on the line until an interpreter can be conferenced into the line and, if possible, determine the language spoken of the caller.
  2. Once the language spoken by the caller has been identified, RTA will proceed with providing the requested assistance to the LEP individual.

**E. LEP Complaint Procedures**

Complaints of discrimination involving LEP, Title VI, and related statutes will be investigated using the Title VI complaint procedures and form described in the Title VI Plan.

**F. Monitoring and Updating the LEP Plan**

This plan is subject to revision based on the changes in demographics as reported by the Census and any Environmental Justice (EJ) analysis done by the RTA or SEMCOG. It is viewed as a work in progress and will be updated as needed, but at a minimum every three years. RTA will also use self-reported information from public meeting sign-in sheets during LEP Plan updates. The LEP will be examined and updated based on the following:

- How the needs of LEP persons have been addressed
- Whether local language assistance programs have been effective and sufficient to meet the needs of LEP persons
- Whether RTA’s financial resources are sufficient to fund language assistance resources
- Whether complaints have been received concerning the agency's failure to meet the needs of LEP individuals.

G. RTA Staff Training

RTA staff will receive training on the requirements for providing meaningful access to services for LEP persons. RTA employees will be trained or made aware of the Title VI and LEP policies and complaint procedures through regular staff training. Key staff with the higher potential to interact with LEP persons will receive in-depth training on their roles and responsibilities related to LEP persons.

H. LEP Plan Access

A copy of the LEP plan document can be requested at RTA’s main office during normal business hours and RTA will make the plan available on the website at www.rtamichigan.org. Any person or agency may also request a copy by contacting:

Regional Transit Authority of Southeast Michigan
1001 Woodward Avenue, Suite 1400
Detroit, MI 48226
313-402-1020