No one believed me is a quote from Merri Utami, who was sentenced to death for drug trafficking in Indonesia in 2002. Her quote reflects the injustices faced by women accused of capital drug offenses around the world: many decision makers disbelieve women's plausible innocence claims or discount the effects of relationships and economic instability on women's decisions to traffic drugs.

COVER PHOTOGRAPH: Mary Jane Veloso, on death row in Indonesia for a drug offense, being escorted by police in 2015.
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Merri Utami is on death row in Indonesia for drug trafficking. She maintains that she had no knowledge of the drugs she was carrying. We feature Merri’s story in greater detail in this report’s first case profile.

My name is Merri Utami. Twenty years ago (this October) I was sentenced to death for a drug offense. I have spent 20 years in prison for an act I did not understand at the time. During this long imprisonment, I have suffered a lot. I still remember how the media covered my case when I was arrested and dubbed me the ‘Queen of Heroin.’ I had no chance to tell the truth. I still remember that during the police investigation stage I said repeatedly that the drugs were not mine, but no one was there to help me, and no one believed me. They tortured me, but even then I would not confess.

At the moment the judges sentenced me to death, I could not control my emotions. I was terrified. After that, there were moments when I felt like I wanted to die. But my most challenging moment was when I had to convey the judge’s verdict to my family. My children would grow up without a mother, and I couldn’t bear the shame my family would have to endure due to my case. The greatest pain of all was when my son died. It was his birthday, and I wanted to call him from prison, but I didn’t have the money to pay for the call. I ended up selling water spinach, and I only managed to collect the money three days after my child’s birthday. The day I finally got to call my son, they had just buried him. My chest felt like it was smothered, because I could not run and hug his body. The yearning for my child still makes my heart shudder. His death urged me to rise above my adversity and begin to accept my situation. I learned that an imprisoned soul can still express itself. A well-grown tree can produce fruits and enlighten the mood of people who care for it. I have tried to learn to be like a tree, through singing, gardening, and helping to build a church within the prison. But at times I have been in a place of desperation. For eight months, I did not have money to buy the basic needs of a woman. I had to hoe and plant vegetables in exchange for sanitary napkins.

My routine work kept my mind busy until one night, in 2016, two prison guards woke me up and told me that they were taking me to Nusakambangan, the site of executions. I looked at the cell once inhabited by the late Rani Andriani, another woman sentenced to death for drug offenses who had faced the same situation I was facing now. I was so scared. Memories of the smells, sounds, and footsteps of officers in Nusakambangan still linger in my head to this day. Ahead of my scheduled execution, I was met by my daughter who brought along my infant grandchild. It was the height of my sorrow. I tried to reassure my daughter but inside my heart ached. I wanted to live and to share my experiences, so that no other vulnerable woman would be manipulated. That night, God let me stay alive.

I want the world to understand that when women are in a toxic relationship—as I was—society does not support her, but blames her for choosing the wrong man. Women are vulnerable to being manipulated by men because women feel they need protection, and most of those who provide protection are men. Even when women have been hurt over and over again, they will continue to apologize. This weakness makes women vulnerable to being tortured by men physically and mentally. I hope anyone who reads this report could take heed of these valuable lessons. I also hope that policy-makers will be wiser in assessing the deterrent effect of imprisonment. The death penalty should be abolished, because God gives people the opportunity to repent when they are at fault.

I want the world to know that we, women on death row, are suffering inwardly. Women often keep their struggles to themselves, even though they are unconsciously destroying themselves. But people can, and must, learn from the experiences of women. So women must open up and tell their stories. This is our story.

Merri Utami
July 21, 2021
Cilacap Correctional Institution
Merri Utami inside the church she helped to build in prison. Photo courtesy of LBHM.
Foreword

Executions for drug offences reached a 12-year global low in 2020, an outcome which is undermined by the steadily rising number of death sentences for drug offences being handed down by judges. Although recognised as a violation of international human rights law, the death penalty for drug offences remains a politically sensitive topic, to the point that it is a recurring theme for presidential posturing in a handful of countries around the world.

This important study takes a deep dive into the experiences of women on death row for drug offences. Significantly, this report examines the issue at a time when globally, women’s incarceration rates have increased by 17% since 2010 (a disproportionately higher increase than men); with punitive drug laws as a major driver of this trend. It is estimated that approximately 35% of women in prison around the world have been convicted for drug offences. In the Middle East and Asia, drug offences are the second most common crime for which women are sentenced to death.

The war on drugs narrative justifies the harshest punishments for drug-related crimes, and – as highlighted in Harm Reduction International’s global research - in 35 countries the harshest judicial punishment means the death penalty. People on death row for drug offences tend to be involved at the lowest level of the drug trade, and are generally marginalised in society. Gender, socio-economic position, ethnicity and foreign status in a country add intersectional vulnerability to this context. I note the authors’ interest in also amplifying the experiences of transgender and gender non-binary people on death row for drug offences, which was limited by the paucity of information available; and acknowledge the additional vulnerabilities of a group of individuals whose stories are largely hidden from the public.

While some women engage in the drug trade through their own volition, for others, a narrower range of choices, along with poverty, coercion, violence, manipulation, and the survival needs of a family play a significant factor in their involvement. Merri Utami’s case and her campaign for clemency shows how she ended up on death row after being manipulated by people with more power and resources.

However, notwithstanding the often blatant reference to gender in judicial proceedings, an analytical approach to the role of gender and connected vulnerabilities is often omitted from consideration when it comes to sentencing. The cases documented by Cornell Center on the Death Penalty Worldwide demonstrate the alarming extent to which women sentenced to death for drug offences experienced gender bias in criminal proceedings and violations of their right to a fair trial.

We thank the Cornell Center on the Death Penalty Worldwide for its work to shine a light on the lives of women on death row for drug offences and are committed to working together to challenge the harms of, and limitations of our archaic laws, policies and processes. We must strive for societies where it is inconceivable that our elected representatives tolerate the death penalty, or invest vast amounts of tax payer dollars in systems which sustain state violence and mass incarceration. We can only begin to address the harm done by firmly connecting our work towards abolition of the death penalty with the full decriminalisation of drugs and inclusive feminist movements.

Naomi Burke-Shyne
Executive Director
London, July 2021
Harm Reduction International
Executive Summary

The punitiveness of the international drug control system has been largely responsible for the growth of the world’s female prison population in the last three decades. In countries that punish drug offenses with death—a violation of international law—a large majority of the women on death row were convicted of drug-related offenses. This report examines the circumstances that lead women to commit or be charged with drug offenses and the impact of gender bias on the criminal process they experience.

Drug convictions account for a minority of the world’s death sentences but a majority of capital convictions in a small number of so-called ‘retentionist’ death penalty states. Capital drug laws are most entrenched in states that resist the global trend towards abolition, concentrated in Asia and the Middle East. Many of these states do not publish information on their use of capital punishment. Moreover, gender-disaggregated and gender-specific data frequently does not exist. Nevertheless, this study examines the available information, notably for countries such as Indonesia, Malaysia, China, and Thailand. Our analysis reveals the following trends relating to women facing death for drug offenses:

**FOREIGN NATIONALS ARE OVER-REPRESENTED** among women on death row for drug offenses. These disparities are more pronounced among the female death row population than among death-sentenced men. Many of these foreign nationals are migrant workers. For example, in Malaysia, 95% of the 129 women on death row for drug offenses in 2019 were foreign nationals.

**ECONOMIC INSECURITY**

The gendered financial burden of caring for family members, especially among women with little education and without the aid of strong social support systems or access to stable work, is one of the key factors that pushes women into trafficking drugs. Courts often fail to take into account women’s economic instability and caregiving responsibilities before imposing death sentences. One woman in China, a single mother, spent the proceeds of a drug sale to care for her son, who had a disability. The court held that this fact was irrelevant. Although economic need often propels women into drug trafficking, women typically make little money from trafficking (they are often unaware of what exactly they are carrying). Drug trafficking is, like most women’s jobs pre-arrest, just another precarious job—albeit one that exposes them to the risk of capital punishment.

**MANIPULATIVE OR COERCIVE RELATIONSHIPS WITH MALE CO-DEFENDANTS.**

In many of the cases we reviewed, women transported drugs under the influence or pressure of a male partner, who typically suffered fewer or no criminal consequences. In part, this reflects the gender-stratified and male-dominated structure of the drug economy. In one case, the only apparent evidence against the spouse of a drug trader who was well-known to the police was an informal ledger that included the word “wife.” She was sentenced to death; her husband disappeared before serving any jail time.

Women are also disproportionately likely, compared to men, to fall victim to online romance scams that may ultimately lead them to unwittingly traffic drugs. Men who fake relationships to trick women into transporting drugs rely on a common set of tactics, but female defendants struggle to convince judges that they were not aware of the drugs they were carrying. Courts also often neglect to consider the role of an abusive relationship on a woman’s decision to transport drugs.

**REFUSAL TO CONSIDER GENDER-SPECIFIC MITIGATION**

Fair trial principles dictate that courts should consider all relevant mitigating circumstances before imposing a sentence. In practice, however, many courts neglect gender-specific mitigation, and in states that impose a mandatory death penalty, courts may not consider any mitigating circumstances at all. Our research suggests that past trauma from abusive relationships affects the trajectories of many women who traffic drugs. Available data also suggests that women in prison for drug offenses are more likely than men to have endured adverse childhood experiences. Nevertheless, courts routinely fail to take into account the impact of trauma and gender-based violence in determining the appropriate sentence for women.
RELIANCE ON STEREOTYPICAL GENDER NARRATIVES

In the course of this study, we uncovered many cases where courts relied on gender stereotypes to interpret women’s circumstances and motivations before sentencing them to death. They offer troubling indications that gender bias affects outcomes in capital drug cases. Notably, courts are reluctant to accept that a female defendant was tricked or pressured into transporting drugs unless she matches the profile of a helpless female victim: poor, uneducated, and—in cases involving a male co-conspirator— inexperienced with men. Courts tend not to believe that women from less disadvantaged backgrounds or who have experienced prior romantic relationships are vulnerable to coercion or manipulation. In one case from Malaysia, the court concluded that “it is very unlikely that the respondent, who is a diploma holder… could have placed herself in a situation where she could be exploited to commit a crime.” In the case of another woman, who claimed her partner manipulated her into transporting drugs, the court described the defendant’s defense posture as “a damsel in her maiden love,” a perspective it rejected given that “she herself gave evidence that she was in the process of divorcing her husband and, on top of that, they have a child. Thus, it would not be too remote in finding that she fully knew the effect, danger and pitfall of anyone madly and blindly in love.”

LACK OF ACCESS TO ADEQUATE INTERPRETERS AND LAWYERS

Women in many migrant source countries tend to have less access to education than men, which makes them less likely to speak a foreign language. Because of disparities in socioeconomic status and educational attainment, women struggle more than men to access an interpreter or retain skilled legal counsel. In one case we reviewed, the woman’s boyfriend and potential co-defendant told the police, in a language she did not understand, that she did not need an interpreter, before pinning the blame on her and walking away—while she was condemned to death.

This report relies on primary and secondary data sources and is the first effort to aggregate global data surrounding drug offenses and the death penalty as these phenomena relate to gender. Based on our findings, we have issued a series of urgent recommendations to governments, lawmakers, the judiciary, prison authorities, and civil society. We hope that this report spurs further research and attention to the plight of women facing death sentences for drug-related offenses.
Introduction

In our previous research report about women on death row globally, *Judged for More Than Her Crime*, we highlighted gender discrimination in capital trials and the uniquely precarious detention conditions for women facing capital sentences. Here, we use a gender lens to focus on women facing the death penalty for drug offenses.

Scholars and commentators have observed that the “number of women arrested for participating in the illicit drug trade is on the rise worldwide, in particular among women who lack education or economic opportunity or who have been victims of abuse.” Criminologists attribute this rise to harsher sentencing for low-level drug offenses, rather than increased criminal activity by women. As a whole, the use of the death penalty is on the decline, but a small minority of nations have passed legislation expanding the application of the death penalty for drug offenses. Other countries are attempting to bring back the death penalty for use in drug cases. The use of the death penalty for drug crimes is of special concern because it violates international law, which requires that the death penalty be used only for the “most serious crimes,” a threshold that human rights bodies have repeatedly found that drug offenses do not meet.

In this report, we dove deep into the pernicious ways in which women experience the disparate impact of capital drug laws. Most notably, we found that the economic insecurity that women experience, their disproportionate share of caretaking responsibilities, and manipulation or coercion by intimate partners result in women committing drug offenses or being charged with drug offenses in countries where they face the death penalty. The drug trade is a gender-stratified and predominantly masculine system, and men commit most drug crimes.

Some women make a conscious decision to traffic drugs in context of their gendered positionality. Within the gender-stratified drug trade, many other women are tricked into carrying drugs unwittingly, and many of those women are targeted by men who became their trusted, intimate partners under false pretenses before asking women to transport items that contain drugs. Available data suggests that the top of the drug trade hierarchy is male-dominated, and that relative to their overall role in the drug trade, women are disproportionally likely to be low-level drug couriers. A number of countries mandate or impose the death penalty for certain drug offenses, unless defendants are able to provide valuable information that allows law enforcement to disrupt the drug trade. This means that low-level couriers, and therefore women, are disproportionately unlikely to have this information, and therefore more likely to receive the mandatory death penalty without the opportunity to present compelling mitigation.

Researcher Samantha Jeffries has concluded that women incarcerated for drug offenses in Thailand have experienced “trauma, disordered family lives, other adverse life experiences, deviant friendships, addiction (and other mental health problems), male influence and control, limited education, poverty, and familial caretaking responsibilities.” Our research suggests that many women on death row for drug offenses around the world share these characteristics. These challenges are heightened in the lives of women who are noncitizens, who are disproportionately sentenced to death in at least three of the countries profiled in this report. Many of them are migrant workers who face “compounded vulnerabilities.”

Our research has also uncovered examples of gender bias in the criminal legal system, such as courts that, in capital drug trials, focus on a female defendant’s history of sex work, and police who impute guilt to a woman based solely on her male co-defendant’s accusations. Courts routinely fail to take into account the impact of trauma and gender-based violence in determining the appropriate sentence for a woman’s case.

As in our previous work, we highlight both the serious risk that innocent women are sentenced to death for drug offenses, and the sympathetic stories of women who are guilty of their offenses—but whose guilt is mitigated by compelling circumstances. Feminist academics emphasize the importance of acknowledging that women often have agency in choosing to traffic drugs and that some women participate at all levels of the drug trade, and we aim to reflect the complex, gendered realities within which some women make their decisions. As a result of media bias towards narratives of innocence and our desire to profile women only when attention on their case would not harm them, our report includes multiple stories of women who have compelling arguments that they are innocent. We wish to emphasize, however, that both innocent and guilty
women sentenced to death for drug offenses deserve fair trials and sentencing proceedings in which the realities of their lives receive full consideration.
Methodology

As we have previously noted, data regarding women sentenced to death around the world is scant. In compiling this report, we relied on a variety of sources, including empirical studies, reports, journal articles, government statistics, complaints to international human rights bodies, case files, country-specific legislation, jurisprudence, civil society, and media reports. In addition, we conducted interviews with country experts from China, Indonesia, Iran, Malaysia, Pakistan, the Philippines, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, and Thailand.

Whenever possible, our report drew on information specific to women on death row for drug offenses. Where such information was not available, we relied on information about women incarcerated for drug offenses who faced a possible death sentence at trial, or women incarcerated for drug offenses more generally. We indicate these distinctions in the text of the report.

We define drug offenses as “drug-related activities categorised as crimes under national laws… [T]his definition excludes activities which are not related to the trafficking, manufacturing, possession or use of controlled substances and related inchoate offences (inciting, assisting or abetting a crime).”

We have relied on both qualitative and quantitative data. We privileged in-depth profiles of individual women and used their narratives to contextualize our research. We strove to adopt an intersectional lens, and we were guided by research on intersectional discrimination that highlights the importance of using women’s narratives about themselves. For this reason, long-form profiles of women with lived experience of incarceration and capital sentencing are central to our report.

Our research drew heavily on information gathered by in-country experts—including practicing capital defense lawyers, activists, academics, and non-profit organizations working on issues related to the death penalty, gender rights, migrant worker rights, drug policy, extrajudicial killings, and female incarceration. Our partners’ knowledge of the legal, political, and cultural systems in which they work is invaluable, and is based on their first-hand experience as well as their engagement with a wide range of stakeholders in the criminal legal system, including civil society, prison administrators, and individuals living under a death sentence.

Gathering information on death penalty practices is challenging at the best of times, and the COVID-19 pandemic further curtailed our access to information. Our partners were often unable, during the research period, to visit prisons and carry out their usual work with directly impacted women. In several cases, therefore, we had to rely on information that dates from before the pandemic, and we tailored our country research to the available information.

Finally, we started our research with the goal of incorporating all gender minorities into our study, including individuals who identify as cis-women, trans women, trans men, and non-binary. We found, however, that there is little to no publicly available information about trans and non-binary individuals facing the death penalty for drug offenses. We have shared what information we could find about trans and non-binary people (though only some identify as women). Until more death penalty advocates engage with the rights of trans and non-binary people, studies on gender and capital punishment will be limited largely to cis-women.
PROFILE: MERRI UTAMI (INDONESIA)

Merri Utami is a grandmother who has spent 19 years on death row in Indonesia. Merri was convicted of illegally importing drugs into Indonesia, but she insists that she had no knowledge of her role, and that she was in fact targeted and manipulated by professional drug traffickers. Merri’s life has been shaped by poverty, abuse, and exploitation.

At a young age, Merri entered an abusive marriage. Her husband was a violent man, but Merri felt she did not have the power—or the financial means—to leave him. More than twenty years later, the marks of his violence remain on Merri’s body. They had two children: Yosi, a son, and Devi, a daughter. Yosi was born with a defective heart valve, and the cost of his treatment consumed much of the family’s meager resources. Merri’s husband was a gambler, and his debts added to the family’s financial stress. Pressured by her husband, and the need to treat her son, Merri left Indonesia to work as a domestic worker in Taipei. Her children went to live with an aunt in East Java.

Merri missed her children desperately and she wrote long, loving letters to them, trying to parent from afar. She returned home to Indonesia once, hoping that she could stay, but Merri’s husband had not reformed and his beatings resumed. After some time, an acquaintance in Taipei introduced her to Jerry, who presented himself as a Canadian businessman living in Jakarta. They began a relationship. While her husband had been vicious, Jerry was kind.

One day, Jerry surprised Merri with tickets to Nepal. He told her that they would go on holiday and afterwards they would marry. After a few days in Nepal, Jerry unexpectedly announced that he had to return to Indonesia early for work. He apologized profusely and encouraged her to enjoy the rest of her holiday. Jerry said that Merri’s purse was too old and that, as an apology for his departure, he had instructed a friend to gift her a new one. When Jerry’s friend gave Merri the bag, she asked why it was so heavy. Jerry’s friend explained that it was a good quality bag, which was heavier than a cheap bag, and Merri believed him.

Merri returned to Indonesia, and left the airport carrying the new purse. She quickly realized that her suitcase, which had her souvenirs from Nepal inside it, was missing, and she returned to the airport to report her suitcase missing. Upon re-entering the airport, security officers put all of Merri’s luggage through X-ray scanners and then, swiftly, led her into a small room, placing the new purse from Jerry on a table. The officers pricked the bag with a needle, and white powder started pouring out. The lining of the bag was stuffed with heroin. Merri was shocked, and tried to call Jerry for help, but his phone had been disconnected. Two police officers escorted Merri to a hotel where they interrogated her. Merri insisted that she had no knowledge of the drugs. The police held a gun to her head, kicked her in the face and slapped her, leaving her with a split lip and wounds covering her body. Despite their brutality, she refused to confess.

Because Merri could not afford a lawyer, she was assigned one by the government. At her trial, her lawyer did not present a single witness or expert to testify on her behalf. He failed to tell the court about Merri’s background of domestic violence or explain her isolation and vulnerability to exploitation as a migrant domestic worker. The all-male panel of judges observed that Merri’s testimony was fractured and unclear. They reasoned that this was indicative of her role in a highly secretive international drug syndicate, instead of considering that it might in fact reflect the effects of trauma. The judges also deemed that Merri did not look sufficiently remorseful. On May 20, 2002, Merri was convicted of importing heroin and sentenced to death by firing squad. (This verdict was later upheld by Bandung High Court in 2002 and the Supreme Court in 2003.)

Shortly after her conviction, Merri received the news that her son, Yosi, had died. She said she felt as though her “chest was… smothered.” Meanwhile, she continued to face daily indignities as a woman within the prison system. Nonetheless, Merri strived to make her life bearable. She learned to garden and became an active church member.
Merri explains that she was trying “to make peace with the unimaginable environment.” In 2005, Merri was reunited with her daughter, Devi, and the two restored the bond that had been damaged by Merri’s incarceration.

One night in 2016, prison guards informed Merri that she was to be transferred to Nusa Kambangan, known as “Execution Island.” Devi frantically called Merri’s lawyer, but he did not return her calls. The Community Legal Aid Institute (LBHM) heard about Merri’s case, and offered her their legal services. They rushed to submit a clemency petition to the Indonesian President just days before her scheduled execution.

The night before Merri was due to be executed, Devi came with her infant child to say goodbye to her mother. Merri describes that moment as “the height of [her] sorrow.” On July 29, 2016, four people who at been imprisoned with Merri were executed, but Merri was spared. Five years later, however, Merri remains in prison under sentence of death. Her request for clemency has gone unanswered.

To write this profile, we conducted interviews with Merri’s lawyers, and consulted court records and publicly available information. We publish this profile with Merri’s consent.
Global Trends

GENDER, DRUG CRIME, AND INTERNATIONAL LAW

The prohibition of capital punishment for drug offenses under international law

The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), one of the most widely ratified human rights treaties, restricts the use of capital punishment to the “most serious crimes.” In the last 30 years, treaty bodies, human rights courts, and scholars have interpreted this standard as encompassing only “intentional crimes, with lethal or other extremely grave consequences.” The U.N. Human Rights Committee, the treaty body tasked with interpreting the ICCPR, has repeatedly made clear that drug offenses do not meet the “most serious crimes” threshold. Imposing death sentences or carrying out executions for drug crimes therefore contravenes international standards.

Nevertheless, a small but vocal minority of states continues to impose capital punishment for drug offenses, often as part of punitive anti-drug policies, arguing that the drug trade creates “threats to the life, values and health of the state”—and thus meets the threshold of “most serious crime.” States that use capital punishment for drug offenses claim that the death penalty has a deterrent effect on drug trafficking and drug use, although there is no evidence that it is more efficient than other methods of punishment. In fact, the international drug control system, characterized by harsh punishments and police enforcement, has done little to decrease the harms associated with drug use.

Globally, 35 countries currently prescribe the death penalty for drug offenses, mostly concentrated in Asia and the Middle East. States that impose the death penalty for drug offenses are more likely to belong to the minority of countries that continue to carry out executions. Only seven of the 35 countries that retain the death penalty for drug offenses are de facto abolitionist, according to the U.N.’s definition of the term (no executions in at least ten years). The remaining 28 countries that punish drug offenses with death, however, belong to the group of 35 more broadly retentionist jurisdictions (they have carried out at least one execution in the past decade). Among these, nine states are among the world’s top ten executioners as identified by Amnesty International. As a result, although the death penalty for drug offenses is a regional trend, it is one that accounts for a significant part of the world’s use of capital punishment.

In the 35 states that currently prescribe the death penalty for drug offenses, capital punishment applies to a range of drug-related activities, most notably cultivation and manufacturing, smuggling, trafficking, and importing/exporting controlled substances. Drug trafficking includes smuggling, trading, exchanging, or selling a drug. Certain states also apply the death penalty for offenses such as drugs possession and drugs possession for trafficking, storing and hiding drugs, financing drug offenses, or inducing or coercing minors to use drugs.

In 12 states, the legal framework compels courts to impose arbitrary death sentences as a punishment for at least certain drug offenses, in violation of international law. These states apply the mandatory death penalty for drug trafficking, in many cases determining that the sentence should be death by only looking at the quantity of drugs involved. In these cases, domestic courts have no discretion to consider the circumstances of the offender or the offense before imposing a sentence of death. This practice violates international law prohibiting mandatory capital sentencing.

Drug laws that make possession a capital offense are particularly problematic under international law. Capital possession laws target individuals who use drugs rather than individuals involved in the drug trade. Yet six states apply the death penalty for possession of drugs, defined here as the mere act of being in possession of a substance. Possession requires no intent to distribute and counts among the least serious drug-related offenses. Fourteen states allow the imposition of the death penalty for possession for the purpose of trafficking. At least seven of these presume intent to traffic if the defendant carried more than a threshold quantity provided by law. In some countries, the amount that triggers the presumption of trafficking—which can lead to a death sentence—is very low. For example, in Sri Lanka, possession of just two grams of cocaine triggers the presumption, although it is not uncommon for people who use cocaine regularly to consume one gram or more a day.

The persistence of excessively retributive capital drug policies stands in sharp contrast to the abolitionist trend that
has gained ground worldwide over the past three decades.79 Between 2008 and 2018, excluding China, five of the states that retain the death penalty for drug offenses (Iran, Singapore, Indonesia, Vietnam, and Saudi Arabia) were responsible for almost 40% of total known executions.80 While some states, such as Iran, have progressively restricted the application of the death penalty for certain drug offenses, others, such as the Philippines, have proposed reintroducing capital punishment for drug offenses.81

Our research indicates that, in practice, even in countries that do not have a mandatory death penalty, courts often fail to adequately consider the circumstances of the offense and the offender, including in drug cases. Courts are particularly reluctant to consider gender-specific mitigation evidence. As a result, even though women often play a minor role in the drug trade and are sometimes manipulated or coerced into committing drug offenses, they are easy targets for drug enforcement authorities. The current international drug control system has thus dramatically increased the number of women imprisoned and sentenced to death.82

**Women sentenced to death for drug offenses under international law**

Very few international standards specifically address women’s rights within the criminal legal process. International law does exclude pregnant women from the application of the death penalty, and several regional treaties exclude breastfeeding mothers.83 These protective standards grant additional safeguards only to women in their maternal role, emphasizing the value of women who meet socially-enforced ideals of femininity.

More than a decade ago, the international community adopted standards regarding the treatment of women in prison, but they remain vastly under-implemented. The United Nations Rules for the Treatment of Women Prisoners and Non-custodial Measures for Women Offenders (the ‘Bangkok Rules’), which complement the United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (the ‘Mandela Rules’),84 set out minimum standards for the humane incarceration of women, including facilities that separate them from male detainees and supervision by female staff.85 Eleven years after their adoption and despite the exponential growth of the female prison population in the last 20 years, prisons remain largely designed for a male population.86 In April 2021, recognizing the growing number of women incarcerated for drug offenses, the U.N. Office on Narcotic Drugs and Crimes, together with the Office for Rule of Law and Security Institutions in the Department for Peace Operations and the Office of the U.N. High Commissioner for Human Rights, reiterated the need to take into account the distinct backgrounds and needs of incarcerated women.87

In recent years, international bodies have begun to recognize the need to bring a gender lens to international drug policy. In 2016, the U.N. General Assembly addressed human rights impacts of drug control and discussed gender-specific issues faced by women incarcerated for drug offenses, such as the need to access gender-sensitive health services and counseling. It called for member states to involve women in all stages of the development, implementation, and monitoring of drug policies.88 That same year, the U.N. Commission on Narcotic Drugs called on member states to consider the specific needs of women and girls in implementing drug policies.89

These declarations fall short of addressing the human rights issues women face in capital drug prosecutions. In addition, the frequent unavailability of gender-disaggregated and gender-specific data impedes both national and international actors from understanding how gender bias operates in capital sentencing and finding solutions to address it.
THE USE OF THE DEATH PENALTY FOR DRUG-RELATED OFFENSES: A REVIEW OF STATE PRACTICES

Global trends on the use of the death penalty for drug offenses

Punitive drug policies contribute significantly to the growing prison population worldwide, particularly with respect to women. In 2020, over two million people were in prison for drug-related offenses, and drug-related charges accounted for 20% of the global prison population. In the last 20 years, the global female prison population has increased by 50%, much of it attributable to (often low-level) drug-related convictions. Moreover, punitive drug laws have a greater impact on women’s imprisonment (35% of 714,000 women globally are in prison for drugs) than on men’s (19% of ten million men). This trend is most notable in countries like Thailand, where the ratio of women incarcerated for drug offenses has reached 84% of the total female prison population. Women’s incarceration affects their families in unique ways: worldwide, around 19,000 children are currently living with their mothers in prison.

The available data suggests that drug convictions account for a minority of the world’s death sentences but a majority of capital convictions in a small number of states. Amnesty International reports that by the end of 2020, at least 28,567 people were known to be under sentence of death, with 82% of them in only nine countries, excluding China. Harm Reduction International estimates that, amongst them, at least three thousand were on death row for drug offenses (though, due to lack of transparency around death penalty data, the true number may well be higher), again excluding China. In a handful of countries, however—many of which continue to carry out executions—drug convictions underlie the vast majority of capital sentences. In the states defined by Harm Reduction International as ‘high application’ death penalty states for drug offenses, drug convictions account for a substantial proportion—and sometimes an overwhelming majority—of death-sentenced prisoners. In 2020, 87% of all recorded death sentences in Vietnam were imposed for drug offenses, and in the same year, 86% of new known death sentences in Indonesia were imposed for drug offenses. Every new known death sentence in Singapore in 2019 was for a drug offense.

According to Amnesty International murder and drug-related offenses underlie most of the world’s executions, but precise data is exceedingly difficult to come by. The best available estimates almost certainly undercount the true number of drug-related executions globally, possibly by hundreds. Amnesty confirmed 122 known drug-related executions out of 657 executions globally in 2019 (excluding China). In 2020, Amnesty documented 483 executions globally (excluding China), of which 30 were drug-related. This figure represents a 96% drop from the figure Harm Reduction International reported in 2015, which is more likely attributable to the global pandemic rather than a shift in state policies. Given the lack of reliable data, particularly from China—which executes more people than the rest of the world combined—and Vietnam, these figures offer only a glimpse into the scale of drug-related executions and death sentences globally.

Women facing death for drug-related offenses

Murder and drug offenses account for most of women’s death sentences globally. Among the eight states defined as ‘high application’ death penalty states for drug offenses (China, Indonesia, Iran, Malaysia, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, Thailand and Vietnam), all either currently have women on death row for drug offenses, or are believed to recently have had women on death row for drug offenses, as we detail in this report. Recently, Iran raised the minimum quantity of drugs that can trigger a death sentence. Multiple sources report that, before these reforms, Iran was executing a significantly larger number of women for drug-related offenses than for homicide. Gender-disaggregated death row data is not available for China, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, or Vietnam.
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In the remaining high application states for which we have data—Indonesia, Malaysia and Thailand—we observe three trends: (1) drug offenses account for most of the known death sentences nationwide; (2) an overwhelming majority of the women on death row were convicted of drug offenses; and in Malaysia and Thailand, (3) drug convictions underlie a greater proportion of death sentences among women than among men. Amnesty International reports that, in Malaysia, 95% of all women on death row in 2019 were convicted of drug offenses, compared to 70% of the men under sentence of death.\textsuperscript{113} In Thailand, of the 33 women who were on death row as of February 2021, 94% had been convicted of drug offenses, compared to 60% of the men on death row.\textsuperscript{114} Between 2000 and 2018, 18 of 22 women sentenced to death in Indonesia were convicted of drug offenses.\textsuperscript{115}

We have faced considerable difficulties in accessing information for other states. For instance, while we know that North Korea sentenced at least one woman to death for drug trafficking in 2019,\textsuperscript{116} we were not able to gather any information on her case or other cases in the country. Similarly, we were able to identify 14 female defendants sentenced to death in China between 2015 and 2019, eight of whom received a death sentence for drug offenses, but our research suggests that the actual numbers are much higher.\textsuperscript{117} While we know that in 2019 Saudi Arabia executed at least two women for drug offenses, both foreign nationals,\textsuperscript{118} there is no information available about women currently on death row. Finally, in other countries we know the number of women on death row but do not know the offense for which they received a capital sentence. According to Amnesty International, globally at least 19 women were sentenced to death and 16 were executed in 2020.\textsuperscript{119} Again, this is likely an underestimate.

**Foreign nationals facing the death penalty for drug offenses**

In the high application states for which we have data, foreign nationals are overrepresented on death row. Foreign nationals make up 26% of death-sentenced prisoners in Indonesia, and all of them received a capital sentence for drug convictions.\textsuperscript{120} In Malaysia, foreign nationals represent over 40% of death-sentenced prisoners, a large majority of whom were convicted of drug offenses.\textsuperscript{121} All five persons executed for drug offenses in Saudi Arabia in 2020 were foreign nationals.\textsuperscript{122} Foreign nationals face particular disadvantages in criminal prosecutions: they often do not speak the language of the police or the courts and have difficulty accessing interpreters; they have little local support in navigating the criminal system; and their families are too far to contribute to the background investigation necessary for an adequate defense.\textsuperscript{123} The overrepresentation of foreign nationals among capital defendants facing drug charges worsens the already prevalent fair trial violations in capital drug prosecutions.

In the high application states for which we have data, foreign nationals are also overrepresented among women facing the death penalty. Many of these are migrant workers.\textsuperscript{124} In Malaysia, Amnesty International reported that 95% of the 129 women on death row for drug offenses in 2019 were foreign nationals, compared to 70% of the men.\textsuperscript{125} In Thailand, while we lack data for death row, we know that as of 2017, 66% of incarcerated female foreign nationals had been convicted of drug-related offenses, compared to 45% of men.\textsuperscript{126}

**State-sanctioned extrajudicial killings for drug-related offenses**

Although this study focuses on states that impose judicial death sentences for drug offenses, one state that has formally abolished the death penalty espouses an equally retributive model of drug policing: the Philippines. National police forces, often with the explicit encouragement of President Rodrigo Duterte, have engaged in a years-long campaign of extra-judicial killings targeting individuals who use drugs or whom they presume are involved in the drug trade.\textsuperscript{127} The U.N. Human Rights Council has decried “alleged widespread and systematic killings” of people who use drugs and perceived drug traders as part of a nationwide “war on drugs.”\textsuperscript{128} Estimates of the number of people killed range from 8,663 (as of June 2020) to over 27,000 (as early as December 2018).\textsuperscript{129} We include the Philippines in this review because these killings result from an identifiable public policy, and because the government has attempted on multiple occasions to reintroduce capital punishment for drug offenses.

There is no publicly available information on the number of women who have become casualties of this campaign of extra-judicial killings. Tens of thousands of women, however, have registered as persons who use drugs with their local council office, as required by law,\textsuperscript{130} and now live with a credible fear of being killed. One investigative report likened joining this “watch list” to being “targeted for
Women are also indirectly affected by the ‘war on drugs.’ In the words of the Special Rapporteur on extra-judicial, summary or arbitrary executions: “[A]s the majority of the victims are men, their female partners, by virtue too of their gender-based roles, are left to confront the associated stigma, fear, insecurity and economic deprivation, in addition to the burdens of identifying and burying their dead loved ones and seeking justice.”

Finally, there have been documented cases of police killing cisgender and transgender women as a result of them registering, or in some cases being involuntarily registered, for these watch lists. For instance, in 2017, the police arrested Heart de Chavez, a transgender woman living in Manila, after finding her name on the watch list. De Chavez sold small amounts of drugs in order to buy food. When the police found no drugs on her, they demanded a bribe, which she could not afford to pay. Three days later, masked men in civilian clothes pulled from her house at night and shot her at point blank range, killing her.
Ejaz Fatima and Mustafa Muhammad on their wedding day in 2006. Photo taken by Mustafa’s family. Photo courtesy of Justice Project Pakistan.

Ejaz Fatima, a Pakistani national, was executed in Saudi Arabia in April 2019 with her husband, Mustafa Muhammad, after Saudi courts convicted them of trafficking heroin.

Ejaz and Mustafa married in 2006 and subsequently had five children. Mustafa, the sole family breadwinner, ran a small chicken shop and took on whatever extra work he could find for daily wages. The couple worked hard but they struggled to provide for their family on Mustafa’s meager income, and three of their children died in infancy. In addition to their surviving children, the couple cared for Mustafa’s father, who was paralyzed. Mustafa’s mother describes Ejaz as “humble and responsible… I never found a daughter-in-law like her.” Ejaz and Mustafa doted on their surviving children: their daughter Bushra, born in 2010, and their son Ali Raza, born in 2011. According to Mustafa’s family, more than anything, the couple “just wanted a healthy and long life for their two children.”

In 2016, Ejaz and Mustafa told their families that they wanted to make Umrah, a pilgrimage to Mecca. The couple hoped, through prayer and devotion, to improve their economic situation. They arranged their trip through a friend, Waseem, who worked as a travel agent. We do not know whether Ejaz and Mustafa were aware of Waseem’s involvement in drug activities, but they felt that the trip was safe enough to travel with their daughter Bushra, aged six at the time. Mustafa’s family believed that they brought her because she “was very close to her parents and she couldn’t live without them.” Their younger child, Ali Raza, remained in Pakistan. On June 27, 2016, the day the family arrived in Saudi Arabia, they were arrested at an airport in Jeddah for smuggling heroin.

The airport police immediately separated the family, taking Mustafa to a men’s prison and Ejaz and Bushra to the women’s section of the Dhaban Central Prison. After six months, prison officials separated Bushra from her mother and detained her for over two years in a separate juvenile facility, despite her young age. Neither Saudi nor Pakistani authorities notified Ejaz and Mustafa’s family of their arrest and detention.

On occasional calls to their families, Ejaz and Mustafa described a criminal process rife with fair trial violations. Neither had a lawyer or an interpreter. As neither spoke any Arabic, Mustafa’s father explains, “They couldn’t understand… They weren’t [even] aware, when they were convicted, [that they had received a] death sentence.”

Research by Human Rights Watch and Justice Project Pakistan indicates that it is exceedingly rare for Pakistani nationals (and many other foreign nationals) to receive legal representation in Saudi Arabian courts, including in capital drug cases.

Meanwhile, Bushra, who was seven by that time, lived in prison-like conditions at the juvenile facility. Supervised by Saudi officials who only spoke Arabic and surrounded by Arabic-speaking youth, Bushra could not communicate at first and gradually retreated into herself. She was allowed to play outside for just one hour each day. Every other month, she saw her mother for 30 minutes, under strict supervision from prison guards. In two years, Bushra only saw her father twice, and his shaved head and shackled limbs reportedly “terrified” her. After battling with the Saudi authorities, Ejaz’s relatives traveled to Saudi Arabia to collect Bushra and she returned home to Pakistan on February 27, 2019. In her last meeting with her mother shortly before she left the country, Bushra was “mostly mute.”
Less than two months later, on April 11, 2019, Ejaz and Mustafa were beheaded.156 Again, authorities failed to notify their families, who learned the news when Mustafa and Ejaz’s former cellmates were able to send word.157 On the morning of his execution, Mustafa had asked his cellmate to contact his family and to “ask my father to forgive me.”158 After learning of their deaths, Ejaz’s and Mustafa’s families tried desperately to repatriate their bodies to Pakistan. The Saudi authorities did not respond to or even acknowledge the families’ request for repatriation.159 To this day, the families have not received any legal or court documents, or any death certificates, from Saudi Arabia.160 Turning to their own government for assistance, they applied to the High Court with the help of Justice Project Pakistan, a human rights organization, to request that the state help facilitate the return of their loved ones’ remains.161 The High Court dismissed their application, and Ejaz and Mustafa’s bodies still lie in an undisclosed location in Saudi Arabia. Mustafa’s father explains, “[W]e just wanted to get their dead bodies so that their children could go to their parent’s graves.”162 Today, Bushra and Ali Raza, now aged ten and 11, live with their maternal uncle.163 When Bushra first returned to Pakistan, she could no longer speak Punjabi, and her family struggled to communicate with her. According to Mustafa’s family, “She was… disturbed… [s]he used to keep silent.”164 To this day, “[s]he doesn’t want to talk about [what happened].”165 Bushra struggles to concentrate and is doing poorly in school. Both Bushra and Ali Raza “miss their parents a lot.”166 The plight of Ejaz Fatima and Mustafa Muhammad is emblematic of the obstacles faced by indigent foreign nationals charged with drug trafficking, particularly where—as in the case of Pakistan—the country of origin is unwilling to provide assistance.

To write this profile, we conducted interviews with Ejaz and Mustafa’s legal advocates and with Mustafa’s family. We also consulted the limited case documents that were available. We publish this profile with both Ejaz and Mustafa’s families’ consent.
Gendered Pathways to Offending

THE ROLE OF ECONOMIC INSECURITY IN PATHWAYS TO DRUG OFFENDING

Economic insecurity: a gendered phenomenon

As women in a patriarchal society, women on death row for drug offenses are subject to gendered systems of oppression that push them into economic insecurity. As Lucy Harry has argued in her study of women on death row in Malaysia, economic precarity is a better framework than poverty for understanding women’s pathways to committing drug offenses. Economic precarity is defined as the uncertainty over continuing employment, lack of control over working conditions, wages, and the workplace, lack of regulatory protection, and a low income level. Women, especially women in the Global South, are over-represented in precarious work. Men and women tend to be segregated into different occupations, with women disproportionately in part-time, low paying jobs with short-term contracts or no contracts at all and little opportunity for career progression—in other words, precarious work. This labor market gender segregation is partly attributable to discrimination by employers, who may be less likely to hire or promote women because they expect women to leave the labor market when they have children.

The other key reason for women’s overrepresentation in precarious work is their disproportionate share of unpaid care work: one study estimates that women perform 75% of the world’s unpaid work. Moreover, they are often responsible for providing care and financial support to both their immediate and extended family. This unpaid care burden is exacerbated for single mothers, who make up the majority of one-parent households and face significantly higher poverty risks than average. Single mothers must support their family on a sole income, which is often inadequate, and they often struggle to juggle paid and unpaid work. They also face additional challenges due to their gender, such as pay gaps and motherhood pay penalties. A series of studies from Thailand showed the financial impact of early caregiving responsibilities among women who were later incarcerated for drug offenses. Many faced caregiving responsibilities early in life and had to leave school early either to support their parents or their families—often after marrying and having children as teenagers. These caregiving responsibilities severely curtailed their future employment prospects.

The burden of unpaid care work also makes it harder for women to secure long-term, full-time, well-paying employment. Women responsible for unpaid care work are more likely to pursue more precarious work in the labor market, such as part-time work, so that they have the flexibility to meet their unpaid care responsibilities. In East and Southeast Asia, for instance, young women are less likely than young men of the same age to transition to education, employment, or training after they leave school—even though girls attend and complete school at equal (or higher) rates than boys in the region. The most common reasons that young women in the Asia Pacific region are not in paid employment are family responsibilities, housework, and pregnancy.

Furthermore, neoliberal reforms have worsened the economic precarity and financial stressors that women face by making the labor market more precarious overall, and by decreasing the availability of public care. These reforms dismantled social safety nets that “buffered insecurity” and left countries with withered public services, especially in education and healthcare.

Drawing by a woman sentenced to death for a drug offense in China, sent to her family. Photo courtesy of Komnas Perempuan.
The gendered financial burden of caring for family members, without the aid of strong social support systems or access to stable work, is one of the key factors that pushes women into trafficking drugs. In Malaysia, for instance, a recent study found that many women—especially those who were single, divorced, or pregnant—decided to traffic drugs because they needed money to take care of their family’s needs. We found similar trends in our research on other countries.

The economic precarity of women migrants

Globalization and neoliberal reforms have resulted in increased migration, and women make up an increasing majority of intra-ASEAN migrants in many ASEAN countries. Many women experience gendered pressures to migrate. The families of women—especially young girls—may exercise significant control over women’s decision to migrate, making “the distinction between forced and voluntary migration difficult to identify.” Women often migrate for work to support their families. Some women may also see migration as an opportunity to escape oppressive patriarchal systems by freeing themselves from parental control, making more independent marriage choices, and becoming more financially independent. Women seeking work abroad are especially vulnerable to exploitation by drug syndicates. Many of these women have never engaged in waged work before and are desperate for money because they are struggling financially and are from areas with high unemployment.

Women and economic precarity: a pathway to drug offending

In most of the cases we reviewed for this report, women who received a death sentence for drug trafficking had experienced economic precarity. Lucy Harry found that a majority of women on death row for drug trafficking in Malaysia experienced economic precarity before their arrest. Most of them were unemployed or engaged in precarious work that did not require higher education or significant training—such as domestic worker, bartender, and cashier—before their arrest. Others likely worked in the illicit economy as masseuses or sex workers. Only a handful of women had high-paid, “high-skilled occupations—an accountant, a nurse and teachers.” Most of these women had few viable opportunities to earn money, and drug trafficking emerged as one of their few options. For some women, trafficking jobs present an opportunity to support their families. For others, selling drugs allows them to escape domestic violence or familial abuse. Many women who experience economic precarity, however, feel that they had no choice but to traffic drugs. For example, one woman, who was single and working at a hair salon, accepted a job transporting drugs because she needed extra money to pay for her father’s medical bills. She was sentenced to death. Courts often fail to sufficiently consider these nuances at sentencing, and in jurisdictions with mandatory capital sentencing or presumptions of guilt based on quantity, they are unable to do so at all.

Researchers in Thailand have similarly found that the most common pathway to offending for women was “economic familial provisioning.” Many women in one study shared similar stories: childhood poverty led them to leave school early, limiting their employment prospects. As adults, they continued to face poverty amid growing responsibilities to financially support their parents and dependents. They needed money to afford necessities such as food, utilities, and the cost of sending their children to school. As their anxiety reached a peak, a friend or acquaintance who was aware of their precarious economic situation offered them a job transporting drugs. The women saw the job as a solution to the pressing problem of how to support their families.

Economic precarity makes women more vulnerable to drug traffickers who may exploit them to carry drugs without their consent. A majority of the women whose cases are detailed in our report stated that they were unaware of the drugs they were transporting. Mary Jane Veloso, for instance, thought that she was traveling for a work opportunity abroad when in fact she was used by a network of illegal recruiters to transport drugs across borders. Moreover, women can be vulnerable to drug trafficking recruiters for both economic and social reasons. Some recruiters appear to use both economic and romantic incentives to trick women into transporting drugs. One known trafficker tricked multiple women into trafficking drugs, including an Indonesian woman with whom he started a romantic relationship. He tricked the woman—who was a mother of a young child and undergoing a divorce—into traveling with a suitcase containing hidden drugs. At the time, the woman was merely grateful that he was covering her travel expenses. Originally, that woman’s flight would have taken her to Malaysia, where she would have faced the death penalty if caught. At the last minute,
he re-routed her to Hong Kong, where she was arrested and faced a long prison sentence instead.200

Drug trafficking does not, however, resolve women’s economic precarity. Indeed, it is important to note that women’s precarity “is perpetuated—not solved—by drug trafficking.”201 Women often make little money from trafficking; they usually earn significantly less than the value of the drugs they carry, often because they are not aware of exactly what they are carrying.202 Drug trafficking is, like most women’s jobs pre-arrest, just another precarious job—albeit one that exposes them to the risk of capital punishment.

This section explores the impact of manipulative or coercive intimate relationships on the lives of women who face death for drug offenses, whether that relationship is genuine or part of a scam to trick women into transporting drugs. Understanding how manipulative relationships can lead to drug offending is key in jurisdictions where the law presumes that defendants have knowledge of any drugs in their possession and infers intent to traffic from the quantity of the drugs.204 Men who fake relationships to trick women into unwittingly transporting drugs rely on a common set of tactics, but female defendants struggle to convince judges that they were not aware they were carrying drugs. Courts also often neglect to consider the role of an abusive or threatening relationship on a woman’s decision to transport drugs. Available data makes clear that men are considerably more likely to play a role in women’s pathways to offending than the other way around. Lack of detailed data often makes it difficult to ascertain the degree to which a woman experienced pressure, financial encouragement, manipulation, or abuse before participating in a drug offense. Even in the realm of relationship scams, where the scammer’s primary goal is to set up a woman to traffic drugs, it can be difficult to untangle how much of any given relationship contains genuine elements. Either way, romance scams represent a common pathway to drug offenses that many judges appear quick to disbelieve, even when there is substantial evidence of manipulation.205

**MANIPULATIVE RELATIONSHIPS**

Women facing the death penalty for drug offenses are frequently arrested together with male suspects. In many such cases, male romantic partners drive women’s involvement in the drug trade. Yet male co-defendants or co-suspects often face lesser or no criminal consequences for their actions, even if they played a key role in the drug-related activity. Researchers Carolyn Hoyle and Lucy Harry have found that in Southeast Asia, *most women face the death penalty for crimes stemming “from their relationships, be it with their dependents, intimate romantic partners, friends, or relatives.”*203

Drawing by a woman sentenced to death for a drug offense in China, sent to her family. The artist has depicted herself with her husband, and her son, as bears. The bird symbolizes her wish to fly, free from incarceration, to her family. Photo courtesy of Komnas Perempuan.
PROFILE: ANNA

Anna was a middle-aged woman with a large and caring family, who lived in a wealthy nation that had abolished the death penalty. At a time when she was unhappy in her marriage, a stranger messaged her on Skype, claiming to be a Captain in the United States Army.

Unbeknownst to Anna, the Captain was a fake persona created by online scammers. She had previously fallen victim to a different cyberscam, and her name may have been on a ‘sucker list’ used by online scammers to target likely victims. Anna was charmed by the caring Captain who seemed to be a good listener, and she developed feelings for him. Though Anna had not been looking for a new romantic partner, she reciprocated when the Captain expressed feelings of deep friendship and love. The Captain sent her loving, poetic messages:

Our lives have become so entwined, that we simply can’t exist separately anymore. We need each other like springtime blossoms need rain and sunshine...

If Anna ever questioned the authenticity of something the Captain said, he responded quickly with voice calls that reassured her.

Eventually, the Captain sent Anna a photo of himself with a “Will you marry me?” sign, and wrote to Anna:

I do not want to waste anytime anymore, I do not want to be lonely, I have searched my heart… you are not happy in your married life, therefore I want to ask your hand in marriage.

Anna accepted his proposal.

The Captain frequently pushed Anna to send him money for various reasons and manipulated her emotionally when she refused to do so by ignoring her or sending her accusatory messages:

Sometimes I don’t know how [to] think, is it because you [know] that I love you so much, that gives you the chance to treat me the way you like? [If] you sent the money as you claimed why is it that the Company [has] not received [it to] date, why is that you choose to break my heart knowing fully well that I need concentration here in the War Zone? So you want me dead?

Though Anna was living on a minimal income and had little money, she was sometimes able to send him small sums. She did so, for instance, to help him when he claimed he was sending her his luggage in anticipation of moving to start a life with her. When it eventually became apparent that Anna had no more available funds, the scam quickly moved to a different stage—the Captain said that they could finally be together if Anna could do him one more favor.

Anna had communicated with the Captain on a daily basis for about two years when he told Anna that he was eligible to retire and could now marry her. He said that she needed to collect his retirement papers from his Commander in a third country, then return the paperwork to the United States Embassy in her home country. Anna quickly prepared for the trip and flew to the country with instructions and hotel accommodations that the Captain had supposedly prepaid. Nothing about the trip went according to plan. The Captain told her that his Commander, who was connected to the United States Consulate, would meet her at the airport with his retirement documents, but he did not appear. Anna unexpectedly needed to pay out of pocket for most of her hotel expenses. She found herself low on funds and worried about covering the fare to the airport for her return flight. By the time her return date approached, Anna was sleep-deprived and discombobulated after the trip’s unexpected challenges. Scammers often purposefully use the technique of distracting and exhausting their targets to make them less likely to question the scam.

The evening before Anna was to return home, a self-identified “representative” of the Commander appeared with the documents she had been waiting for. He then offered to give her a ride to the airport—solving a problem she had been worried about—and he asked Anna to take a backpack of Christmas presents for her loved ones back with her. She agreed to reciprocate...
his favor, and when she looked inside the backpack, she saw new clothes wrapped in plastic.\textsuperscript{230}

Anna embarked on her journey home. During a layover in an Asian country that has the death penalty for drug offenses, Anna accidentally went through customs twice.\textsuperscript{231} The second time, customs officials discovered drugs in the backpack she was carrying.\textsuperscript{232} When the officials confronted Anna, she did not understand their slang reference to drugs and did not understand that she was being accused of transporting drugs in her bag. In fact, Anna did not understand that she had been the victim of a scam and struggled to make sense of what had happened—even after her arrest, and even at her trial three years later.\textsuperscript{233}

Anna’s trial took place in the country where she had been arrested, where she faced a mandatory death sentence if convicted. In the first instance, the trial judge found that Anna was not guilty and had carried the drugs unwittingly. Prosecutors disagreed with the trial judge and, on appeal, argued that “love sickness” and “ignorance” were not defenses to drug trafficking. They argued that the scammers’ hold over Anna, and her naïve romantic attachment, were unreasonable and precluded a defense.\textsuperscript{234} The prosecution’s appeal was successful, and the appellate court sentenced Anna to death. Anna spent over a year on death row and a total of five years in prison before a court of final appeal overturned her conviction, agreeing that she had been unaware she was carrying drugs.

Anna’s case is emblematic of the difficulties that scam victims encounter to persuade courts that they are innocent or that online manipulation is a mitigating circumstance.\textbf{Especially in jurisdictions where defendants must rebut a presumption that they intended to transport drugs, it is difficult for those who are vulnerable to manipulation to justify their lack of suspicion to the courts.} The criminal legal system treats targets of financial scams as victims but treats targets of drug trafficking scams as criminal defendants—exposing them to the risk of incarceration and sometimes death.

\textit{To write this profile, we consulted the cited academic paper and various public news sources, which we did not cite in order to protect Anna’s identity.}
The role of romantic relationships in women’s pathway to drug offenses

A substantial number of women who face the death penalty for drug offenses whose cases we reviewed committed the offense under the influence or pressure of male partners. In part, this reflects the gender-stratified and male-dominated structure of the drug economy.235 Women tend to operate in the lowest ranks of the drug economy as couriers, while those in leadership positions tend to be men.236

Women in prison for drug offenses often report that their intimate partners manipulated, coerced, or misled them into drug-related activity.237 In Thailand, where there is the most available data, one study of 16 women imprisoned for drug offenses found that a quarter of the female respondents reported that they were manipulated into trafficking drugs by their romantic partners.238 None of the 18 men in the same study reported any partner involvement in their drug offense. On the contrary, men were likely to report that their partners tried to impede their involvement with drugs.239 In a similar study by Jeffries et al., 72% of their sample of Thai women in prison for drug offenses reported that, as adults, they were in intimate relationships with partners involved in ‘deviant’ behavior.240 Jeffries and her co-authors found that in Thailand, “attachments to family and intimate partners are more likely related to women’s offending/criminalization than men.”241

We observe similar trends in all countries where data is available. One known drug syndicate that operates in Malaysia, Thailand, the Philippines, Indonesia, and China seeks out women to act as drug couriers.242 The syndicate sends male operatives to establish relationships with—and sometimes even marry—Malaysian women.243 The syndicate specifically targets women and pays them to carry drugs across borders.244 Several women on death row in Thailand for drug offenses concluded that:

[Victimisation and associated trauma are linked to both female and male imprisonment trajectories [to offending]. [but] incarcerated women tend to be victimised earlier in their lives, in multiple ways (e.g., child abuse and domestic violence), and more frequently. As a result, victimisation appears to be an experience that carries more weight in shaping women’s pathways to prison.248

In a different study of men and women imprisoned in Thailand for drug offenses, 22% of the women, and none of the men, reported that they had experienced domestic violence.249 Nearly a quarter of the women, and none of the men, had worked in the sex industry—who may be at an increased risk of trauma in part because of the criminalization of sex work.250

Abuse may increase a woman’s likelihood of offending in a number of ways. An abusive partner may coerce or manipulate a woman into engaging in drug-related activities.251 Financial control is an extremely common feature of abusive relationships252 and increases the chance women will commit a crime out of economic need.253 Past trauma often makes individuals more susceptible to suggestions,254 and trauma can also lead to mental health issues.255 The case of Merri Utami illustrates how a history of abuse makes women more vulnerable to romantic scams.256 Her experience of abuse at the hands of her husband increased her vulnerability to a scammer posing as a kind man who seemed to care deeply about her, but who later tricked her into trafficking drugs.

According to Merri Utami’s own understanding of what happened to her:

Women are vulnerable to being manipulated by men because women feel they need protection, and most of those who provide protection are men… Even when women have been hurt over and over again, they will continue to apologize. This weakness makes women vulnerable to being tortured by men physical and mentally, especially for women who think that living with men makes their lives better.257

Our research also supports the conclusion that past trauma from abusive relationships affects the trajectories of many women who traffic drugs. Women in prison for drug offenses are more likely than men to have endured adverse childhood experiences. In Thailand, a study of women in prison for drug offenses concluded that:

In the 25 years, there is an increase in the number of women who traffic drugs, especially in the lowest ranks of the drug economy as couriers. This is because women are more likely to be subject to past trauma and are more likely to be involved in romantic relationships with partners involved in drug-related activities.241

Women in prison for drug offenses often report that their intimate partners manipulated, coerced, or misled them into drug-related activity.237 In Thailand, where there is the most available data, one study of 16 women imprisoned for drug offenses found that a quarter of the female respondents reported that they were manipulated into trafficking drugs by their romantic partners.238 None of the 18 men in the same study reported any partner involvement in their drug offense. On the contrary, men were likely to report that their partners tried to impede their involvement with drugs.239 In a similar study by Jeffries et al., 72% of their sample of Thai women in prison for drug offenses reported that, as adults, they were in intimate relationships with partners involved in ‘deviant’ behavior.240 Jeffries and her co-authors found that in Thailand, “attachments to family and intimate partners are more likely related to women’s offending/criminalization than men.”241

We observe similar trends in all countries where data is available. One known drug syndicate that operates in Malaysia, Thailand, the Philippines, Indonesia, and China seeks out women to act as drug couriers.242 The syndicate sends male operatives to establish relationships with—and sometimes even marry—Malaysian women.243 The syndicate specifically targets women and pays them to carry drugs across borders.244 Several women on death row in Malaysia reported to Amnesty International that they planned to travel with a friend or partner who pulled out of the trip at the last minute—a common scenario in cases where women unwittingly carry another person’s drugs.245 Similarly, in Indonesia, many of the women sentenced to death between 2000 and 2018 reported manipulation by men.246 In contrast, “romance is not cited as a motivator or factor in the account of male drug traffickers.”247

Our research also supports the conclusion that past trauma from abusive relationships affects the trajectories of many women who traffic drugs. Women in prison for drug offenses are more likely than men to have endured adverse childhood experiences. In Thailand, a study of women in prison for drug offenses concluded that:

[V]ictimisation and associated trauma are linked to both female and male imprisonment trajectories [to offending]. [but] incarcerated women tend to be victimised earlier in their lives, in multiple ways (e.g., child abuse and domestic violence), and more frequently. As a result, victimisation appears to be an experience that carries more weight in shaping women’s pathways to prison.248

In a different study of men and women imprisoned in Thailand for drug offenses, 22% of the women, and none of the men, reported that they had experienced domestic violence.249 Nearly a quarter of the women, and none of the men, had worked in the sex industry—who may be at an increased risk of trauma in part because of the criminalization of sex work.250

Abuse may increase a woman’s likelihood of offending in a number of ways. An abusive partner may coerce or manipulate a woman into engaging in drug-related activities.251 Financial control is an extremely common feature of abusive relationships252 and increases the chance women will commit a crime out of economic need.253 Past trauma often makes individuals more susceptible to suggestions,254 and trauma can also lead to mental health issues.255 The case of Merri Utami illustrates how a history of abuse makes women more vulnerable to romantic scams.256 Her experience of abuse at the hands of her husband increased her vulnerability to a scammer posing as a kind man who seemed to care deeply about her, but who later tricked her into trafficking drugs.

According to Merri Utami’s own understanding of what happened to her:

Women are vulnerable to being manipulated by men because women feel they need protection, and most of those who provide protection are men… Even when women have been hurt over and over again, they will continue to apologize. This weakness makes women vulnerable to being tortured by men physical and mentally, especially for women who think that living with men makes their lives better.257

Our research also supports the conclusion that past trauma from abusive relationships affects the trajectories of many women who traffic drugs. Women in prison for drug offenses are more likely than men to have endured adverse childhood experiences. In Thailand, a study of women in prison for drug offenses concluded that:

[V]ictimisation and associated trauma are linked to both female and male imprisonment trajectories [to offending]. [but] incarcerated women tend to be victimised earlier in their lives, in multiple ways (e.g., child abuse and domestic violence), and more frequently. As a result, victimisation appears to be an experience that carries more weight in shaping women’s pathways to prison.248

In a different study of men and women imprisoned in Thailand for drug offenses, 22% of the women, and none of the men, reported that they had experienced domestic violence.249 Nearly a quarter of the women, and none of the men, had worked in the sex industry—who may be at an increased risk of trauma in part because of the criminalization of sex work.250

Abuse may increase a woman’s likelihood of offending in a number of ways. An abusive partner may coerce or manipulate a woman into engaging in drug-related activities.251 Financial control is an extremely common feature of abusive relationships252 and increases the chance women will commit a crime out of economic need.253 Past trauma often makes individuals more susceptible to suggestions,254 and trauma can also lead to mental health issues.255 The case of Merri Utami illustrates how a history of abuse makes women more vulnerable to romantic scams.256 Her experience of abuse at the hands of her husband increased her vulnerability to a scammer posing as a kind man who seemed to care deeply about her, but who later tricked her into trafficking drugs.

According to Merri Utami’s own understanding of what happened to her:

Women are vulnerable to being manipulated by men because women feel they need protection, and most of those who provide protection are men… Even when women have been hurt over and over again, they will continue to apologize. This weakness makes women vulnerable to being tortured by men physical and mentally, especially for women who think that living with men makes their lives better.257

Women are vulnerable to being manipulated by men because women feel they need protection, and most of those who provide protection are men… Even when women have been hurt over and over again, they will continue to apologize. This weakness makes women vulnerable to being tortured by men physical and mentally, especially for women who think that living with men makes their lives better.257

Women are vulnerable to being manipulated by men because women feel they need protection, and most of those who provide protection are men… Even when women have been hurt over and over again, they will continue to apologize. This weakness makes women vulnerable to being tortured by men physical and mentally, especially for women who think that living with men makes their lives better.257
One hallmark of cases where a male partner influences or manipulates a woman into committing a drug offense is that she bears the brunt of the risk and the criminal consequences. This may occur in part because the male co-defendant has access to more financial resources, or is more able to provide useful information about the drug trade to law enforcement if he faces criminal charges. For example, Avalie was sentenced to death while her male partner walked free. Her partner gave money to the police during their interview, and he told police officers in English—a language that Avalie does not speak—that the drugs belonged to Avalie rather than him.258 Similarly, a different woman was sentenced to death for drug trafficking while her husband received a short prison sentence—though there was stronger evidence against him. (The police tried extorting the woman during the investigation and suggested she ask her husband for money when they realized she had no money. Later, the police officers involved in the investigation of the husband were dismissed after an internal investigation.)259 In addition, male scammers who organize drug couriers face a low risk of detection, while women who are their scam victims are often caught while crossing international borders. This appears to have happened in the cases of Merri Utami, Siti Aslinda, and Anna.260

Romance scams as a pathway to drug offending

Another pattern we observe is women facing the death penalty for drug offenses after falling prey to online scams. Because scammers work to maximize financial gain, they tend to manipulate women into transporting large amounts of drugs that result in drug trafficking charges rather than simple possession. Typically, romance scammers initiate a romantic relationship over the internet and groom victims over a period of time, sometimes maintaining the scam relationship for years.261

Scammers often claim to live in a different country than the scam victim, and their requests related to international travel can therefore “revolve around ‘plotlines’ that will enable the victim and offender to be together.”262 For example, Merri Utami believed that she was traveling to Nepal to join ‘Jerry’ and that after she arrived, they would finally marry.263 Anna believed that by traveling to another country to pick up administratively documents from the United States Embassy, her online love interest, ‘the Captain,’ could retire from the Army and move to her home country to be with her.264

While physical violence is rarely part of romance scams, scammers often engage in psychological coercion similar to non-physical forms of domestic violence.265 In Anna’s case, ‘the Captain’ would angrily accuse her of not loving him if she failed to comply with his requests.266 Psychologically coercive elements in romance scams appear more common for female victims than male victims. In an Australian study of romance scam victims, 100% of the women but only 55% of men reported that they had been subjected to psychological maltreatment by the scammer they believed they were dating.267

Our analysis of the available data suggests that women who fall prey to drug trafficking romance scams are likely to be poor. In the market of fake online relationships, scammers involved in drug trafficking are able to profit from victims who have no money, while financial scammers can only profit from victims who are able to send them money. For that reason, drug trafficking scammers are more likely than other scammers to pursue poor victims. In addition, scammers sell each other ‘sucker lists,’ which compile details about people who have fallen prey to a scam in the past.268 This practice increases the likelihood that romance scams victims have previously lost money to other scams (as in the case of Anna).269

There is little data to help us understand the global scale of women facing the death penalty due to victimization by a romance scammer. Most of the research on online scams looks at financial romance scams, which don’t always lead to drug trafficking. Studies on online scams tend to focus on victims from wealthy countries, who represent a smaller and more privileged set of women facing the death penalty for drug offenses. One study mentions that “many” of the 11 Australians imprisoned in China for drug offenses in 2016 were victims of romance scams.270 Nevertheless, studies on financial romance scams remain relevant to understanding how scammers target and manipulate women.

Women are disproportionately likely to fall victim to an online financial romance scam compared to men. This is true in a range of countries: 90% of victims in a sample from Malaysia, India, Singapore, and Thailand were women.271 90% of victims in a Hong Kong study were women.272 82% of victims in a United States report were women;273 and studies in the United Kingdom found that between 60% and 63%274 of victims are women (with one study finding that women sent twice as much money to their scammer as male victims).276 In Australia, too, women were
disproportionately likely to have become a victim of a romance scam compared to men.\textsuperscript{277} In 2019, police uncovered a large online romance scam organization working across Malaysia, Singapore, and Hong Kong, whose 139 victims were all women.\textsuperscript{278} Research shows that individuals who become victims of romance scams are more likely than the average person to have “idealized” views about love.\textsuperscript{279} As these figures demonstrate, in the context of entrenched gender norms, women are more susceptible to romance scams than men.

Women facing capital punishment for drug offenses after falling prey to a romance scam are doubly victimized, both by their alleged romantic partners and by the country charging them with a capital offense. When women are groomed by fake romantic partners and send money to their scammer, the criminal legal system treats them as victims. When women fall for drug trafficking scams and unknowingly move drugs across borders, states treat them as criminal offenders and, in some countries, they face an uphill battle to avoid a death sentence. Courts often consider tales of romance scams with incredulity,\textsuperscript{280} though a growing body of research, much of which we cite here, should bolster victims’ defense arguments.

Some scholars argue that romance scams should be examined through a domestic violence framework, explaining that “[r]omance fraud can be differentiated from a ‘bad’ relationship” because the fake romantic partner is acting with the “sole purpose of deceiving and manipulating [the victim]” for their financial gain.\textsuperscript{281} Despite this difference in the partners’ intent, there are substantial similarities in the use of psychological coercion and manipulation.\textsuperscript{282} In some cases, romance scams even involve sexual abuse—with the scam victim participating in cybersex under false pretenses, and with the scammer sometimes using a recording as blackmail.\textsuperscript{283} For the victims of romance scams, their relationship is deeply important and meaningful. The women whose cases we profile in this report believed they were about to marry their partner and that their lives were about to take a turn for the better.\textsuperscript{284} Instead, they found themselves betrayed and on death row.
Implications for Criminal Legal Processes

GENDER BIAS AND GENDER-BASED DISCRIMINATION IN THE IMPOSITION OF THE DEATH PENALTY FOR DRUG OFFENSES

In societies with gender inequality, gender bias affects all aspects of social life and is particularly acute in criminal legal processes and prisons, which are largely designed by and for men. In our previous research, we noted that female capital defendants may benefit from lighter sentences than male capital defendants when their actions are consistent with social expectations of female behavior. Women, however, are punished more harshly when they transgress gender norms. In the context of the death penalty for drug offenses, gender bias infects capital sentences when anti-drug legislation fails to account for women’s personal circumstances, for example, or when courts ignore gendered mitigating factors or embrace narratives based on detrimental gender stereotypes.

In the course of this study, we uncovered many cases where courts relied on gender stereotypes to interpret women’s circumstances and motivations before sentencing them to death. Outside of the death penalty context, however, some researchers have suggested that women may benefit from ‘positive’ gender bias within anti-drug enforcement systems, leading to a lower likelihood of conviction for drug offenses. In one study of countries that do not punish drug offenses with death, researchers found that “feminine attributes may work to the advantage of women [as they are] less likely to be visible to and detected/searched by police, which in turn may provide them with the opportunity to sell drugs.”

In context of the United States and murder convictions, research indicates that—on average—women are less likely than men to be sentenced to death because of their gender, though gender discrimination plays a role in which women are sentenced to death. It is not clear what role ‘positive’ gender discrimination may play in other countries and in the context of non-violent crimes, where stereotypes of women as weak may be less likely to affect sentencing.

The concept of ‘positive’ gender bias does not serve to explain why the female prison population has increased faster than the male prison population in recent decades, with growing numbers of women imprisoned on drug-related convictions. We also did not find any scholarship supporting the contention that ‘positive’ gender bias leads to more lenient sentences for women within the drug control system in countries with the death penalty for drug offenses, but research on this topic is sorely lacking. Because this study focuses on women who did receive a sentence of death, the issue lies beyond the scope of our investigation here. Without further research, it is difficult to assess the potential impact of ‘positive’ gender bias in the context of women sentenced to death for drug offenses.

Gender-based discrimination in legislative frameworks and policymaking

Gender-based discrimination in drug control legislation is more often attributable to the disparate impact of policies than to rules that are discriminatory on their face. In part, the failure to acknowledge and redress the disparate impact of drug policies on women stems from women’s underrepresentation among lawmakers and policy developers. Women account for a minority of elected legislators in almost every country. On average, in states with the death penalty for drug offenses, only 18.4% of legislators are women. This means that women have less input than men on designing laws and determining public policies. ‘One-size fits all’ rules, in practice, tend to disadvantage groups whose social realities are invisible to decision-makers. As a result, because women’s and men’s experiences in criminal legal systems vary, criminal legal systems routinely overlook women and girls’ needs. Increased representation of female judges could, to some extent, counteract gender-based discrimination in capital trials. For example, we found that in China, female judges incarcerate fewer offenders for smuggling, selling, transporting, and manufacturing drugs than male judges. While we don’t have information on the gender breakdown of the sentences handed down by female judges, these trends may suggest that women make different sentencing assessments based on their lived experience.

In countries that punish drug offenses with death, national anti-drug legislation does not generally mention women. Nevertheless, a few jurisdictions apply aggravating factors for drug offenses that may, in practice, apply more frequently to female defendants than male defendants. For example, in Sri Lanka, committing a drug offense near a
location where children attend educational, athletic, or social activities is an aggravating factor. In the Philippines, it is an aggravating factor to manufacture a drug in the presence of a minor. Because women are often the primary caregivers to children, this type of aggravation may well discriminate against women.

Capital drug laws likewise do not set out gender-specific mitigation factors or exclusions, with one exception. Pregnant women are excluded from execution in virtually every country, and regional treaties as well as national laws protect nursing women from execution in parts of Africa and the MENA region. Sentencing principles dictate that all courts should consider relevant mitigating circumstances. In practice, however, many courts neglect gender-specific mitigation, and in states that apply the mandatory death penalty for drug crimes, courts may not consider mitigating circumstances at all.

In countries where the death penalty is mandatory for drug offenses, judges cannot consider the circumstances of the offense—except, perhaps, for the weight of the drugs involved—or the background of the offender when determining the sentence. As we examine in our country chapters, mandatory capital sentences for drug offenses have dramatically increased the number of women who are sentenced to death. Researchers believe that mandatory sentencing schemes have disproportionately affected women, who are primarily low-level drug couriers and easy targets for drug enforcement authorities.

As highlighted by the U.N. Special Rapporteur on violence against women, “an ironic consequence of such […] regulations is that women who commit relatively low-level drug crimes find themselves serving prison time while more serious offenders often escape imprisonment by entering into plea-bargaining deals, which involve giving ‘substantial assistance’ to the prosecution [which] women are usually unable to provide.” In Singapore and Malaysia, for example, a life sentence may replace the death penalty if the prosecutor asserts that a drug courier has substantially assisted in “disrupting” trafficking activities. This criterion, however, can be very arbitrary in practice. For instance, in 2015, a Thai woman escaped the death penalty in Singapore after the High Court imposed a reduced sentence of life in prison for importing drugs after she provided substantive assistance to the authorities. On the other hand, in Malaysia, a woman who was initially spared the death penalty as she had assisted the authorities was, on appeal, imposed the death penalty. The court dismissed the assistance she had provided on the basis that “she had no option” but to cooperate. In most cases, however, women, who occupy lower positions within drug syndicates are unable to provide law enforcement with assistance. To account for the disparate degrees of knowledge between low-ranking and high-ranking members of drug syndicates, China has published guidelines recommending that courts apply different standards to principal and accomplice offenders in order to determine the sufficiency of the assistance they provide. Based on our analysis of the publicly available case documents, however, there is no evidence that courts apply this principle consistently.

**Capital trials and gendered narratives**

Women who come into contact with criminal legal systems experience what some criminologists call a ‘double deviance’ effect; they “are judged not only on the basis of their crime, but because they are perceived to have betrayed traditional gender roles.” In other words, courts may issue a harsher sentence if a female defendant’s offense not only contravenes the law but also deviates from behavioral norms socially deemed to be “gender-appropriate.” Gender role transgressions function, in practice, like a form of aggravation. According to gendered narratives of crime, women occupy the role of crime victims, while those who commit drug-related crimes are coded as ‘masculine.’ All drug traffickers face stigma, but by participating in an activity which public policy decries as harmful to a society’s well-being, particularly that of its youth, female drug offenders may face the additional stigma of contravening their gender-determined role as “mothers, the anchors of their families and caretakers.”

It is difficult to quantify the extent to which these stereotypes influence stakeholders in criminal legal systems, but there are troubling indications that gender bias affects outcomes in capital drug cases. In Malaysia, for instance, researchers found that women convicted of trafficking drugs are considerably less likely than men to see their sentence revised or overturned on appeal, particularly if they are foreign nationals. Malaysian courts have also inferred guilt based on assumptions about women’s social status. In one drug trafficking case, for example, the court posited that the defendant “was hardly a naïve or gullible person,” explaining that she graduated high school, spoke English, modeled, and traveled. According to the court, the
items in her handbag (make-up, accessories, sunglasses, American money, and an expensive watch) were “not a poor lady’s possessions” and indicated that “she is a socializer—a lady of the ‘world.’” The court concluded that “it is very unlikely that the respondent, who is a diploma holder...could have placed herself in a situation where she could be exploited to commit a crime.”

In other cases, courts fail to consider the reality of unequal relationship dynamics or recognize that female defendants might bear less criminal responsibility than their male partners. For example, a trial court in Malaysia sentenced a Chinese national to life imprisonment, recognizing that she was only a courier and had helped law enforcement identify her boyfriend, who played a key role in the offense. Nevertheless, the court of appeal sentenced her to death after rejecting her argument that she had been manipulated by her boyfriend whom she “was very much in love with.” The Court found this explanation “unbelievable” in light of her education, work experience, and life experience. Indeed, the court emphasized that

[She] acted and portrayed herself like a damsel in her maiden love but, with respect, her background would indicate this most probably is a concoction of her real self...Malaysian Appellate Court

In the court’s estimation, the defendant, as a divorced woman, had lost the innocence that would enable her to fit the profile of a stereotypical victim. Moreover, her past failed relationship should have protected her from entering into another bad relationship involving manipulation. Such judicial assessments contradict what studies tell us about the likelihood of abuse survivors entering into future abusive relationships.

Courts may also show bias against female defendants who transgress rules of sexual behavior. In one case in Iran, a police report mentioned that the female defendant accused of a drug offense had engaged in sex work, even though it bore no connection to the crime. According to a lawyer present at the woman’s trial, this information had a substantial impact on the court’s decision to sentence her to death. The judge, who was a cleric, made several comments during trial and at sentencing expressing disapproval of her work. Observing the defendant’s daughter in the audience at trial, the judge commented, based on her physical appearance, that she was “clearly doing the same job as her mother.”

In 2017, the Indonesian Supreme Court sought to address the issue of discriminatory practices in the courts and their processes by publishing ‘Guidelines for Judging cases of women in conflict with the law.’ While the guidelines constitute a step towards addressing gender-based discrimination in the courts, in practice, they have not been applied consistently.

Media portrayals and public discourse

The role of the media is essential in shaping public opinion about women involved in drug activities and in legitimizing the retributive nature of drug laws. Media use different narrative patterns when reporting about women compared to men. In addition, media tell different kinds of stories about citizens versus foreigners who are facing capital charges. Media narratives and public opinion not only influence case outcomes but may also affect defendants’ mental health. For example, in Southeast Asia, one woman who was married to a prominent drug trafficking leader was arrested with one of her husband’s famous clients. As a result, her case received extensive media attention. The media named her the “Queen of meth.” Her mental health suffered from the relentless barrage of negative attention and public scorn, and she was eventually sentenced to death.

Most media interest in women facing the death penalty for drug offenses focuses on foreign nationals: women facing a capital trial outside of their home country. Media outlets often portray them as victims of the ‘war on drugs’ and emphasize their maternal roles as justification for a reprieve. For example, almost all the media coverage about Lindsay Sandiford, a British national who was sentenced to death in Indonesia for smuggling drugs, emphasizes her role as a mother and grandmother.

Similarly, media coverage about Siti Aslinda Binte Junaid, a Singaporean national who was sentenced to death for drug trafficking in China, consistently mentions her family and her role as a mother. Even when intended to be sympathetic, these narratives are double-edged. They reinforce the idea that women deserve compassion only to the extent that they fulfill their roles as caregivers, and at the same time they highlight the distance between the behavior of a ‘good mother’ and the subject’s entanglement in drug trading. Some media outlets, especially those that adopt a
more sensationalistic style, profit from framing stories within this tension. Meanwhile, many media pieces neglect the subtlety of the economic pressures and relationship dynamics that lie at the heart of many women’s pathway to drug offending.

Death row conditions
In Judged for More Than Her Crime we provided a detailed analysis of how women’s specific needs and vulnerabilities are overlooked by criminal legal systems and detention facilities. Gender-based discrimination affects many aspects of prisons, such as overcrowding, living conditions, access to medical care, and isolation from family.331

While women sentenced to death for drug crimes experience similar detention conditions as other women on death row, they may also suffer from additional harm. Some women convicted of drug offenses may use drugs, and prisons are often ill-equipped to respond to their health care needs.332 The Bangkok Rules mandate that “prison health services shall provide or facilitate specialized treatment programmes designed for women substance abusers.”333 There is little data regarding drug use among women sentenced to death for drug offenses. Certainly, retributive drug policies that criminalize drug use lead to a large number of women who use drugs among women incarcerated for drug offenses more generally. In Indonesia, for instance, one study estimated that over two-thirds (69%) of women incarcerated for drug offenses had a history of drug use.334

While drug rehabilitation programs exist in several prisons in Malaysia and Thailand,335 it is unclear whether they are available to women on death row, who are excluded from most prison programming with a focus on re-entry. Moreover, many drug programs fail to respond to the specific needs of women, who are disproportionately likely to have survived trauma and may need special accommodation due to pregnancy or caregiving responsibilities.336

GENDER BIAS AND FAIR TRIAL VIOLATIONS
Because the death penalty is an irreversible punishment, international law requires that states scrupulously observe international fair trial standards throughout capital proceedings.337 Nevertheless, as we examine throughout this report, women (and men) facing the death penalty for drug offenses often endure multiple infringements of their rights to a fair trial. In this section, we seek to examine the ways in which gender bias exacerbates the risk of fair trial violations for women prosecuted for drug-related activity. We found some evidence that women are at higher risk than men of being sentenced to death without receiving effective legal representation or access to a competent interpreter. Our findings are somewhat tentative, however, due to lack of data, and this is an area in which further research would be welcome.

Gender and the rights of foreign nationals
Violations of the right to interpretation
Foreign nationals are disproportionately sentenced to death,338 and the likelihood of a capital sentence is even higher for foreign nationals who do not speak the language used at trial.339 The risk is highest of all for female foreign nationals, and it is therefore not surprising that they are overrepresented on death row in several jurisdictions.340 If they are not fluent in the language of the country where they are tried, they will be unable to respond to police questioning, understand their trial, or contribute to an adequate defense. International law recognizes a right to an interpreter in every criminal process, free of charge and immediately following arrest, including during police interrogations.341 Yet many capital drug jurisdictions either provide inadequate interpretation or no interpretation at all, either during police interrogations or at trial.342 When courts provide interpreters, they may be unqualified or incompetent.343

Many of the women we profiled in this report were migrant workers. Women in many migrant source countries tend to have less access to education than men,344 which makes them less likely to speak a foreign language, whether it’s the local language or a common language for interpreters, such as English. Mary Jane Veloso’s case illustrates this risk. Mary Jane was born to a poor family and completed only one year of high school. When she was arrested in Indonesia, she had no access to an interpreter, even though she did not speak Bahasa Indonesian. At trial, the court-provided interpreter was an unlicensed student who translated the proceedings into English, a language she did not speak fluently. Mary Jane could not adequately communicate with her lawyer, court officials, or the judge and therefore had no meaningful understanding of the trial that determined her fate.
Some of the cases reviewed indicate that, because many foreign national women are arrested together with a male co-defendant, lack of systematic access to an interpreter may heighten the ability of male co-defendants to co-opt a conversation with police or with attorneys, depriving women of an individualized criminal process. In Avalie’s case, for instance, her purported boyfriend told the police that they did not need an interpreter to answer questions because he could speak English. He told the police that the drugs belonged to Avalie and walked away free, while Avalie—who did not understand the police interrogation—was later sentenced to death.\footnote{345}

Violations of the right to consular notification

Under well-established international law, arresting or detaining states must notify foreign nationals of their right to consular notification and access.\footnote{346} Consular representatives can provide critical assistance to their nationals facing a capital sentence abroad, such as access to a competent interpreter, assistance with gathering relevant information in the home country to bolster the defense, and sometimes support for legal aid.\footnote{347}

State authorities have an obligation to contact consular officials without delay if the detained or arrested foreign national requests that they do so.\footnote{348} State compliance with this norm, however, varies widely. In many countries, women facing a capital sentence for drug offenses are more likely than men to be foreign nationals,\footnote{349} exposing them to a higher risk of harm from state noncompliance with the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations.\footnote{350}

Research from Malaysia shows that government authorities are more likely to notify embassies from Europe than those from other regions, such as Africa.\footnote{350} Moreover, they often delay notification until after they have procured an incriminating statement from the defendant.\footnote{351}

Violations of the right to effective legal representation

Women—including those charged with drug offenses—often struggle more than men to retain skilled counsel due to gender disparities in socioeconomic status and educational attainment. This difficulty is even greater for foreign women, who are less likely to have access to individuals outside of prison who can contact a local lawyer on their behalf. Female defendants may also struggle more to identify and retain an attorney to represent them on appeal. Additionally, families may be unwilling to step in to help because of the strong stigma associated with a drug offense, and they may be especially less likely to help a female relative compared to a male relative.\footnote{352} In some countries, indeed, public disapproval of drug activity is so strong that the stigma can spread to defendants’ families.\footnote{353} A woman may face additional shame due to the social perception that committing a drug offense means she has failed as a mother or caregiver.\footnote{354}
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\caption{A woman incarcerated for a drug offense waits to meet with her lawyers in a prison in Indonesia. Photo courtesy of LBHM.}
\end{figure}

When defendants cannot afford a lawyer, states are under an obligation to provide them with legal aid at no cost.\footnote{355} Many capital defenders lack the training and resources to offer effective legal representation, however, and this is particularly true of state-funded lawyers. Indeed, “independent national experts” in Thailand “estimate that legal aid lawyers are less likely than private lawyers to perform actions such as ‘presenting arguments in favor of a defendant’s release pre-trial,’” or ‘giving advice to the defendant in private before any contact with the state justice official.’”\footnote{356} Furthermore, in Thailand, “it is not uncommon for women to perceive legal aid providers as lacking gender sensitivity.”\footnote{357} In Vietnam, female defendants have expressed a similar concern and “as a result, women may find it difficult to confide in a (typically male) legal aid provider and share intimate information related to a case.”\footnote{358}
PROFILE: BONNIE’ (MALAYSIA)

Bonnie is a Chinese woman from Hong Kong who has been on death row in Malaysia since 2010.

Bonnie was born in Hong Kong and faced adversity early in life. Her father was often absent, but when he was home, he was abusive and violent. She remembers him shouting and beating her mother every time he came home. She and her siblings were always afraid of him. Bonnie succeeded in overcoming these difficult circumstances and built a life for herself. She completed a basic education, married, and had a son. She worked in a wristwatch business owned by her husband and his business partner.

In her mid-40’s, however, Bonnie’s marriage broke down and the future of the family business came under threat. After an audit by tax authorities, the business owed a substantial sum in arrears and fines. Unable to pay this debt, Bonnie’s husband and his partner transferred the business into her name, leaving her to bear the financial and legal repercussions. This was not the first time Bonnie had had to carry more than her share of the family’s financial responsibilities. While both Bonnie and her husband drew salaries from the wristwatch business, Bonnie paid for the family’s living expenses from her salary alone. She believes that her husband gambled most of his income. Although Bonnie had always worried about money, her worries now reached a peak. If the family business did not pay the tax authorities, it would go bankrupt and their primary source of income would disappear. Bonnie’s husband constantly pressured her to pay off the debt, even after the couple separated. Bonnie was particularly concerned about how the separation and financial insecurity would affect her nine-year-old son. Bonnie feared that she would not be able to support him if they lost the family business.

In this moment of crisis, an acquaintance offered Bonnie a job transporting drugs and Bonnie, desperate to alleviate the family’s financial pressures, accepted. In March 2010, Bonnie flew to Malaysia. A few weeks later, as Bonnie prepared to fly back to Hong Kong, airport security officers stopped her at a security checkpoint and brought her to a small side room to conduct a body search.

Bonnie was unable to communicate with the officers as they searched her body and belongings, and she could not answer their questions. Bonnie spoke only a little English and no Malay, and the officers did not speak Cantonese, her native language. None of the officers, however, offered Bonnie an interpreter. Bonnie felt terrified and helpless. After the officers found drugs strapped to Bonnie’s body, they asked Bonnie to sign a document, which she could not read. She never found out what it contained. Bonnie was arrested.

Because, at the time, Malaysia applied the mandatory death penalty to drug offenses, the court never considered Bonnie’s history of childhood trauma and financial pressure as a mitigating circumstance. Moreover, at her trial, Bonnie again lacked adequate interpretation. The court provided Bonnie with an English-speaking interpreter, but Bonnie understood too little English to follow the proceedings. When Bonnie’s lawyer raised the issue of inadequate interpretation on appeal, the appellate court emphasized that Bonnie could write her name using English letters, lived in a former British colony, and had been able to navigate a foreign airport on her own by reading signs in English. Treating these facts as evidence that Bonnie’s level of English was sufficient to sign a confession and to understand a legal proceeding, the court dismissed the claim.

The appeals court also dismissed Bonnie’s claim that the lack of an interpreter during the police search and interrogation amounted to a fair trial violation. The court reasoned that, if Bonnie had wanted to communicate with the officers, she could have asked a bystander, such as another passenger or the owner of an airport kiosk, to interpret for her. This analysis presumes that passersby in airports are willing and able to offer interpretation services, and that the defendant, rather than the state, bears the responsibility to ensure that competent interpreters are available during the criminal process. The appeals court subsequently confirmed Bonnie’s death sentence.

Bonnie has now spent over a decade on death row, where her lack of language skills in Malay exacerbates her isolation. Her son and mother are only rarely able to make the journey from Hong Kong to visit her, and she has gone long periods without seeing them. One of her sharpest regrets is that she was unable to raise her son, who is now 20 years old. Bonnie does not have any close friends or close relatives in Malaysia and she struggles to communicate with

* We used pseudonyms and omitted some details to protect the identities of the individuals featured in this profile.
prison staff and other incarcerated women. Her long incarceration has taken a toll on her mental health. She often has trouble sleeping and experiences mood swings and depression.

Now aged 56, Bonnie is preparing a clemency application. A pardon would allow her to return to her mother and son, who have struggled to make ends meet without her support.

To write this profile, we conducted interviews with Bonnie’s legal advocates and consulted case documents and clemency application materials. We publish this profile with Bonnie’s consent.
Country Case Studies

INDONESIA

Indonesia regularly sentences both men and women to death for drug offenses. The majority of people on death row in Indonesia have been convicted of drug offenses and, in recent years, this proportion has been rapidly increasing.\(^\text{359}\) Amnesty International found that, of the 117 new death sentences imposed in 2020, 101 (86\%) were for drug-related offenses.\(^\text{360}\) Moreover, Indonesia is one of the few jurisdictions that carries out executions for drug offenses.\(^\text{361}\) Indeed, in recent years, the government has only executed individuals convicted of drug offenses. In 2015 and 2016, Indonesia executed 18 people, all for drug offenses.\(^\text{362}\) Two were women and 15 were foreign nationals—eight from Nigeria, two each from Australia and Brazil, and one from each of Malawi, the Netherlands and Vietnam.\(^\text{363}\)

Demographic trends among women sentenced to death for drug-related offenses

There are currently five women on death row for drug offenses in Indonesia.\(^\text{364}\) In total, there are 11 women under sentence of death, so those convicted of drug offenses comprise 45\% of women on death row.\(^\text{365}\) Women represent a small minority of death-sentenced prisoners. The entire population of death row—including men—is estimated to be between 355\(^\text{366}\) and 482\(^\text{367}\) people. Approximately 60\% of all death row prisoners are convicted of drug offenses.\(^\text{368}\) Of the five women currently on death row for drug offenses, two are foreign nationals. At the time of their arrest, two were migrant domestic workers\(^\text{369}\) and three had minor children.\(^\text{370}\)

Three of the five women were drug couriers, or ‘drug mules.’\(^\text{371}\) Among these women, two have stated that they had no knowledge of the drugs that they were carrying, and another has said that she was under extreme duress at the time of the commission of the offense.\(^\text{372}\) The remaining two women were believed to have played a more substantial role in the drugs syndicate and were convicted of importing, manufacturing, or selling drugs.\(^\text{373}\) Of this last group, both women were arrested with multiple male co-defendants, and both were romantically involved with one of their co-defendants.\(^\text{374}\) In total, three of the five women maintain that they were manipulated by drug syndicates and had no knowledge the crimes for which they have been convicted; another woman maintains that she acted only under extreme duress.\(^\text{375}\) Thus, at least four of the five women on death row for drug offenses claim that they were the victims of manipulation or coercion.

Legislative framework

Indonesia retains the death penalty for a broad range of drug-related offenses, including manufacturing, trafficking, and selling illicit substances.\(^\text{376}\) Indonesia’s legislative framework for drug control is remarkably punitive across the spectrum of drug-related activities. Mere possession of cannabis, for example, can lead to a four-year prison sentence,\(^\text{377}\) while possession of under 5 grams of heroin or methamphetamine is punishable by four to 12 years’ imprisonment.\(^\text{378}\) In 2019, the International Drug Policy Consortium (IDPC) identified one woman serving a sentence of eight years and four months in a case involving less than 0.4 grams of a controlled substance.\(^\text{379}\)

People who use drugs live under constant surveillance. Indonesian law requires that people who use drugs and their families report their drug use to the authorities.\(^\text{380}\) Failure to do so is punishable by imprisonment or a fine,\(^\text{381}\) and in 2019 IDPC found at least six women incarcerated for not reporting their own drug use.\(^\text{382}\) Largely as a result of these draconian policies, the prison population has ballooned from 53,000 individuals in 2000\(^\text{383}\) to 269,000 in 2020.\(^\text{384}\) Over 130,000 of these are detained for drug offenses, and one third for mere “drug use.”\(^\text{385}\) Compared to other countries, Indonesia incarcerates one of the highest numbers of women and,\(^\text{386}\) of the over 14,000 women who are

The gate to Denpasar Women’s Penitentiary, Indonesia, where Lindsay Sandiford is currently incarcerated. Photo courtesy of Komnas Perempuan.
incarcerated, 53% are imprisoned for drug-related offenses.387

The offense of drug importation can be proven by the mere act of receiving or possessing drugs, without the need to demonstrate that the defendant intended to traffic drugs.388 In Merri Utami’s case, for example, the court explicitly stated that the simple act of bringing drugs across the border into Indonesia (with or without knowledge) is enough to prove the offense of importing.389 The court further did not engage in any analysis as to whether Merri Utami knew or did not know that she was importing drugs before sentencing her to death.390 Felicity Gerry QC has persuasively argued that this framework unduly burdens drug couriers, who “struggle to demonstrate in court that they were ignorant of the nature of what they were carrying or that they had been coerced in some way.”391 (It is notable that Indonesia’s punitive drug control regime—and in particular, that criminal intent is not required to incur criminal liability—is sharply at odds with its unusually protective human trafficking regime brought in by the 2007 Trafficking in Persons Law.)392

**Recent executions for drug offenses**

Between 2008 and 2013, there was an unofficial moratorium on executions in Indonesia.393 In 2012, the country’s first democratically-elected president, Susilo Yudhoyono, commuted the death sentence of a man, Deni Setia Maharwan, who “struggle to demonstrate in court that they were ignorant of the nature of what they were carrying or that they had been coerced in some way.”394 In 2013, however, President Yudhoyono authorized the execution of five individuals, two of whom were foreign nationals convicted of drug offenses.395 When Joko Widodo assumed the presidency in 2014 after campaigning on a platform of accountable governance and respect for human rights, many observers assumed the moratorium would resume.396 Instead, within six months of taking office, President Widodo had authorized the execution of 14 people, all for drug offenses.397 The next year he authorized four more executions, again for drug offenses.398 President Widodo justified the executions by reference to Indonesia’s high incidence of drug use, declaring the country in a “state of emergency.”399

A protest against the executions in Nusakambangan in July 2016. Photo courtesy of LBHM.

**The anti-drug discourse and bias against foreign nationals**

Government pronouncements and official media coverage fuel a misconception that foreign nationals are disproportionately responsible for drug crimes in Indonesia. This is not borne out by the facts: the number of foreign nationals arrested as suspects in police drug investigations lies around 0.4%.400 Nevertheless, foreign nationals are wildly overrepresented in the prison population as a whole, and particularly on death row. As of 2019, 29% of death row prisoners in Indonesia were noncitizens, all of whom were facing the death penalty for drug-related crimes.401 Two of the five women currently on death row for drug offenses are foreign nationals.402 In addition, one of the two women executed for drug offenses in 2015 was a Vietnamese national, Tran Thi Bich Hanh.403 Her dying wish was to be executed in her home country, a request that Indonesia denied.404

Lawmakers have used especially harsh rhetoric in relation to foreign nationals accused of drug offenses. President Widodo has explicitly endorsed extrajudicial killings of foreign nationals. In 2017, he reportedly stated, “Just be firm, especially with foreign drug dealers who enter the country and resist [arrest]. Gun them down. Give no mercy.”405 Foreign nationals are also procedurally disadvantaged in some respects. For example, national law stipulates that only Indonesian nationals are eligible to ask the court to rule on the constitutionality of using the death penalty for drug offenses,406 and thus the Constitutional Court has refused to review applications by foreign nationals facing the death penalty.407
Despite the institutional bias against foreign nationals, there is also an emerging current of popular sympathy for certain categories of foreigners convicted of drug crimes: female migrant workers. Indonesia has one of the largest migrant worker communities in the world. In 2016, about nine million Indonesians were working abroad, and half of those were women, the majority of whom were employed as domestic workers. Many Indonesians understand how migrant workers are vulnerable to exploitative relationships. As a result, the advocacy campaigns in support of Merri Utami (an Indonesian migrant worker who had been working in Taipei) and Mary Jane Veloso (a Filipina migrant worker in Indonesia) have attracted notable public sympathy.

When Mary Jane Veloso was due to be executed in 2015, Indonesian migrant workers rallied to support her. The day before her execution, the hashtag #MaryJane was the second-most trending topic on Twitter in Indonesia. Articles entitled “I could have been Mary Jane Veloso,” and others like it, were published in the Jakarta Post. A leading scholar on Indonesia, Dave McRae, comments that this outpouring of support “has drawn a number of mainstream Indonesian figures who wouldn’t normally talk about the death penalty into calling for [Mary Jane] to be spared.” At the time of writing, a petition asking President Widodo to grant Merri Utami clemency had over 26,000 signatories, a significant number by Indonesian standards.

Empirical research demonstrates, however, that the authorities routinely violate the right to effective counsel. At the investigation phase, in fact, it is exceedingly rare for defendants to be afforded representation. The Institute for Criminal Justice Reform (ICJR) found that of 100 death penalty cases analyzed between 2017 and 2019, only 11 defendants benefitted from legal assistance at the investigation phase. At trial, because most defendants accused of drug offenses cannot afford a lawyer, they receive the assistance of court-appointed lawyers, many of whom lack the training, resources, and experience to effectively represent them. In one case, the defense reportedly requested a sentence of death, against the client’s wishes, and the court complied. More common problems include, for instance, defense lawyers lacking gender-sensitive awareness and advocacy strategies and failing to call any witnesses, as occurred in the cases of multiple women currently on death row for drug offenses. On appeal, “judges often fail to take the lack of effective legal counsel into consideration,” even at the Supreme Court level.

The door of a solitary confinement cell in Paledang Bogor Penitentiary, Indonesia, which holds women accused of capital drug offenses (pre-conviction). Photo courtesy of Komnas Perempuan.

While all defendants are irreparably harmed by a lack of effective representation, women are likely to suffer disproportionate harm. Women in Indonesia have less access to education and are less financially independent than their male counterparts, making them more dependent on legal assistance to understand the legal process, even while they lack the means to secure private counsel.

Torture by police is endemic at the investigation stage. IDPC found that in noncapital cases, 25% of female drug...
defendants surveyed had experienced torture. Komnas HAM (the National Commission on Human Rights) found that an even higher proportion of death row prisoners (23 of 56, or 41%) reported experiencing torture at the hands of the police. Research suggests that courts continue to admit evidence obtained as a result of torture, such as coerced confessions, including in capital cases. At least two of the women currently on death row for drug offenses report having been tortured by police: one woman experienced sexual violence in pre-trial detention, and Merri Utami was threatened and beaten during the investigation process, leaving wounds covering her body.

Some of the clearest violations of international law occur at the clemency stage. President Widodo has repeatedly stated that the government will deny any application for clemency made by people sentenced to death for drug offenses, on the grounds that “[t]his crime warrants no forgiveness.” Automatic denials of clemency based on categories of offense, however, are incompatible with Indonesia’s obligations under international law, which clearly establishes a right to seek clemency in all cases. Moreover, executions have taken place pending a request for clemency—in the cases of Humphrey Ejike Jefferson and Seck Osame, amongst others—which also violates international law.

Finally, and perhaps most egregiously, police sometimes commit extrajudicial killings against people accused of drug offenses. President Widodo has publicly incited law enforcement to “shoot drug traffickers” and “gun them down.” LBHM has recorded 199 cases of police shooting in drug cases, resulting in 68 deaths and 130 persons injured. In such cases, officers almost always act with impunity.

Conclusion

Based on our review of the research, as well as our qualitative review of the cases of women on death row for drug offenses, we conclude this chapter with the following observations. First, flagrant fair trial violations characterize the Indonesian criminal legal system in general, and this especially harms indigent, undereducated defendants—which women often are. Second, though foreign nationals are overrepresented amongst women sentenced to death for drug offenses, authorities frequently fail to secure the assistance of interpreters for defendants who do not speak Bahasa Indonesia during police interrogations, conversations with counsel, and at court hearings. In a small, in-depth study of 12 death row prisoners, Amnesty International found that “most of the foreign nationals... did not receive any language assistance.” In addition, Komnas HAM found that, of the 17 foreign nationals on death row that they interviewed, seven had received no interpreter during their legal proceedings, although none had any knowledge of Bahasa Indonesia. Mary Jane Veloso, for instance, a Filipina national who speaks Tagalog, endured a police interrogation without an interpreter. At her trial, an interpreter translated the proceedings into English, a language she barely understood. Without the ability to communicate, a defendant cannot understand the charges against her, communicate with her lawyer, or mount an adequate defense.

Some of the clearest violations of international law occur at the clemency stage. President Widodo has repeatedly stated that the government will deny any application for clemency made by people sentenced to death for drug offenses, on the grounds that “[t]his crime warrants no forgiveness.” Automatic denials of clemency based on categories of offense, however, are incompatible with Indonesia’s obligations under international law, which clearly establishes a right to seek clemency in all cases. Moreover, executions have taken place pending a request for clemency—in the cases of Humphrey Ejike Jefferson and Seck Osame, amongst others—which also violates international law.

Finally, and perhaps most egregiously, police sometimes commit extrajudicial killings against people accused of drug offenses. President Widodo has publicly incited law enforcement to “shoot drug traffickers” and “gun them down.” LBHM has recorded 199 cases of police shooting in drug cases, resulting in 68 deaths and 130 persons injured. In such cases, officers almost always act with impunity.

Conclusion

Based on our review of the research, as well as our qualitative review of the cases of women on death row for drug offenses, we conclude this chapter with the following observations. First, flagrant fair trial violations characterize the Indonesian criminal legal system in general, and this especially harms indigent, undereducated defendants—which women often are. Second, though foreign nationals are overrepresented amongst women sentenced to death for drug offenses, authorities frequently fail to secure the assistance of interpreters for defendants who do not speak Bahasa Indonesia during police interrogations, conversations with counsel, and at court hearings. In a small, in-depth study of 12 death row prisoners, Amnesty International found that “most of the foreign nationals... did not receive any language assistance.” In addition, Komnas HAM found that, of the 17 foreign nationals on death row that they interviewed, seven had received no interpreter during their legal proceedings, although none had any knowledge of Bahasa Indonesia. Mary Jane Veloso, for instance, a Filipina national who speaks Tagalog, endured a police interrogation without an interpreter. At her trial, an interpreter translated the proceedings into English, a language she barely understood. Without the ability to communicate, a defendant cannot understand the charges against her, communicate with her lawyer, or mount an adequate defense.

Some of the clearest violations of international law occur at the clemency stage. President Widodo has repeatedly stated that the government will deny any application for clemency made by people sentenced to death for drug offenses, on the grounds that “[t]his crime warrants no forgiveness.” Automatic denials of clemency based on categories of offense, however, are incompatible with Indonesia’s obligations under international law, which clearly establishes a right to seek clemency in all cases. Moreover, executions have taken place pending a request for clemency—in the cases of Humphrey Ejike Jefferson and Seck Osame, amongst others—which also violates international law.

Finally, and perhaps most egregiously, police sometimes commit extrajudicial killings against people accused of drug offenses. President Widodo has publicly incited law enforcement to “shoot drug traffickers” and “gun them down.” LBHM has recorded 199 cases of police shooting in drug cases, resulting in 68 deaths and 130 persons injured. In such cases, officers almost always act with impunity.
drug offenses, this trend is not as extreme in Indonesia as it is in other jurisdictions. Third, Indonesian drug laws do not require that specific intent be proven. In capital cases, this may disproportionately disadvantage women since three of the five women currently on death row for drug offenses maintain that they acted without knowledge of the crime. More research, however, is required to confirm whether women are, in fact, disproportionately harmed. Lastly, a majority of the women sentenced to death for drug offenses claim that they were the victims of manipulation or coercion.
PROFILE: MARY JANE VELOSO (INDONESIA)

Mary Jane Veloso is a 36-year-old Filipina woman incarcerated on death row in Indonesia for drug trafficking. Mary Jane was convicted of illegally importing heroin into Indonesia, but she maintains that she was targeted by drug traffickers and had no knowledge of her role. Though her case has elicited much public sympathy, and her recruiters are currently being prosecuted in the Philippines, her future remains uncertain.

Mary Jane was born to a poor family. After completing one year of high school, she was forced to abandon her education due to economic pressures. By the age of 17, Mary Jane was married, and at 18 she became a mother. Mary Jane and her husband engaged in whatever work they could find to support themselves and their two young sons. Mostly, they combed through garbage to separate the plastics and other recyclable materials, which they then sold.

Mary Jane wanted a better life and so she sought out domestic work abroad, enduring the sacrifice of leaving her family behind. When Maria Cristina Sergio—the long-term godbrother, Julius Lacanilao—offered her work as a maid in Malaysia, Mary Jane accepted the opportunity. Mary Jane left the Philippines with Maria Cristina in April 2010. When they arrived in Malaysia, Maria Cristina told Mary Jane that the position she had promised her was no longer available but that she would help her find a new one. Three days later, Maria Cristina suggested that Mary Jane travel to Indonesia while they searched for other employment opportunities. Maria Cristina bought Mary Jane clothes, gave her an empty suitcase in which to pack her new things, and dropped Mary Jane at the airport to board her flight to Indonesia alone.

Upon Mary Jane’s arrival in Yogyakarta Airport, security personnel detected something suspicious in her suitcase. They ripped open the seams and found 2.6 kilograms of heroin—with a street value of about USD $500,000—hidden inside. The police immediately arrested her. Mary Jane’s father, Cesar Veloso said, “[W]hen I learned Mary Jane was jailed in Indonesia, I lost my mind. I felt dead… that child of mine was caring. Kind.”

During the police investigation, Mary Jane received neither legal advice nor an interpreter. The police interrogated her in Bahasa Indonesia, a language she did not understand at all. Because she could not afford a lawyer, she was represented at trial by a state-funded attorney with little experience in capital cases. Her lawyers were woefully inadequate. Most notably, they failed to ensure that Mary Jane was provided with an interpreter who would allow her to adequately communicate with her attorney, court officials, and the trial judge. Her court-appointed interpreter was an unlicensed student who translated proceedings from Bahasa into English, a language that Mary Jane understood only slightly, having studied it at school during her curtailed education. Throughout her trial, Mary Jane had no meaningful understanding of the criminal process that would determine her fate.

When Mary Jane’s trial ended in October 2010, the prosecution requested a sentence of life imprisonment. The court exceeded the prosecutor’s recommendation and sentenced her to death. One of Mary Jane’s current lawyers believes that the pivotal moment came when the trial judge asked her mid-hearing—in Bahasa—whether she regretted trafficking drugs into the country. Mary Jane didn’t understand the question and fumbled for an answer. “No,” she said, eventually. On October 11, 2010, six months after arriving in Indonesia, Mary Jane was sentenced to death by firing squad.

Finding herself on death row, separated indefinitely from her young children, in a country where she knew no one and...
did not speak the language, Mary Jane fell into despair. She attempted on several occasions to kill herself by banging her head against her cell wall. Gradually, she began to find ways to forge life-sustaining meaning in prison. She learned Bahasa and today speaks the language fluently. She earned the friendship of prison officers who, despite their modest salaries, collected funds to pay for her parents and sons to visit her. (The Philippine government supported later family visits.) Mary Jane describes the visits she receives as ”[a] spark of hope [that] fills my heart.”

In 2015, Indonesian president Widodo announced that nine prisoners convicted of drug offenses would be executed, including Mary Jane. A coalition of advocacy groups organized a vigorous campaign on her behalf, with protests taking place in both the Philippines and Indonesia. Nevertheless, Mary Jane’s execution was due to go forward until, shortly before the execution was to take place, Maria Cristina Sergio and her boyfriend, Julius Lacanilao—the recruiters who had sent Mary Jane to Indonesia—handed themselves in to the police in the Philippines. Filipino president Aquino requested that Indonesia keep Mary Jane alive so that she could testify against Maria Cristina and Julius. Of the nine people scheduled to be executed on April 29, 2015, Mary Jane was the only person who survived.

Mary Jane’s family gather in a prayer circle, 6 hours before her scheduled execution in 2015. Photo courtesy of Komnas Perempuan.

After Maria Cristina confessed, Filipino authorities instituted criminal proceedings against her and Julius Lacanilao. In 2020, Filipino courts found them both guilty of large-scale illegal recruitment and, currently, prosecutors are still pursuing human trafficking charges against them, for which Mary Jane is the primary victim and witness. Once those proceedings end and Mary Jane is no longer required as a witness, her lawyers fear that the Indonesian authorities might schedule her execution. They hold out hope that a trafficking conviction in the Philippines would lead Indonesian courts to quash her conviction. Prominent government-led anti-drug campaigns in both Indonesia and the Philippines, however, create additional obstacles to Mary Jane’s release. During a visit to Jakarta, President Duterte even reportedly gave the go-ahead to President Widodo to authorize her execution.

Mary Jane’s case has elicited considerable public sympathy. Many working-class Filipinos and Indonesians identify with her and understand how the vulnerability of foreign domestic workers can lead to exploitation. For now, Mary Jane waits in prison. She writes, “I have two small kids who need a mother’s nurturing, guidance, and love.” She adds, “[I] hope that one day […] justice will take me out of this prison cell.”

To write this profile, we conducted interviews with Mary Jane’s lawyers, advocates and social workers. We also consulted court records and publicly available information. We publish this profile with Mary Jane’s consent.
MALAYSIA

Malaysia’s capital drug laws have fueled one of the largest female death rows in the world. According to Amnesty International, as of February 2019, 95% of the 141 women on death row in Malaysia (all but seven) had been convicted of drug offenses. The vast majority of death-sentenced women—over 85%—are foreign nationals, only one of whom (out of 121) was not sentenced for a drug offense. These high numbers are largely attributable to exceptionally punitive drug laws, which presume defendants’ intent to traffic drugs if they are in possession of a minimum quantity, and impose mandatory death sentences. Thanks to extensive research conducted by Lucy Harry, we know that many women sentenced to death for drug offenses share similar stories. They face unique challenges in the criminal legal system.

While its reliance on capital punishment has decreased over the past three decades, Malaysia has long imposed some of the harshest drug laws in the world, introducing capital punishment for drug offenses in 1975 and making it mandatory (i.e., removing the courts’ discretion to impose lesser sentences) in 1983. Individuals convicted of drug offenses were not eligible for clemency until the early 1990s, and Malaysia executed over 120 people for drug offenses between 1983–1992. At the turn of the 21st century, the government’s stance on the death penalty began to shift. Executions significantly decreased in the 1990s and, at the time of writing, Malaysia has not carried out an execution since 2017. Parliament passed legal amendments in 2017 to make capital punishment for drug trafficking discretionary in some circumstances, and in 2018 the government adopted a moratorium on executions and committed to abolishing the death penalty. In 2019, however, in response to public criticism, the government changed course and announced that it would instead only abolish the mandatory death penalty. The government appointed a committee to develop alternatives to the mandatory death penalty. The committee presented its conclusions in February 2020, but as of writing, the report was still under review and had not been released. In March 2020, a new government came to power. The new government voted in favor of a U.N. General Assembly resolution calling for a moratorium on capital punishment and has maintained Malaysia’s moratorium, but its position on repealing the mandatory death penalty remains unclear. In August 2020, the Federal Court ruled that the mandatory death penalty was constitutional and that Parliament holds the power to abolish the mandatory death penalty.

Meanwhile, Malaysia’s death row has swelled as a result of the country’s punitive drug laws. Malaysia has one of the largest death rows in the world, and as of October 2020, 68.9% of death-sentenced prisoners were convicted of drug trafficking. No group has been more affected by the country’s punitive drug policy than women who are foreign nationals, many of whom come from economically disadvantaged backgrounds.

Compared to the men on death row, women are disproportionately foreign nationals and disproportionately sentenced to death for drug offenses. As of February 2019, 95% of women on death row, but only 70% of men, had been convicted of drug offenses. Moreover, 86% of the women sentenced to death for drug trafficking were foreign nationals, compared to less than half of the men convicted of capital drug offenses.

Legislative framework

In Malaysia, it is a capital offense to traffic (or offer or prepare to traffic) a “dangerous drug.” The law imposes a system of legal presumptions that make it very difficult for a defendant to escape conviction and, under most circumstances, once the defendant is convicted the only available punishment is death. If the defendant had custody of a drug, the law presumes that the defendant had knowledge of it. The law also presumes that, provided the drug quantity exceeds a statutory minimum, the defendant intended to traffic. Through the use of statutory minimums, Malaysia, like other jurisdictions, uses weight as a proxy for criminal intent. To rebut this double presumption, defendants must prove it is more likely than not that they did not know about the drugs and did not intend to traffic. In 2019, the Federal Court of Malaysia, the country’s highest appellate court, ruled that the double presumption was unconstitutional because it violates the presumption of innocence. Parliament, however, has not yet amended the legislation. Additionally, the Federal Court has not addressed whether applying just one presumption is constitutional.

Moreover, once the defendant is convicted, the death penalty is in most cases mandatory for capital drug offenses. Combined with the double presumption, therefore, the law impedes courts from meaningfully
considering the circumstances of the offense and the offender at any stage of the capital trial, including through gender-specific mitigation. A 2017 amendment grants judges the discretion to sentence a convicted defendant to life imprisonment instead of death if the defendant meets certain criteria, such as by helping law enforcement ‘disrupt’ drug trafficking activities.838 Defendants convicted of capital drug offenses, however, rarely benefit from this sentencing exemption,839 in part because most are low level couriers.840 Finding themselves at the bottom of the male-dominated hierarchy of trafficking groups, they almost always lack information about the broader organization.841

Pathways to offending for women convicted of drug-related offenses

Harry’s 2021 study, which reviewed the cases of 146 women sentenced to death for drug offenses in Malaysia after 1983,842 found that many women, especially those who were sole providers for their families, trafficked drugs because they urgently needed money to support their families.843 For example, one woman who was single and working at a hair salon took a trafficking job because she needed to pay her father’s medical bills.844 A combination of family responsibilities and economic precarity—both factors that affect women more often than men—underlies many women’s pathway to offending.845 Almost all of the women in the study had been unemployed or engaged in precarious work before their arrest.846 Many came from countries with high unemployment rates,847 placing them at heightened risk of being targeted by drug syndicates.848 Recruiters recognize that people in areas with high unemployment and inequality are more willing to travel for money.849

According to research by Amnesty International, many women sentenced to death for drug offenses in Malaysia are low-level offenders with little to no information about or control over what they were transporting, or the true nature of what they perceived as a job.850 A substantial number, especially among foreign nationals,851 report that they were unaware that they were carrying illicit drugs.852 In many cases, women agreed to carry what they thought was a bag of non-illicit items, sometimes for pay.853 Romantic partners also deceived many women into trafficking. Drug syndicates in Southeast Asia sometimes recruit couriers through romantic deception, and women living in economic precarity are especially vulnerable to deception by romantic partners who promise familial support and economic security.854 For example, one woman sentenced to death in Malaysia unknowingly trafficked drugs while traveling to meet her online boyfriend, who had promised to find her employment, marry her, and take care of her family once she traveled to Malaysia.855 Among the women who were aware they were carrying drugs, most did not know where the drugs were headed to or coming from, or the identities of individuals in higher positions in drug networks.856

Women on death row in Malaysia—whether they knowingly or unwittingly transported drugs—reported that they had little to no control over the key elements of drug trafficking operations, including their travel plans and the quantity and type of drugs in their possession.857 Even women who had carried drugs on their bodies often said that they had not known the drugs’ weight or content.858 Many women did not book tickets themselves but instead used tickets that their recruiters gave them. Their travel plans were often turbulent, wide-ranging, and changed at the last minute—a classic tactic to disorient involuntary drug couriers.859 For example, one woman’s friend asked her to carry confidential documents from Brazil to Bangkok, but at the last minute she was directed to fly to Malaysia instead and the man who was supposed to give her documents instead gave her towels (which, unbeknownst to her, contained dried-in cocaine).860

Fair trial violations in capital drug cases

Review of available judgments indicates that many women are sentenced to death despite reasonable doubts as to their guilt. Since judges presume defendants’ awareness of the drugs in their custody and intent to traffic,861 a defendant has the burden of proving that it is more probable than not that she did not know about the drugs or intend to traffic. This means that, even if she raises reasonable doubt over her guilt, she can still be sentenced to death.862 Many female foreign nationals argue that they were not aware of the drugs they carried,863 but trial judges rarely accept this defense.864 For example, judges dismiss the defense if they estimate that the defendant had reason to question the behavior of the recruiter and failed to investigate the situation.865 In making this determination, courts tend to assume that women should have been suspicious of the people who gave them hidden drugs to carry, even if that person was a trusted intimate partner. Because it is difficult for defendants to prove why they were not suspicious, judges often conclude
that the defendant’s evidence is insufficient to rebut the presumption of guilt. Additionally, “the courts have also held that whether the ‘organiser’ of the drug scheme is fictitious or not, is often irrelevant in establishing whether the accused” knew about the drugs in her possession. 538

Lastly, judges often refuse to consider arguments relating to intent if the defendant did not present that defense at the first available opportunity. 539 Many women, therefore, are sentenced to death on the basis of minimal evidence of culpability.

The case of Luo Dan is emblematic of courts’ expectation that defendants suspect the motives of the people closest to them. The defendant, presumed to be guilty of trafficking after being arrested for carrying drugs in a bag, told the court that her boyfriend had given her the bag, filled with shoes, to deliver to a friend in Malaysia. 540 She had looked inside the bag and seen nothing suspicious. 541 The evidence against Luo was weak. The appellate court cited the following evidence against Luo: she removed a luggage tag from her suitcase (after it was no longer needed); her flight made an unanticipated stop; she did not mention her boyfriend until after her arrest; she requested a payment from an acquaintance for an unknown reason; and the shoes inside the bag were unusually heavy and this should have made her suspicious because as “a woman … she would be familiar with women’s shoes.” 542 In fact, Luo texted her boyfriend that the bag was heavy, and the judge decided that she should have been more suspicious and probed her boyfriend further about the contents of the bag. 543 Meanwhile, there was no evidence of payment from her boyfriend, and a search of her phone and iPad showed no suspicious texts about the content of the bag. 544 Based on this evidence, the trial court sentenced her to death. The appellate court confirmed that Luo “was complicit in and had the requisite knowledge of the drugs she was carrying.” 545 even though it agreed it was likely that her boyfriend was “the mastermind of this illicit [drug trafficking] operation.” 546

Our review of cases also suggests that courts tend to dismiss the ‘lack of knowledge’ defense unless the female defendant fits the stereotype of a duped drug courier: an impoverished and uneducated woman. Courts thus cite more privileged aspects of defendants’ backgrounds to infer guilt or intent. When dismissing defenses, for example, judges have pointed out that the defendant was from a “middle-class family,” or that she was not “uneducated,” “naïve,” or a “simpleton.” 547 In one case, the judge pointed out that the defendant was “an educated person, a graduate in Economics from the University of Xihua,” and argued that “surely alarm bells of suspicion ought to have been aroused to a person of reasonable intelligence such as the appellant.” 548 This logic suggests that judges have a preconceived notion that all women who are manipulated into trafficking are naïve people from abject poverty with little to no educational background. These generalized assumptions do not take into account the complex realities of women’s lives, in which gendered factors such as caregiving responsibilities and romantic manipulation can leave women from more diverse backgrounds vulnerable to deception.

Many defendants with limited financial resources do not have access to effective legal counsel at every stage of the criminal process, making it harder to rebut legal presumptions about their guilt and to obtain clemency. Many defendants who cannot afford pre-trial counsel do not receive it, placing them at greater risk of making incriminating statements during police interrogations. 549 Defendants who cannot afford legal representation during their trials receive court-appointed lawyers, who are often overworked 550 and lack resources to appoint experts. 551 Because lawyers appointed to represent indigent defendants receive minimal compensation, some trial lawyers spend little time preparing a capital case. 552 Many women on death row do not receive legal assistance when requesting a pardon. 553 Defendants who apply for a pardon without legal representation are at a considerable disadvantage, because pardon petitions made with a lawyer’s support tend to be more persuasive and credible. 554

Finally, many foreign nationals, women and men, were sentenced to death following trials that infringe upon a key fair trial standard: the right to interpretation. 555 Malaysian law only grants defendants a right to an interpreter when they are in court. 556 As a result, many foreign nationals do not have interpreters during police interrogations or while they are preparing their pardon petitions or their defense. 557 Some police officers hire an interpreter before asking an accused person to sign a statement, but interpreters provided by the police often have limited language competency and defendants struggle to communicate with them. 558 Foreign nationals who do not have interpretation during police interrogations sometimes provide “confessions” that misrepresent their statements or that were induced by
investigating officers. For example, one woman whose case we profiled, Avalie, did not receive an interpreter during her police interrogation; instead, the police directed all their questions to her boyfriend, who blamed Avalie for the offense and instructed her to sign a document that she did not understand, which turned out to be a confession. Some capital defense lawyers also reportedly lack the resources to hire interpreters to prepare their client’s defense. Some lawyers report that they are only able to speak to their clients in court, shortly before hearings are scheduled to begin, since that is where court- assigned interpreters are available. Foreign nationals, who are far away from their families and support networks, are also at a disadvantage during the pardon application process, especially if they lack support from their respective embassies.

**Prison conditions**

Women face unique challenges when they are incarcerated under sentence of death in Malaysia. People on death row—especially foreign nationals, who make up most of the female death row population—experience extreme isolation. People sentenced to death live in solitary confinement for 23 hours a day, and must stay in the confines of their dormitories during the one hour when they can leave their cells. They may not participate in work and education programs. The experience of foreign nationals is even more isolating: they receive fewer visits, and if they do not speak the Malay language—like Bonnie, one of the women we have profiled—they struggle to communicate with guards, medical staff, and fellow prisoners. Language barriers also prevent foreign nationals from participating in religious meetings. Often, prison staff prohibit books in foreign languages, so foreign nationals are rarely able to read in their native language—depriving them of one of the few activities that death row prisoners are permitted.

Pregnant women and women detained with young children face additional challenges. While we do not know how many death row prisoners have experienced pregnancy while incarcerated, many women in the general prison population report that they did not have access to pre- or post-natal health services or food suitable for pregnancy. Prisons restrict the amount of time that a mother can stay with her child, and a mother must receive special permission to keep her child in prison after her child is four years old. Additionally, some prisons lack nursery programs or separate mother-and-baby units with appropriate facilities for children.

Finally, Malaysian prisons fail to account for female prisoners’ specific medical needs. Half of the incarcerated women in one study reported that the prison did not provide menstrual hygiene products, and 34% reported that they did not have access to enough water to maintain menstrual hygiene. Women rarely received painkillers for menstrual cramps, even when they requested them. Incarcerated women were rarely able to access gynecological examinations.

**Conclusion**

We can draw several conclusions based on the available information. First, a large majority, over 85%, of women on death row in Malaysia are foreign nationals convicted of drug offenses. Second, many women on death row trafficked drugs to support their families in a context of economic precarity, and they had little to no information about or control over their role in the trafficking enterprise. Third, women are less likely to have information to share with law enforcement, so judges sentence most women to the mandatory death penalty without considering their gender-specific circumstances.

Fourth, women are often sentenced to death despite substantial doubts about their guilt. Fifth, foreign nationals, a majority of women on death row, rarely receive adequate interpretation during the criminal process.

Lastly, women on death row experience extreme isolation and lack access to sufficient healthcare services.
Avalie, a foreign national, has been on death row in Malaysia for eight years. Her case exemplifies the barriers women face in presenting their version of events to the courts, especially when they became involved in drug-related activities through a male partner.

Avalie, a single mother to two daughters, was working as a beautician when she met Darrius in 2009. They began a romantic relationship. When Darrius moved to Malaysia, where he owned a restaurant, they maintained a long-distance relationship and called each other often. After they had been dating for a year, Darrius invited Avalie to visit him in Malaysia. Avalie was thrilled with the invitation: she had never traveled abroad before, and the trip felt like a dream come true. Darrius bought plane tickets for Avalie and her eldest daughter, who was about nine years old. According to Avalie, Darrius also sent her a bag with instructions to use it to pack for her trip.

When Avalie and her daughter arrived at the Kuala Lumpur airport, security officers detected drugs in the bag Darrius had provided. Instead of confronting Avalie, the police let her leave the airport and secretly followed her. Avalie made her way to a hotel where Darrius was waiting. As they greeted each other, Darrius took her bag and headed to the hotel reception counter to check in. The police chose this moment to arrest them. When the police opened the bag, they found over two kilograms of methamphetamine.

According to Avalie, she never had an opportunity to tell the police her story. When the police began asking questions, she requested an interpreter because she did not speak English or the Malay language. Darrius interjected, however, that they did not need an interpreter because he could communicate with the police in English. He assured Avalie that he would sort out the situation by giving the police money and explaining to them that the bag did not belong to Avalie. The police directed all their questions to Darrius and he answered for both himself and Avalie, who did not understand their exchange. She later learned that Darrius told the police that the bag and the drugs belonged to Avalie and that he had no knowledge of them.

According to Avalie, her court-appointed trial lawyer failed to present her account to the court. Instead, her counsel crafted a far-fetched defense narrative that contradicted the evidence. He told the court that Avalie had brought her own bag to Malaysia, and that after she arrived at the hotel, the police and Darrius had connived to frame her by replacing her luggage with a different bag containing drugs. The trial lawyer’s defense theory was unsupported by the evidence. Meanwhile, he failed to highlight the absence of an interpreter during the police interrogation and the unreliability of the evidence offered by Darrius, a co-suspect. When, on appeal, a different lawyer explained her version of events, the judges disbelieved her. The mere fact that she contradicted her trial lawyer’s defense narrative, even if she attributed the change to incompetent lawyering, cast a shadow over her credibility.

In 2013, three years after her arrest, Avalie was convicted of drug trafficking and sentenced to death. She is very depressed and misses her family, who is far away in her home country. Avalie calls her daughters when she can, but she has not seen them since Malaysian authorities removed her daughter from her care. Avalie has since exhausted her appeals, and her clemency petition is currently pending before the Pardons Board.

To write this profile, we conducted interviews with Avalie’s legal advocate and consulted articles and reports. We publish this profile with her lawyer’s consent.
THAILAND

The overwhelming majority of women on death row in Thailand have been convicted of drug-related offenses. As of February 2021, all but two of the 33 women on death row had received a death sentence for a drug conviction. Moreover, punitive drug laws disproportionately affect women compared to men; 93.9% of the women, but only 59.7% of men, under sentence of death were convicted of drug-related offenses. As a result, women make up 13% of the country’s total death row population, one of the highest proportions anywhere in the world.

Although Thailand has one of the largest death row populations in Asia, it carries out few executions. It remains a retentionist state, as it has carried out an execution within the past ten years (most recently in 2018, after nine years without executions). No woman has been executed since 1999, when Samai Pan-intara was executed for drug trafficking.

Thailand punishes a range of offenses with death, including drug-related offenses. It is a capital crime in Thailand to produce or distribute “category one” drugs, or to possess category one drugs for distribution, as long as the quantity of drugs exceeds the amount defined in the sentencing guidelines. By law, courts presume that defendants in possession of more than a statutory amount of category one drugs intend to distribute them. In practice, to rebut the presumption and avoid a possible death sentence, defendants must sometimes prove beyond a reasonable doubt that they did not intend to distribute the drugs. This practice violates the presumption of innocence and fair trial standards.

Thailand’s punitive drug laws have fueled a substantial growth in the female prison population over the past three decades. Today, Thailand has the world’s second highest incarceration rate of women. Women make up 14% of incarcerated people in Thailand, the highest proportion in the world, and 19% of people sentenced to death for drug offenses. According to available data, the majority of women on death row for drug offenses are low-level offenders without criminal histories and are less likely than men to have a criminal record. In a recent survey of eight women sentenced to death for drug offenses, none of the women had connections to organized crime networks and most reported that drug dealing was not their primary source of income.

Pathways to offending for women convicted of drug-related offenses

A 2021 study by Jeffries et al. is one of the most detailed studies available of the life histories of women convicted of capital drug offenses. In 2017, the researchers interviewed 16 women incarcerated for drug offenses, eight of whom were sentenced to death, and 18 men incarcerated for drug offenses, six of whom were sentenced to death. Although the sample size was small, the authors’ conclusions confirm patterns identified by other researchers regarding the gendered factors that push women into drug offending and common differences between women and men’s experiences.

The authors found that in many cases, female drug offending is predicated on a combination of poverty, caregiving responsibilities, and lack of economic opportunity. Compared to men, women who commit drug-related offenses are more likely to be sole providers, to have dependents (both children and other relatives), and to be driven by financial need. Over a third of the women in the 2021 study reported that the main reason they trafficked drugs was that they needed money to support their families. Only one of the men in the study, by contrast, cited family financial needs as the main reason he was involved in drug trafficking. The great majority of incarcerated women surveyed—81%, compared to only 50% of men—had children of their own, and almost a quarter of those women became mothers when they were children themselves. The authors also found evidence that, among people incarcerated for drug offenses, women were more likely than men to have supported extended family members before their arrest. Many women became family caregivers at a young age, trapping them in a cycle of poverty. Three-quarters of the women surveyed grew up in low-income households. More than a quarter of them dropped out of school before finishing compulsory education, in several cases to work to support their families. They faced limited employment prospects after leaving school, and many worked in precarious jobs before their arrest. A significant number of women surveyed by Jeffries et al. in 2021 reported that men in their lives had manipulated or deceived them into trafficking. One-quarter of the women—and none of the men—reported that their romantic partners took advantage of their economic and emotional vulnerabilities and manipulated them into...
trafficking drugs. Many were struggling financially and had recently ended relationships with men who mistreated them, sometimes violently. One woman reported that her intimate partner promised to help pay her rent if she helped him transport some “gemstones,” which were in fact drugs. Another woman’s boyfriend led her to believe that he would break up with her if she did not traffic drugs for him.

The authors also found that women incarcerated for drug offenses were more likely than men to have experienced domestic abuse and other intimate relationship problems—a finding confirmed by other studies. Half of the women surveyed reported that they had experienced domestic violence, half had experienced intimate partner infidelity, and 75% had experienced the breakdown of an intimate relationship. None of the men, by contrast, had experienced domestic violence.

Women convicted of drug offenses are also more likely than men to have suffered some form of childhood trauma, including parental abandonment, child abuse, and exposure to illicit drugs or crime in their family or community. Several women reported that their childhood trauma pushed them into relationships with intimate partners who introduced them to drugs and supported their drug use, which eventually led them into the drug trade. Men’s intimate partners, on the other hand, were more likely to attempt to hinder their partners’ involvement with drugs.

Fair trial violations

Restrictive sentencing guidelines and inadequate legal representation often prevent judges from considering women’s motivations for trafficking at sentencing. Thai judges may only consider the mitigating factors enumerated in confidential mandatory-sentencing guidelines, which do not include mitigating factors such as poverty, caregiving responsibilities, or a history of gender-based violence. Higher court judges, who review the mitigating factors applied by trial judges, strictly enforce the prohibition against non-enumerated mitigating factors. As defendants charged with capital drug offenses are often poor, they must rely on the assistance of state-funded lawyers. Court-appointed lawyers are under-resourced, receiving only about 8,000-50,000 baht (about USD $250–$1,600) to defend a capital case. Their lack of resources hinders their ability to rebut the presumption that their clients intended to distribute the drugs in their possession and to investigate and present applicable mitigating circumstances.

Foreign nationals face additional fair trial violations when charged with a capital drug offense. There is no publicly accessible data indicating the number of foreign nationals on death row in Thailand. Regional and international trends, however, suggest that a substantial number of women on death row for drug offenses are likely noncitizens. Moreover, we know that a majority of the foreign women in Thai prisons were convicted of drug offenses, and that female foreign nationals are more likely than male foreign nationals to be convicted of drug offenses. Although Thailand has acceded to the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations, women foreign nationals incarcerated in Thailand have reported that consular officials were not notified of their arrest and that they did not receive consular assistance. Those who do not speak English fluently have further reported that courts failed to provide them with adequate interpretation services during trial. As a result, they did not understand the legal process, court procedures, or their sentences. Additionally, courts do not provide interpreters to help defendants communicate with their lawyers outside of the courtroom, impeding lawyers’ ability to mount an adequate defense.

Prison conditions

Incarcerated women face harsh prison conditions. Women on death row experience similar prison conditions to women serving other sentences because they live with the general prison population during the day. Women under sentence of death sleep in separate dormitories only if the prison has the capacity to divide sleeping areas. The International Federation for Human Rights argues that detention conditions violate international human rights standards, including the Bangkok Rules. In 2015, the Thailand Institute of Justice (TIJ) and the Department of Corrections of Thailand launched the Model Prison Project to help prisons improve their compliance with the Bangkok Rules, and TIJ reports that practices in some prisons have since improved. Additionally, Thailand also amended its correctional legislation in 2017 to incorporate the principles of the Bangkok Rules, for instance by adding specific provisions on the incarceration of mothers and pregnant women. Despite these improvements, Thai prison conditions still fall below international standards in some areas. Thailand’s prisons are severely overcrowded. For example, one prison, the Central Women’s Correctional
Institute, has less than half a meter (1.5 feet) width of floor space for each incarcerated woman. Incarcerated women often develop back and leg pain because they must sleep without moving onto their sides.

Conclusion

Our review of available data on women on death row in Thailand leads to the following conclusions. First, nearly all women on death row in Thailand were convicted of drug offenses. Second, the majority of women who commit drug offenses are caregivers who come from low socioeconomic backgrounds and whose crimes are motivated by financial need. Third, gender-based violence and trauma have shaped the trajectories of many women sentenced to death for drug offenses. Fourth, women are more likely than men to have an intimate partner who supports their drug involvement or manipulates them into trafficking. Fifth, courts sentence women to death without considering evidence of gender-based oppression that contributed to their offenses. Finally, prison conditions for death-sentenced women fall short of international standards and fail to respond to women’s needs.

Women also face uniquely gendered challenges in prison. Since Thailand has few women’s prisons, women are often detained far from home, hindering their family’s ability to visit. Penitentiary rules mandate that prison administrators remove children born in prison from their mothers before they reach the age of one, which traumatizes both women and their children. (Babies may remain in prison with their mothers until the age of three if the prison has a nursery, which many do not.) If prison administrators cannot identify relatives willing to take the child or find a foster family, they send the baby to an orphanage. Prisons also lack women’s health services. Women report that they need to purchase their own sanitary napkins, although they have very limited opportunities to earn money in prison.

Many women on death row eventually receive clemency. Formerly incarcerated women face severe challenges to re-entering society. Women often struggle to find work because many employers refuse to hire formerly incarcerated women. Additionally, women are often destitute when they leave prison.
CHINA

China executes more women for drug offenses than any other country in the world.\textsuperscript{675} Precise data on China’s application of the death penalty is notoriously elusive.\textsuperscript{676} The available information, however, indicates that although capital sentences are likely decreasing overall, the proportion of death sentences handed out for drug offenses seems to be increasing.\textsuperscript{677} In addition, the proportion of women (versus men) among those who are incarcerated and executed for drug-related crimes might be growing.\textsuperscript{678} China’s legislative framework for capital drug offenses is punitive and opaque. Moreover, courts fail to thoroughly assess mitigating circumstances in the context of drug-related offenses—including gender-specific mitigation.

State secrecy around death penalty data

China classifies information on the death penalty as a state secret.\textsuperscript{679} In fact, the state deems national-level data on the approval and execution of death sentences to be top secret, the highest possible level of secrecy.\textsuperscript{680} State secrecy laws that govern the judiciary are vague and overbroad,\textsuperscript{681} and unlawfully disclosing information about the death penalty may itself be a death-punishable offense.\textsuperscript{682} As a result, it is impossible to gather comprehensive statistical data on the application of the death penalty in China.

In 2016, Amnesty International found that of 305 executions reported in the media, only 26 could be located in the database.\textsuperscript{686} Amnesty further found that “[d]rug cases seem to be missing on an even larger scale than other types of crimes from the database” and that the cases of foreign nationals executed for drug offenses were rarely, if ever, published.\textsuperscript{687} Moreover, in recent months, there have been reports that the SPC is in fact removing many of the judgments that were previously publicly available (especially those pertaining to death penalty cases).\textsuperscript{688} In addition, as the SPC selects which of its judgments appear online, the published proceedings tell us more about the types of cases it wishes to highlight than they allow us to draw conclusions.\textsuperscript{689}

For this chapter, we analyzed a large sample of the publicly available judgments of women sentenced to death for drug offenses. Where possible, we interviewed current and former capital defense attorneys, spoke with scholars, and consulted relevant media sources. Nevertheless, some of our conclusions remain tentative, given that we are drawing from an incomplete dataset.

Demographic trends among women sentenced to death for drug-related offenses

China executes more people than any other nation.\textsuperscript{690} By all estimates, thousands of people are sentenced to death and executed in China each year.\textsuperscript{691} In 2018, the Dui Hua Foundation estimated that China had executed two thousand people,\textsuperscript{692} while Dr. Teng Biao asserts that the annual number of executions is likely closer to six thousand.\textsuperscript{693} Though 46 crimes are currently punishable by death in China,\textsuperscript{694} “[a]vailable evidence suggests that most death sentences are now handed down for two categories of offense: drugs and murder.”\textsuperscript{695} In both 2018 and 2019, Chinese media reported between 160 and 200 drug-related executions on June 26, which marks “International Day Against Drug Abuse and Illicit Trafficking.”\textsuperscript{696} The total annual number of drug-related executions is likely to be significantly higher. Of those executed for drug-related offenses, women constitute a small—but possibly growing—minority.\textsuperscript{697} While it is impossible to track national death penalty trends, research across certain provinces indicates that in noncapital cases the proportion of women (versus men) among defendants in drug cases is increasing.\textsuperscript{698} One lawyer said that in his defense practice, he is seeing “more and more women” facing capital drug charges.\textsuperscript{699}

Guards “say a final farewell” to a woman who is about to be executed for drug trafficking. This photograph, which was taken in 2003, is one of few publicly available photographs from Chinese death row.
An incarcerated woman carries water to women who are about to be executed for drug trafficking so that they can wash their faces. This photograph, which was taken in 2003, is one of few publicly available photographs from Chinese death row.

In the China Judgments Online database, a keyword search for the terms “drug offense,” “death sentence,” and “female” returned over 600 cases. Almost all of these cases had been adjudicated since 2013, and the sample included those who had received suspended death sentences. (After two years, a suspended death sentence is reduced to an indeterminate life sentence or a term of years if the person does not commit another offense while in prison.) Exceedingly few suspended death sentences are converted into death sentences with immediate effect. In partnership with the China University of Political Science and Law, we randomly selected and analyzed 300 of these cases. As commentators believe that the SPC publishes cases “selectively,” this is most likely not a representative sample. Nevertheless, we have identified some broad themes that emerge from the cases.

According to the database sample, almost all women who received a death sentence for a drug offense had trafficked or transported methamphetamine or heroin. The overwhelming majority of women were convicted on trafficking and transporting charges alone; even if there were other additional charges, such as manufacturing, all cases involved trafficking and transporting circumstances. Most often, the drug involved was methamphetamine or, somewhat less frequently, heroin. The overwhelming majority of the cases in the sample resulted in suspended death sentences, which rarely result in execution. All of the women who received a sentence of death with immediate effect had prior convictions.

Of the women who received a death sentence—either suspended or immediate—most were young and poorly educated. Almost all of the women had left school before the end of junior high school. About half of the women were between 26–35 years old at the time of sentencing. The vast majority were from rural areas and were farmers or unemployed. The judgments did not consistently mention whether defendants had minor children or other care-taking responsibilities. A significant proportion of the women were charged with a male co-defendant. One defense lawyer told us that, in his experience, when a woman has a male co-defendant, he is most often an intimate partner or a family member.

Ethnic minorities appear to be overrepresented among women on death row for drug offenses. One contributing factor for this trend may be that a significant proportion of China’s ethnic minorities reside in Yunnan, which has the highest number of drug prosecutions in the country. Other research, however, has shown that ethnic minorities suffer from discrimination in criminal sentencing and that “this bias is largest for groups heavily involved in the drug trade.”

**Historical trends in the use of capital punishment**

In the 1980s, China adopted a law enforcement campaign that was governed by the mantra “Strike Hard.” The Strike Hard campaign embraced the use of capital punishment. Party-state officials used to quote an adage that, if judges have the choice of whether “to kill or not to kill, they should choose to kill.” The Dui Hua Foundation estimates that 12,000 people were executed in China in 2002. Beginning in 2005, however, the Chinese
The Communist Party adopted a policy of “kill fewer, kill cautiously” as part of its “harmonious society” agenda. Thereafter, in 2007, the SPC assumed exclusive authority for the final review and approval of all death sentences. The overall use of the death penalty since that time is widely believed to have fallen significantly. A number of sources estimate that the annual number of executions fell by around ten thousand, though others believe that the reduction was more modest.

Meanwhile, however, China’s anti-drug policies have grown increasingly punitive. Around the same time that the “harmonious society” agenda reduced the overall use of the death penalty, the Party launched another national campaign called the “People’s War on Drugs.” The War on Drugs triggered increasingly harsh punishments for drug-related offenses, a trend that continues today. One lawyer told us that “the general trend in the last two years for major drug crimes is that sentences are getting heavier and heavier.” Moreover, recent empirical research by Tobias Smith concluded that “the proportion of death sentences handed out for drug crimes seems to be increasing, and, indeed, some people believe that the total number of executions for drug crimes may be on the uptick as well.” Susan Trevaskes asserts that drug crime is “seen by politicians and Chinese society at large as exceptionally destructive of social and economic order.” Public condemnation plays a part in the (potentially) growing number of death sentences, since one of the sentencing factors that determines whether a capital sentence is warranted is whether the crime caused “extremely serious… harm… to society.” Trevaskes argues that “[j]udicial perceptions have their basis in Communist Party policy and attitudes to drugs.” As a result, judges have the discretion to sentence drug offenders to death and regularly do so.

Worldwide, drug use and production has increased in recent decades and China plays a key role in global trafficking routes. This is especially true in Southwestern provinces—such as Yunnan and Guangdong—which are near the ‘Golden Triangle’ of Laos, Myanmar, and Thailand that produces much of the world’s heroin. Yunnan province is China’s drug capital. Its politicians are firmly anti-narcotics, and are also deeply involved in developing penal policies nationally. The number of drug-related death sentences in Yunnan is higher than the national average. When the central government has implemented reforms in favor of leniency, Yunnan courts have tried to minimize their impact, with politicians insisting that “we need to understand the necessity of the death penalty in protecting social stability.” In sum, China’s application of the death penalty for drug-related offenses varies in different parts of the country but, overall, China’s reduced application of the death penalty in recent decades does not appear to extend to drug-related offenses.

**Legislative framework**

Chinese criminal law does little to disaggregate disparate drug offenses and indiscriminately groups all drug-related sentencing options together. Article 347 of the 1997 Criminal Law broadly stipulates that smuggling, trafficking, transporting, or manufacturing narcotic drugs are punishable by 15 years’ fixed-term imprisonment, an indeterminate life sentence, or death. This vague, unstructured framework lends judges a high degree of discretion in sentencing. Meanwhile, however, Article 347 provides little judicial discretion in terms of assessing criminal culpability. The only objective criterion it provides for establishing criminal culpability is the weight of the illicit substances involved. Article 347 specifies that the smuggling, trafficking, transport or production of over one thousand grams of opium and 50 grams of heroin or methamphetamine is a death-eligible offense. In the publicly available cases, the judgments focus on the weight of the illicit substance in the possession of the defendants, apportion different amounts to the various defendants and assess culpability in that manner. Using the quantity of drugs involved as the primary determinant of liability unduly burdens defendants who are low-ranking in drug syndicates, because high-ranking members purposefully avoid directly handling large quantities.

Pregnant and breastfeeding women are statutorily barred from being sentenced to death. The SPC claims that drug syndicates target pregnant women to engage in transporting and trafficking, in the belief that they are at a decreased risk of suffering harsh punishments in the criminal legal system. The SPC has concluded that it will “crack down on” those who “hire pregnant women.”

As a general rule, courts that handle drug cases rarely consider the personal circumstances of the defendant. Courts do not, for instance, generally consider having children or other dependents to be a mitigating factor at sentencing, even when the need to financially support them provided the primary impetus for the drug offense.
case, the defendant was a mother who had used the proceeds of drug trafficking to support her disabled child. The court explicitly stated that this was irrelevant to its assessment, and the woman received a suspended death sentence. Female defendants are more likely to be the primary caregivers of minor children, so they are disproportionately harmed by courts’ failure to consider this mitigating factor. As female capital drug defendants in China tend to be poor and undereducated, they would benefit from courts considering the mitigating effect of all aspects of their background.

In transportation cases—where, unlike in trafficking cases, the drugs do not leave the national territory—the SPC has recognized that it is problematic for judges to use drug quantity as a proxy for moral blameworthiness. Poor, rural farmers most often engage in drug transportation and the SPC has recognized their limited culpability. In 2008, the SPC promulgated sentencing guidelines in the ‘Dalian Minutes,’ encouraging judges to consider the personal circumstances of the defendant and situate the crime in its socioeconomic context. Judges therefore engage in more mitigation analysis in drug transportation cases than in other drug-related cases. This may disproportionately aid female defendants who, as earlier mentioned, are predominantly sentenced to death in transportation and trafficking cases. Nonetheless, women may be disadvantaged under aspects of the broader mitigation framework used by the courts to determine sentences. For example, one of the primary opportunities for defendants to reduce their sentence is to provide information to law enforcement about drug organizations, which is considered to be “meritorious service.” Guidelines advanced by the SPC in 2010 advise that the standard for meritorious service be different for principal offenders and accomplice offenders, to account for the disparity of knowledge between low- and high-ranking members of drug-trafficking organizations. Based on our analysis of publicly available cases, however, courts do not appear to consistently apply this guideline. If it is inconsistently applied, this would disadvantage low-ranking members of drug syndicates, which in turn disadvantages women. In sum, China’s legislative framework does not afford for an in-depth mitigation analysis in every case, and, in some respects, this unduly burdens women.

Fair trial violations

Significant fair trial violations permeate the criminal legal system in China. China is not transparent about the use of torture, for example, and it is thus probable that confessions in some death penalty cases have been extorted through torture. The most common fair trial violations in capital drug cases include an inability to access effective legal representation and arbitrary convictions and sentences.

All capital defendants have the right to legal representation at trial, and the state provides indigent defendants with state-funded counsel. Research indicates that, by a number of metrics, state-funded lawyers may be less effective than private counsel. In drug cases, however, retaining private counsel can be particularly expensive. Capital defense lawyers report that “capital defendants are not equally poor” and “[d]rug crime defendants sometimes put away rainy day money to pay for counsel.” Capital defenders therefore often charge more for drug-related cases. This practice disproportionately harms low-ranking members of drug syndicates who have fewer financial resources. Moreover, there is a dearth of capital defense lawyers, since “[d]efense work in China is low status, low pay, high stress and high risk.” Legal aid lawyers with less than three years’ experience have reportedly defended death penalty cases. In the database sample of women sentenced to death for drug offenses, we found that state-funded lawyers more frequently raised defenses of innocence, even where that was a tenuous claim. In addition, capital defendants currently have no right to a publicly-funded lawyer during their final review before the SPC review stage, though defendants may hire private counsel, if they can afford it. This means that only a small minority of defendants receive legal assistance at the highest level of appellate review. Nonetheless, China’s National People’s Congress is considering a new Legal Aid Law which, if enacted, would guarantee death-sentenced prisoners a right to counsel at the SPC review stage.

A further hurdle that defendants face in relation to legal representation is that lawyers are not always granted access to information relevant to the case. The Rights Practice has found that “[t]he police, procuratorate [Chinese prosecutor] and the courts all operate ‘within the system’ and share privileged information among themselves… [which] leaves lawyers unable to access information vital to provide an adequate defence for their client.” Like other
jurisdictions, while all defendants are irreparably harmed by a lack of counsel, or ineffective counsel, women may disproportionately suffer due to their generally low-ranking positions in drug syndicates and, more broadly, their economic position in society. 763

Finally, death penalty jurisprudence for drug-related offenses is inconsistent from one region to another, 764 which violates the prohibition against arbitrary capital sentencing under international law. 765 Amnesty International reports that “the police, prosecuting authorities and courts in different provinces and regions have different understandings of and standards for how to apply the death penalty in drug-related offenses.” 766 Prevailing attitudes towards drugs in the province where the trial takes place strongly influence whether a death sentence is handed down or not. 767 Arbitrariness pervades the criminal legal system in other ways, too. For example, China promotes its drug policies each year on June 26—International Day Against Drug Abuse and Illicit Trafficking—and each year around that time the number of drug-related executions increases. 768 One lawyer stated that he always hopes that none of his clients receive a verdict on June 26, since courts in China typically issue harsh verdicts in drug-related cases on that day. 769 Finally, arbitrariness is introduced into the system via the courts’ unreasonable reliance on weight as a determinative factor in sentencing. The purity of the drugs is not taken into account, leading to death sentences for some individuals who were carrying less illicit substance than others who were sentenced to an indeterminate life sentence. 770

Conclusion

In China, as in other jurisdictions, women are primarily disadvantaged via their low-ranking positions within drug syndicates. This disadvantage operates in a number of ways. First, they are less often able to disclose information about illicit markets and thus less likely to benefit from reduced sentences. 771 Second, they are less likely to be able to afford capital defenders’ increased fees for drug defendants. 772 Third, courts use drug weight as a proxy for criminal culpability, and this leads to low-ranking members being apportioned more blameworthiness, since the lowest-ranking individuals often carry the most drugs, and the most risk. 773 The overwhelming majority of women on death row for drug offenses are poor, uneducated, and from rural backgrounds, and are more likely than men to bear major responsibility for childcare. As a result, they suffer disproportionately from courts rejecting caregiver status as a mitigating factor. 774 Our analysis of the available, truncated set of case documents suggests that many young women from rural areas engage in drug crime to support their young children. 775
In late 2014, **Aslinda was a single mother struggling to support her 12-year-old daughter, Ismiraldha**. After Aslinda met a man named Mohd Yusri, they began to transport goods for Mr. Onwuka together. Mr. M Ravi, a Singaporean lawyer who has been assisting Aslinda, believes that Aslinda was deeply in love with Yusri and would have done almost anything for him. Mr. Onwuka paid them USD $2,000–$3,000 each per trip and also covered their airfare and hotel bills. Aslinda wondered how Mr. Onwuka’s business was so profitable, but when she asked him, he explained that the goods he transported were handpicked to be sold to prominent Cambodians. This satisfied her curiosity.

In October 2015, during Aslinda and Yusri’s third joint trip, customs officers in Shenzhen, China, stopped them at the airport. A luggage search revealed over 11 kilograms of methamphetamine (with a street value of about USD $220,000) in the lining of 28 women’s handbags. Both Aslinda and Yusri were immediately arrested.

We know little about Aslinda’s trial in China. Mr. Ravi believes that the legal aid attorney representing Aslinda was ineffective in many respects. The Singaporean Ministry of Foreign Affairs recommended a number of Chinese defense lawyers when Aslinda was arrested, but Aslinda’s family “couldn’t afford [any of them] due to the very high cost.” On July 25, 2020, almost five years after her arrest, the Shenzhen Intermediate Court found Aslinda guilty of drug trafficking and sentenced her to death with immediate effect. This is a far longer pre-trial period than is typical in China. Aslinda’s co-accused, Yusri, received a suspended death sentence, a lesser punishment that in most cases is reduced to an indeterminate life sentence after two years. Without access to the court proceedings—which Chinese authorities have not published and which are classified as a state secret by default—we do not know why they received such disparate sentences.

In December 2020, media sources (likely erroneously) reported that Aslinda would be executed within two weeks. Ismiraldha, then 17 years old and desperate to help her mother, contacted Mr. Ravi, a well-known human rights lawyer in Singapore. Mr. Ravi frantically sought to secure local Chinese representation for Aslinda, though many of the defense attorneys he approached asked for exorbitant fees. Nevertheless, Mr. Ravi’s efforts were eventually successful, and Aslinda is now represented by experienced counsel for her appeal to the Guangdong High Court.

Mr. Ravi laments that the Singaporean authorities have not been more active in assisting Aslinda. For example, they failed to ensure that she was adequately represented at trial. Mr. Ravi says he has “been trying to reach out to the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and they’ve not been very helpful… it’s very frustrating.” The Ministry has told Ismiraldha, Aslinda’s teenage daughter, that if her mother is executed, Ismiraldha will have to pay the full cost of repatriating the body to Singapore.

Meanwhile, Ismiraldha has not seen her mother in over five years. They are, however, sometimes able to write each
other letters. In one of Aslinda’s recent letters, she asked after her daughter’s schooling and appearance, imagining how Ismiraldha has grown. Trying to remain positive, she focused on her daughter’s education and future: “Elda, by the way, what’s your height and weight now? How’s your [school] life? Fun? Enjoy yourself alright.” 801 Ismiraldha reports that she is “in a state of disbelief” 802 and “worried and scared” for her mother’s life. 803 The Guangdong High Court will soon hear Aslinda’s appeal, and her appellate lawyers are hopeful that, with their assistance, the courts will finally hear her story.

To write this profile, we conducted interviews with Aslinda’s lawyers and consulted publicly available information. We publish this profile with the consent of Aslinda’s daughter and her lawyers.
Women, Harm Reduction, and the Criminalization Approach to Drugs—authored by Harm Reduction International

Women who use drugs or are involved in the drug market experience unique discrimination and stigmatization. With regards to death penalty, the above chapters describe how gender bias and gender-based discrimination play a role in drug offenses, which result in disparate impacts upon women. Globally, 35% of all female prisoners are imprisoned for drug offenses.804

Even outside the context of the death penalty, women face disparate impacts of drug policy. Among other areas in which the gender dimension of drug policy emerge clearly are (a) the availability and accessibility of gender-sensitive harm reduction services; and (b) the disproportionate impact of criminalization on women engaging in the drug market. In this chapter, we delve deeper into these two areas. By doing so, we aim to give more context about the intersection of women, drug policy, and human rights.

In the past decade, civil society, community-based organizations, networks of women who use drugs, and U.N. agencies have increasingly highlighted how drug policy negatively affects women. For example, in its 2014 policy brief, U.N. Women acknowledged that “the world drug problem is undermining gender equality” and called for the integration of a gender perspective to respond to the issue.805 Resolution 59/5 of the U.N. Commission on Narcotic Drugs highlighted the social barriers that “continue to hinder the access of women to treatment for drug use and, in some cases, a lack of sufficient resources allocated for removing those barriers.”806

Access to gender-sensitive harm reduction and drug treatment services

Harm reduction refers to policies, programs, and practices aiming to minimize negative health, social, and legal impacts associated with drug use, drug policies, and drug laws.807 These policies include but are not limited to drug consumption rooms, needle and syringe programs, non-abstinence-based housing and employment initiatives, psychosocial support, and the provision of information on safer drug use.808 Harm reduction policies are evidence-based and have been shown to “greatly reduce morbidity and mortality associated with” drug use.809 In addition to being effective, harm reduction centers human rights and individual autonomy. Harm reduction is an essential component of the human right to health, which should be guaranteed both in the community and in detention settings.810 and provided in a non-discriminatory manner. Harm reduction is of particular importance to women who use drugs, who face a higher risk of HIV and hepatitis C than men.811

Currently, women face unique obstacles in accessing harm reduction and drug treatment services. Women are estimated to comprise 20% of all people who inject drugs globally.812 These figures are likely an underestimate due to gender inequality, pervasive stigma against women who use drugs, and the lack of disaggregated data, all of which hinder research. In 2012, the U.N. Commission on Narcotic Drugs stated that “women with substance abuse problems are often deprived of or limited in their access to effective treatment that takes into account their specific needs and circumstances.”813

A recent literature review on women and barriers to harm reduction services, published in 2020,814 identified four key, interrelated barriers to women’s access to harm reduction services: stigma and structural violence; gender-based violence; lack of female-specific services; and criminalization.

First, women who use drugs endure pervasive stigma both in society and in healthcare settings because of the intersection of the stigmatization of drug use and entrenched social constructs that view women as primary caregivers and subordinates to men.815 As a consequence, women are less likely to disclose their drug use due to fear of discrimination, abuse, and—in some contexts—losing their children.816 In turn, this affects their ability and willingness to access harm reduction services.817 The pervasive stigma has also pushed women who use drugs into hidden and unsafe spaces as a way to keep their drug use secret—making it harder for harm reduction workers to reach them.818

Second, women who use drugs are disproportionately vulnerable to violence, both in the private and in the public sphere. Gender-based and intimate partner violence rates among women who inject drugs are two to five times higher than among women who do not inject drugs.819 In countries with punitive drug policies, where police are responsible for
ensuring drug laws while also acting as first responders to reports of domestic violence, women who use drugs and who experience violence may be treated as potential drug offenders rather than as victims of violence.\textsuperscript{820}

Third, a lack of female-specific services negatively affects the health of women who use drugs. Women tend to experience medical and social consequences of drug use faster than men, and some of the negative health impacts of drugs and drug policies are gender-specific.\textsuperscript{821} Hence, women may require access to a diverse set of services, including sexual and reproductive healthcare and/or support for gender-based violence or mental health issues. Although harm reduction services are available in more than 80 countries, gender-sensitive services are not widely available.\textsuperscript{822} Only two out of 13 Asian countries where harm reduction is available report any specific service designed for women who use drugs.\textsuperscript{823} In North America, harm reduction services designed for women who use drugs are mostly available in urban settings.\textsuperscript{824} In addition, the criminalization of drug use, especially in countries where drug offenses are punishable by death, might also be a factor that discourages women to access services.\textsuperscript{825}

The lack of gender-sensitive services is even more apparent in detention settings. Although the Mandela Rules prescribe that people in prison must have access to the same standard of care as they would outside prison,\textsuperscript{826} women in prison have less access to harm reduction services.\textsuperscript{827} As a consequence, women report unsafe injection behavior in prison due to the lack of accessible sterile injecting equipment.\textsuperscript{828}

**Criminalization**

The global female prison population has increased steadily in the past 20 years, largely because of increasingly punitive drug laws. Drug offenses—particularly low-level offenses such as use, possession, or small-scale dealing of drugs—are among the main drivers of female incarceration in many countries. Globally, the percentage of women in prison for drug offenses, compared to other offenses, is higher than that of men.\textsuperscript{829} Notably, drug offenses are, together with homicide, the main crime for which women are sentenced to death in several countries—including Thailand, Indonesia, and Malaysia.\textsuperscript{830}

The criminalization of drugs and drug-related activities has far-reaching impacts on women’s lives beyond the use of capital punishment. It not only exposes them to incarceration and violence by law enforcement, but also increases their marginalization and discrimination, and—as the U.N. Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights emphasized—impedes the realization of their right to health.\textsuperscript{831} When criminalization results in incarceration, this reverberates negatively on the woman’s health and livelihood, and on that of her family and community.

Many women are imprisoned for their involvement in drug trafficking. Although not all women engage in drug trafficking due to exploitation, many women engage in the drug market, including as drug couriers, as a consequence of multiple forms of gendered vulnerability.\textsuperscript{832} When they are involved in drug trafficking, they are disproportionately relegated to low-ranking, low-paying roles putting them in a high-risk position.\textsuperscript{833} For example, evidence from limited contexts indicates that women may accept lower payments than men while being willing to take higher risks.\textsuperscript{834}

A consequence of such over-representation in lower-level roles is the tendency of women to be harshly sentenced for minor involvement in the drug trade. This is due to many factors, including the fact that drug laws tend to be excessively punitive, and that prosecution and sentencing are often primarily based on being in possession, or in the vicinity, of an illicit substance, and on quantity—rather than having physical control over or deriving economic benefit from the drugs. This makes low-level actors and couriers inherently more exposed to harsh sentencing. Sentencing often fails to take into account all the circumstances of the crime and the offender. In addition, people with limited power within the drug hierarchy are less likely to have insider information that could be used for a plea bargain (in some cases, they are not even aware of their involvement), they may be less able to secure quality legal assistance, and they may be more vulnerable to torture and/or forced confessions.\textsuperscript{835} Research carried out in Indonesia found that more than 25% of women sentenced for drug offenses experienced torture before, during, and/or after their trials.\textsuperscript{836}

In retentionist countries,\textsuperscript{837} the above situation contributes to the increased number of women on death row. In some countries, a significant proportion of women on death row were convicted of a drug offense. For example, in Thailand
and in Indonesia, women account for 94\%^{838} and 50\% of female death row prisoners, respectively.\textsuperscript{839}

**Moving forward**

2021 marks the 60th anniversary of the 1961 Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, and the 50th anniversary of the 1971 Convention on Psychotropic Substances. For a long time, issues pertaining to women and drugs have been overlooked. Women’s needs continue to be neglected. As a consequence, women are disproportionately affected by drug policy, both from health and legal perspectives. Moving forward, it is imperative to decriminalize drugs and reform drug policy in order to accommodate the specific needs of women involved with drugs. Women who use drugs must be meaningfully involved in the design, implementation, and evaluation of harm reduction services and other drug treatments. We must advocate for all stakeholders to unpack, understand, and address women’s vulnerability and involvement in drug offenses. More importantly, we must also advocate for policies that center around respecting women’s rights and autonomy to mitigate the disparate impacts of drug policy on women.
Policy Recommendations to Stakeholders

International human rights standards require the **abolition of the death penalty**. The implementation of capital punishment is inseparable from discrimination and bias, and an execution’s finality is unconscionable within systems known to convict innocent people, provide ineffective defense counsel, and fail due process standards.

Policies based on principles of **harm reduction** are the best way to address the harms associated with drug use, in tandem with the **decriminalization** of activities related to the personal use and possession of drugs. Harm reduction policies are humane and effective, while criminalization leads to human rights violations and is often ineffective. States must implement these policies with special consideration to the needs of all marginalized and vulnerable groups, including women and gender minorities.

States must work towards **gender equity**. Overt discrimination in the criminal legal system reflects the pervasiveness of harmful gender stereotypes and discriminatory practices in society at large. Likewise, social inequality often has a disparate impact on women and other vulnerable groups in the criminal legal system. Because women facing capital punishment face intersectional discrimination, states must also work towards equity for all racial, ethnic, and religious groups, for foreign nationals, and for all other marginalized groups.

In the interim, we recommend the following incremental changes to possibly lessen gender bias in the use of the death penalty for drug offenses:

### IN STATES THAT APPLY THE DEATH PENALTY FOR DRUG-RELATED OFFENSES

**Recommendations to governments and lawmakers:**

- Abolish the mandatory death penalty and ensure that courts consider all relevant mitigation evidence in capital trials, including evidence of trauma, gender-based violence, economic pressures, and family caretaking responsibilities.

- Require that the prosecution prove all the elements of drug-related offenses beyond a reasonable doubt, including, for drug trafficking, knowledge of the type and quantity of drugs and intent to traffic the drugs—without the use of legal presumptions.

- Ensure that, if sentencing reductions are available to defendants who assist law enforcement, these reductions are equally available to all defendants who cooperate with the police, including low-level drug couriers who may have less valuable information to share.

- Implement systems for defendants to have access to trained interpreters and ensure that they are available at all stages of a criminal case, including during interrogations, private conversations with defense counsel, court hearings, and throughout the clemency process. Interpreters should be available to anyone with non-native language skills who prefers to work through an interpreter, and interpreters should speak the defendant’s native language fluently.

- Provide defendants who cannot afford to hire counsel with court-appointed lawyers who have the training, experience, and resources necessary to provide effective, gender-sensitive legal representation. Defense lawyers should be available at all stages of the criminal process, including during police interrogations, pretrial investigation, all appeals, and the clemency process. States should require that court-appointed attorneys have prior experience in capital cases and provide the defense with adequate resources to prepare for trial, including by conducting investigations and retaining experts. Courts should reconsider the sentences of defendants who did not receive effective legal representation at trial.

- Comply with the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations, customary international law, and other multilateral and bilateral treaties regarding defendants’ rights to consular notification and access to consular assistance.

- Provide support to citizens who are facing the death penalty abroad.

- Center the voices of directly impacted women, trans people, and non-binary people in policy decisions, as they best understand their own problems and needs.
Recommendations to the judiciary:

- Create and require that judges attend trainings on gender-based violence and its connection to women’s offending in drug crimes.
- Accord mitigating weight to defendants’ experiences of trauma, gender-based violence, economic pressures, duress, and family caretaking responsibilities at sentencing.

Recommendations to prison authorities:

- Improve prison conditions for women and gender minorities, in particular through meaningful implementation of the Bangkok Rules. Death row conditions should not be worse than the conditions of the general prison population. People in detention should have access to harm reduction and educational programs regardless of their sentences.
- Carefully weigh which solutions are in the best interest of children whose mothers are detained. If young children remain with their parent on death row, those children must be provided with health care, recreational opportunities, and education comparable to what is available to children outside of prison. If children are not detained with their parent, the state should place them in appropriate care and ensure that they are able to regularly visit their incarcerated parent.

Recommendations to civil society:

- Conduct and publish research on the prevalence of gender-based violence, including child abuse, among women incarcerated or sentenced to death for drug-related offenses.
- Engage with bar associations, defense lawyers, judges, and prosecutors to increase awareness of gendered aspects of drug law enforcement.
- Gather and publish data regarding the extent to which women convicted of drug offenses have experienced coercive intimate relationships or intimate partner violence that influenced their actions leading to their incarceration.
- Conduct and publish research on trans and non-binary people facing incarceration or a sentence of death for drug offenses.
- Center the voices of directly impacted women, trans people, and non-binary people in decision-making and in advocacy, as they best understand their own problems and needs.

IN STATES THAT DO NOT PUNISH DRUG-RELATED OFFENSES WITH DEATH

Recommendations to governments and lawmakers:

- Strongly condemn the use of the death penalty for drug offenses in all countries where such penalties exist.
- Develop an international coalition of like-minded countries to work together to end the use of the death penalty for drug offenses.
- Take advantage of all opportunities within the U.N., U.N. human rights bodies and other regional mechanisms to call for an end to the death penalty for drug offenses.
- Refrain from aiding or funding law enforcement in countries where people could face death for a drug crime.
- Do not condition aid to countries on strengthening or maintaining punitive drug laws.
- Where appropriate, condition aid to countries on death penalty abolition, moratoriums on executions, or reform of drug policies to comply with human rights laws and standards.

WITHIN U.N. BODIES

Recommendations to all U.N. bodies:

- Center the voices of directly impacted women, trans people, and non-binary people in decision-making and in advocacy, as they best understand their own problems and needs.

Recommendations to the Commission on Narcotic Drugs:

- Emphasize gender justice and harm reduction while combating drug offenses as key pillars that must inform states’ drug policies.
Recommendations to both the OHCHR and the UNODC:

- Continue advocating for states to fully abolish the death penalty, and to ratify the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.
- Publicly condemn gender-based discrimination in the application of the death penalty.
- Take the necessary steps to ensure that technical, financial, or legal assistance provided to states—including in the context of drug law enforcement—does not contribute to or facilitate the imposition of the death penalty.

Recommendations to the UNODC:

- Conduct and publish research on the prevalence of gender-based violence, including child abuse and coercive intimate relationships or intimate partner violence, among the experiences of women incarcerated or sentenced to death for drug-related offenses, through the Global Programme on Strengthening Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Responses to Violence Against Women.
- Engage with bar associations, defense lawyers, judges, and prosecutors to increase awareness of gendered aspects of drug law enforcement, through the Gender in the Criminal Justice System Programme.
- Conduct and publish research on trans and non-binary people facing incarceration or a sentence of death for drug offenses, through the Global Prison Challenges Programme.
- Make project-related documentation publicly available to allow civil society to monitor issues related to gender and the use of the death penalty for drug-related offenses.

Recommendations to the U.N. High Level Political Forum on Sustainable Development:

- Highlight the gendered impact of the death penalty for drug offenses and prioritize its abolition as an essential milestone towards achieving Goals 5 & 16 of the Sustainable Development Goals.
Appendix

WOMEN KNOWN TO BE ON DEATH ROW IN STATES APPLYING THE DEATH PENALTY FOR DRUG OFFENSES AS OF JULY 9, 2021

Bahrain
No official figures are available for death row prisoners in Bahrain. Research by Reprieve, a partner organization, suggests that there have been no women on death row in Bahrain since at least 2011.840

Bangladesh
No official figures are available for death row prisoners in Bangladesh. We have found no reports of women on death row for drug offenses.

Brunei Darussalam
No official figures are available for death row prisoners in Brunei. We have found no reports of women on death row for drug offenses.

China
Information the death penalty is classified as a state secret. Moreover, it is difficult to estimate the number of individuals under sentence of death because many prisoners do not remain on death row: they are either executed immediately, or given a suspended death sentence, which is often commuted to a sentence of life imprisonment after two years.841 The death sentences reported in the media are a fraction of the true number, but by all estimates, the number of death sentences imposed and carried out in China is in the thousands each year.842

China almost certainly carries out more executions of women convicted of drug offenses than any other country.843 In addition, there is some evidence that China is sentencing more and more drug offenders to death. Of those executed for drug-related offenses, women constitute a small—but possibly growing—minority.844 Our survey of China Judgments Online, an official database containing court documents for cases from all court levels, revealed that at least 300 women have been sentenced to death for drug offenses since 2013. This figure includes both suspended and immediate death sentences.845

Cuba
There are no women (nor men) on death row in Cuba.846

Egypt
According to the Egypt Death Penalty Index database, there are currently 148 women on death row in Egypt.847 None of them were sentenced to death for a drug offense.

India
There were 12 women on death row in India in 2015.848 None of them were sentenced to death for a drug offense. We were not able to find more recent information on the number of women on death row and whether there are women currently on death row for drug offenses in India.

Indonesia
There are currently five women on death row for drug offenses in Indonesia849 or 45% of the 11 women under sentence of death in the country.850

Iran
There are no official statistics on the number of death sentences imposed and carried out in Iran.851

Beginning in 2017, drug-related executions dropped sharply after an amendment to the Anti-Narcotics Law increased the minimum weight of drugs required for a capital sentence.852 Multiple sources report that, before the reforms, the country executed significantly more women for drug-related offenses than for homicide.853

While the number of women sentenced to death for drug offenses has certainly decreased since 2017, Iran continues to sentence women convicted of drug trafficking, and it is likely that there are currently women on death row following drug convictions. Indeed, at least one report from 2020 documents the execution of a woman who had been convicted on drug-related charges.854

Iraq
No official figures are available for death row prisoners in Iraq and we do not have information about whether any of the over four thousand people believed to be on death row
were convicted of a drug offense.\textsuperscript{855} We have found no reports of women on death row for drug offenses.

**Jordan**
We have found no reports of women on death row for drug offenses. We believe that most women under sentence of death in Jordan were convicted of homicide.\textsuperscript{856}

**Kuwait**
Research by Reprieve, a partner organization, indicates that there are five women on death row in Kuwait.\textsuperscript{857} None of them were sentenced to death for a drug offense.

**Lao PDR**
Because of restrictive state practices concerning the release of information related to the death penalty, it is difficult to verify the number of people on death row.\textsuperscript{858} Research by Harm Reduction International, a partner organization, suggests that at least three women were sentenced to death in 2020.\textsuperscript{859} Harm Reduction International estimates that most of the people on death row are awaiting an execution for a drug offense.\textsuperscript{860} In this context, it is highly likely that some women on death row in Lao PDR are awaiting execution for a drug offense.

**Libya**
No official figures are available for death row prisoners in Libya. We have found no reports of women on death row for drug offenses.

**Malaysia**
As of February 2019, according to Amnesty International, 95\% of the 141 women on death row in Malaysia (all but seven) had been convicted of drug offenses. The vast majority of death-sentenced women—over 85\%—are foreign nationals, only one of whom (out of 121) was not sentenced for a drug offense.\textsuperscript{861}

**Mauritania**
No official figures are available for death row prisoners in Mauritania, but Amnesty International reports that there are at least 123 persons on death row.\textsuperscript{862} We have found no reports of women on death row for drug offenses.

**Myanmar**
No official figures are available for death row prisoners in Myanmar.\textsuperscript{863} We have found no reports of women on death row for drug offenses.

**North Korea**
Because the use of capital punishment is a state secret and given the lack of a reliable and independent media, it is impossible for us to estimate the number of women on death row for drug offenses. We believe it very likely, however, that there are women on death row and that some of them are awaiting execution for a drug offense. In 2019, North Korea sentenced at least one woman to death for drug trafficking.\textsuperscript{864}

**Oman**
We have found no reports of any individuals on death row for drug offenses.\textsuperscript{865}

**Pakistan**
Research by Harm Reduction International, a partner organization, suggests that there are around 28 women on death row in Pakistan,\textsuperscript{866} but it is unclear how many of them were sentenced to death for a drug offense.

**Occupied Palestinian Territories (Gaza)**
According to research by Harm Reduction International, a partner organization, there are five people on death row for drug offenses.\textsuperscript{867} We have found no reports of women among them.

**Qatar**
No official figures are available for death row prisoners in Qatar.\textsuperscript{868} We have found no reports of women on death row for drug offenses.

**Saudi Arabia**
No official figures are available for death row prisoners in Saudi Arabia. Saudi Arabia executes several women each year.\textsuperscript{869} We were unable to confirm, however, the number of women on death row.\textsuperscript{870} In 2019, Saudi Arabia executed two women—Kudirat Afloobi, a Nigerian national, as well as Ejaz Fatima, a Pakistani national—for drug trafficking.\textsuperscript{871}
Singapore
Little official information is available about death row prisoners in Singapore. Each year, the Singapore Prison Service publishes the number of executions carried out in the previous year. Research suggests that there are women on death row and that most of them were sentenced to death for a drug offense. At least two women were recently sentenced to death for drug offenses: one for drug trafficking in 2017 and one for drug possession with intent to traffic in 2018.

South Korea
No official figures are available for death row prisoners in South Korea. In 2019, there were 56 people under sentence of death, but we do not know how many of them are women, or how many were convicted of a drug offense. We have found no reports of women sentenced to death for drug offenses.

South Sudan
No official figures are available for death row prisoners in South Sudan. We have found no reports of women on death row for drug offenses.

Sudan
No official figures are available for death row prisoners in Sudan. We have found no reports of women on death row for drug offenses.

Sri Lanka
According to the annual reports published by the Statistics Division, Prisons Headquarters of Sri Lanka, 37 women were sentenced to death between 2014 and 2020. Of these, eight had been convicted of a drug offense. We do not know how many of these women are still under sentence of death.

Syria
No official figures are available for death row prisoners in Syria. We have found no reports of women on death row for drug offenses.

Thailand
The overwhelming majority of women on death row in Thailand have been convicted of drug-related offenses. As of February 2021, all but two of the 33 women on death row had received a death sentence for a drug conviction. Moreover, punitive drug laws disproportionately affect women compared to men: drug-related convictions account for 93.9% of the women on death row but only 59.7% of men under sentence of death. As a result, women make up 13% of the country’s total death row population, one of the highest proportions anywhere in the world.

Taiwan
Research by Amnesty indicates that two women are on death row in Taiwan. We believe, however, that neither was sentenced to death for a drug offense.

United Arab Emirates
Research by Amnesty International indicates that two women are currently on death row in the United Arab Emirates, but neither of them seem to have been sentenced to death for a drug offense. The United Arab Emirates has sentenced women to death for drug offenses in the past.

United States of America
None of the 51 women currently on death row in the United States were sentenced to death for a drug offense.

Vietnam
Because the use of capital punishment is a state secret, it is very difficult to provide a figure for the number of women on death row for drug offenses in Vietnam. Harm Reduction International estimates that at least 14 women were sentenced to death for drug offenses in 2019 and at least eight in 2020. Because Vietnam regularly executes prisoners sentenced to death, and we do not have any information about clemency or commutations, we do not know how many of these women are currently on death row.

Yemen
No official figures are available for death row prisoners in Yemen. It is unclear whether drug production, possession, and trafficking are still punishable by death. We do not know if there are women sentenced to death for drug offenses in Yemen.
1 Migrante International is the largest grassroots global alliance of Filipino migrants, migrant workers and families organizing to promote migrants’ rights and dignity against all forms of discrimination, exploitation and abuse in the workplace and in the community and resist all anti-migrant policies. We push for the building of a self-reliant economy to stop forced migration, promote social equity and justice and unite with other sectors of society for the advancement of national development and democracy.
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Three of five women on death row for drug offenses maintain they had no knowledge of the crime for which they are convicted. Reprieve, Continuously Updated Data on Death-Sentenced Women Prisoners in Indonesia, last updated Jul. 9, 2021, internal document emailed to CCDPW, Jul. 9, 2021.

For example, Mary Jane Veloso’s lawyers are unclear whether, even if a court in the Philippines rules that Mary Jane was the victim of human trafficking, that will result in her acquittal in Indonesia. Josalee Deinla, affiliated with the National Union of Peoples’ Lawyers, interviewed by CCDPW, Apr. 8, 2021. See also Indonesia v. Merri Utami, p. 30, 140/Pid.B/2002/PN.TNG, Tangerang District Court, May. 20, 2002.

Mary Jane Veloso, Chandra Jet Lie and Merri Utami maintain they had no knowledge. Lindsay Sandiford maintains she only trafficked drugs because she was led to believe her son’s life was at risk. Reprieve, Continuously Updated Data on Death-Sentenced Women Prisoners in Indonesia, last updated Jul. 9, 2021, internal document emailed to CCDPW, Jul. 9, 2021.

Josalee Deinla, affiliated with the National Union of Peoples’ Lawyers, interviewed by CCDPW, Apr. 8, 2021.

Josalee Deinla, affiliated with the National Union of Peoples’ Lawyers, interviewed by CCDPW, Apr. 8, 2021.

Josalee Deinla, affiliated with the National Union of Peoples’ Lawyers, interviewed by CCDPW, Apr. 8, 2021.

Josalee Deinla, affiliated with the National Union of Peoples’ Lawyers, interviewed by CCDPW, Apr. 8, 2021.

Josalee Deinla, affiliated with the National Union of Peoples’ Lawyers, interviewed by CCDPW, Apr. 8, 2021.

Josalee Deinla, affiliated with the National Union of Peoples’ Lawyers, interviewed by CCDPW, Apr. 8, 2021.
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463 Ibid.

464 Josalee Deinla, affiliated with the National Union of Peoples’ Lawyers, interviewed by CCDPW, Apr. 8, 2021.


468 Josalee Deinla, affiliated with the National Union of Peoples’ Lawyers, interviewed by CCDPW, Apr. 8, 2021.


471 People of the Philippines v. Maria Cristina Sergio and Julius Lacanilao, Case No. (15)-3666, Republic of the Philippines Third Judicial Region Regional Trial Court Branch 88, Jan. 30, 2020.


473 Mary Jane’s reprieve was granted on the basis that she was needed as a witness against Maria Cristina Sergio and Julius Lacanilao. Josalee Deinla, affiliated with the National Union of Peoples’ Lawyers, interviewed by CCDPW, Apr. 8, 2021.

474 Josalee Deinla, affiliated with the National Union of Peoples’ Lawyers, interviewed by CCDPW, Apr. 8, 2021.


478 Mary Jane Veloso, poem recited to visitors, sent by Komnas Perempuan to CCDPW, Sep. 14, 2018.

479 As of February 2019, there were 121 female foreign nationals on death row, 120 of whom were convicted of capital drug offenses. There were 20 Malaysian women on death row, 14 of whom were convicted of capital drug offenses. Amnesty Intl., Fatally Flawed: Why Malaysia Must Abolish the Death Penalty, pp. 19, 52–56, ACT 50/1078/2019, Oct. 8, 2019.


482 Ibid.


As of February 2019, 49% of the men sentenced for drug trafficking were foreign nationals. Ibid. at 19.


Under the amended statute, judges can sentence defendants convicted of drug trafficking to life imprisonment and whipping, as long as the defendant assists helps law enforcement disrupt drug trafficking activities and the defendant meets one of three additional criteria: (a) there was no evidence of buying or selling the drug when the defendant was arrested, (b) an undercover agent was not involved, or (c) the defendant’s involvement is restricted to transporting, carrying, sending, or delivering the drug.

Under the amended statute, judges can sentence defendants convicted of drug trafficking to life imprisonment and whipping, as long as the defendant assists helps law enforcement disrupt drug trafficking activities and the defendant meets one of three additional criteria: (a) there was no evidence of buying or selling the drug when the defendant was arrested, (b) an undercover agent was not involved, or (c) the defendant’s involvement is restricted to transporting, carrying, sending, or delivering the drug. Dangerous Drugs Act of Malaysia, secs. 39B(1) and 39B(2A), amended by Act A1558 of 2017, 1952. The amendment did not apply retroactively to the people already on death row, who make up 84% of the current death row population. Amnesty Intl., Fatally Flawed: Why Malaysia Must Abolish the Death Penalty, p. 29, Monash University, https://perma.cc/2MQX-CQFH, May 28, 2020.


Additionally, data on the death row population as a whole suggests that Malaysian women on death row are disproportionately of Indian origin. 7% of the total Malaysian population, and 25% of all Malaysian nationals on death row (including men and women), are of Indian origin. Ibid. at 22.

Ibid. at 20.

As of February 2019, 49% of the men sentenced for drug trafficking were foreign nationals. Ibid. at 19.


Ibid at 37(d)(a). For an explanation of double presumptions, see: Alma Nudo Atenza v. PP and Another Appeal, para. 139, 3 MLRA, Federal Court, Putrajaya, Apr. 5, 2019. In one case, the defendant claimed that she was not aware that her bag contained drugs, but the court concluded that “where a large quantity of drugs is found in possession of a person, common sense dictates that an inference be made that it was not intended for his personal consumption and convincing evidence is needed to rebut that inference.” Luo Dan v. Public Prosecutor, para. 2, Criminal Appeal No. J-05(M)-609-12-2017, Court of Appeal, Putrajaya, May 13, 2019.


Alma Nudo Atenza v. PP and Another Appeal, para. 151, 3 MLRA, Federal Court, Putrajaya, Apr. 5, 2019.
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Under the amended statute, judges can sentence defendants convicted of drug trafficking to life imprisonment and whipping, as long as the defendant assists helps law enforcement disrupt drug trafficking activities and the defendant meets one of three additional criteria: (a) there was no evidence of buying or selling the drug when the defendant was arrested, (b) an undercover agent was not involved, or (c) the defendant’s involvement is restricted to transporting, carrying, sending, or delivering the drug. Dangerous Drugs Act of Malaysia, secs. 39B(1) and 39B(2A), amended by Act A1558 of 2017, 1952. The amendment did not apply retroactively to the people already on death row, who make up 84% of the current death row population. Amnesty Intl., Fatally Flawed: Why Malaysia Must Abolish the Death Penalty, p. 29, Monash University, https://perma.cc/2MQX-CQFH, May 28, 2020.

Under the amended statute, judges can sentence defendants convicted of drug trafficking to life imprisonment and whipping, as long as the defendant assists helps law enforcement disrupt drug trafficking activities and the defendant meets one of three additional criteria: (a) there was no evidence of buying or selling the drug when the defendant was arrested, (b) an undercover agent was not involved, or (c) the defendant’s involvement is restricted to transporting, carrying, sending, or delivering the drug. Dangerous Drugs Act of Malaysia, secs. 39B(1) and 39B(2A), amended by Act A1558 of 2017, 1952. The amendment did not apply retroactively to the people already on death row, who make up 84% of the current death row population. Amnesty Intl., Fatally Flawed: Why Malaysia Must Abolish the Death Penalty, p. 29, Monash University, https://perma.cc/2MQX-CQFH, May 28, 2020.

Under the amended statute, judges can sentence defendants convicted of drug trafficking to life imprisonment and whipping, as long as the defendant assists helps law enforcement disrupt drug trafficking activities and the defendant meets one of three additional criteria: (a) there was no evidence of buying or selling the drug when the defendant was arrested, (b) an undercover agent was not involved, or (c) the defendant’s involvement is restricted to transporting, carrying, sending, or delivering the drug. Dangerous Drugs Act of Malaysia, secs. 39B(1) and 39B(2A), amended by Act A1558 of 2017, 1952. The amendment did not apply retroactively to the people already on death row, who make up 84% of the current death row population. Amnesty Intl., Fatally Flawed: Why Malaysia Must Abolish the Death Penalty, p. 29, Monash University, https://perma.cc/2MQX-CQFH, May 28, 2020.

Under the amended statute, judges can sentence defendants convicted of drug trafficking to life imprisonment and whipping, as long as the defendant assists helps law enforcement disrupt drug trafficking activities and the defendant meets one of three additional criteria: (a) there was no evidence of buying or selling the drug when the defendant was arrested, (b) an undercover agent was not involved, or (c) the defendant’s involvement is restricted to transporting, carrying, sending, or delivering the drug. Dangerous Drugs Act of Malaysia, secs. 39B(1) and 39B(2A), amended by Act A1558 of 2017, 1952. The amendment did not apply retroactively to the people already on death row, who make up 84% of the current death row population. Amnesty Intl., Fatally Flawed: Why Malaysia Must Abolish the Death Penalty, p. 29, Monash University, https://perma.cc/2MQX-CQFH, May 28, 2020.
Of the 38 drug trafficking cases that judges heard between March 2018 and October 2020, judges used sentencing discretion in only 28.9% of the cases and imposed a sentence other than death in only 10.5% of the cases. The number of people sentenced to death for drug trafficking has continued to rise since Parliament passed the 2017 amendment. Sara Kowal, Dobby Chew, and Mai Sato, Discretion in law but not in practise: Malaysia's Dangerous Drugs Act, Monash University, https://perma.cc/ZI.9J-M4J4, Jul. 19, 2021.


The study also included the cases of women whose death sentences were reversed on appeal.


Ibid. at p. 13.

Ibid. at pp. 12–13.

Ibid.


The innocent carrier defense “is particularly prevalent amongst those vulnerable members of the death-row population, notably foreign nationals who are female.” Natalia Antolak-Saper et al., Drug Offences and the Death Penalty in Malaysia: Fair Trial Rights and Ramifications, p. 30, Monash University, https://perma.cc/2MQX-CQFH, May 28, 2020. According to Amnesty International’s research in Singapore, where judges also have sentencing discretion in capital drug cases if defendants assist law enforcement, low-level drug couriers are least likely to be able to assist law enforcement and are thus more likely to face execution. Amnesty Intl., Malaysia: Action Needed to Make Death Penalty Bill Meaningful Opportunity for Change, p. 2, ACT 50/7510/2017, Nov. 2017.

According to research, women are less likely to receive more lenient sentences because they are less likely to have higher education or significant training, such as domestic worker, bartender, and cashier. Six of the women—those who worked as masseuse or sex worker—likely worked in the illicit economy. The study identified only four women in more high-paid, “high-skilled” occupations (an accountant, a nurse, and two teachers). Lucy Harry, Rethinking the Relationship between Women, Crime and Economic Factors: The Case-Study of Women Sentenced to Death for Drug Trafficking in Malaysia, pp. 10–11, Laws, vol. 10, no. 9, 2021.

In several cases, women received about USD $500 for carrying a bag. In another case, a woman found with drugs in her body said that she did not understand that the capsules she was forced to swallow contained illicit drugs. After she agreed to carry some diamonds from Brazil for USD $2,200, her business contact locked her in a house, blindfolded her, and threatened to kill her if she did not swallow round-shaped objects—which she was told were diamonds—for four hours. Soon after, two men inserted more small bags into her vagina. The judges, however, dismissed her defense, arguing that her behavior was “inconsistent with the conduct of someone who was at one point of time under the threat of being killed” because she did not inform airport officials of her situation. Amnesty Intl., Fatally Flawed: Why Malaysia Must Abolish the Death Penalty, p. 21, ACT 50/1078/2019, Oct. 8, 2019.
See The Role of Economic Insecurity in Pathways to Drug Offending (Section IV.A.) and Manipulative Relationships (Section IV.B.).
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“Ignorance is a defence when there is no reason for suspicion and no right and opportunity of examination, and ignorance simpliciter is not enough.” Public Prosecutor v. Zolzaya Natsagroj and Barsbaatar Ganbold, para. 32, Criminal Trial No. 45A-152:08/2013, High Court of Malaysia at Shah Alam, Aug. 2013.
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As of February 2019, foreign nationals on death row were 10% less likely to file a pardon petition than Malaysian death-sentenced prisoners. ibid. at 7–8.

As of February 2019, women were held in nine prisons across the country: the Kajang, Kota Kinabalu, Pengkalan Chepa, Pokok Sena, Puncak Borneo, Seberang Perai, Sibu, Sungai Udang, and Tapah prisons. ibid. at 52–56.

“in all prisons, death row prisoners are housed in quarters separated from other prisoners.” Carole Berrih and Ngeow Chow Ying, Isolation and Desolation: Conditions of Detention of People Sentenced to Death Malaysia, p. 78, Anti-Death Penalty Asia Network and Ensemble Contre la Peine de Mort. https://perma.cc/984B-Z6P7, 2020. Other sources confirm that death row prisoners are placed in solitary confinement and allowed out of their cells for only one hour per day. See Kate Mayberry, Malaysia says no ‘U-turn’ in death penalty abolition, Al Jazeera, https://perma.cc/V68G-VFBU, Nov. 15, 2018.


The Human Rights Commission of Malaysia published a report on prison conditions in 2017. The Commission conducted visits in 2014 to 18 prisons, including seven where, by 2019, female prisoners were reported to be on death row. (In 2019, women were on death row at the Kajang, Kota Kinabalu, Pengkalan Chepa, Puncak Borneo, Sibu, Sungai Udang, and Tapah prisons, all of which the Commission visited in 2014. See: Amnesty Intl., Fatally Flawed: Why Malaysia Must Abolish the Death Penalty, p. 19, ACT 50/1078/2019, Oct. 8, 2019.) The Commission surveyed 762 female prisoners, and, although the authors did not indicate how many of these women were on death row, they reported having observed at least one death-sentenced woman. Of the 51 incarcerated women surveyed for the report who were pregnant or who had previously been pregnant while in prison, only 67% reported having access to pre/post-natal health services during imprisonment, and only 37% reported having diets suitable for pregnancy. The Human Rights Commission of Malaysia (SUHAKAM), The Right to Health in Prison: Results of a Nationwide Survey and Report, pp. 7, 49, 51, 74, https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B6FQ7SONa3PRZXNaejlsZkqQWTg/view?resourcekey=0-BbH7_gU9E6OkYTy8VwGVA, 2017.

Ibid. at 51. The Commission observed that “access to regular provision and sufficient numbers of sanitary napkins are not standardised in prison, resulting in a lack of adequate menstrual hygiene management in prisons.” ibid. at 68.

Ibid. at 85.


Ibid.


The criminal legal process has three stages in Thailand: Courts of First Instance, Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court. Court of Justice Thailand, The Court of Justice System, https://coj.go.th/th/content/page/index/id/91994, last accessed Jun. 16, 2021. As of February 2021, the cases of twenty-seven women are at the Courts of Appeal, the case of one woman is at the Supreme Court, and three women have exhausted all their appeals. See Department of Corrections of Thailand, Statistics Report for the Death Row Inmates, https://perma.cc/UF2A-6TE6, Mar. 23, 2021.


Ibid.


“Retentionist in practice, namely States in which the death penalty remains lawful and that have conducted an execution during the previous 10 years.” See U.N. Economic and Social Council, Report of the Secretary-General, Capital punishment and implementation of the safeguards guaranteeing protection of the rights of those facing the death penalty, p. 5, U.N. Doc. E/2015/49, Apr. 13, 2015.


Narcotics Act of Thailand, sec. 65, 66, B.E. 2562, 2019. According to a source within the judiciary interviewed by the authors, the ministry of justice provides judges with confidential sentencing guidelines setting out the minimum amounts for which people can be sentenced to death. Anonymous source within the judiciary, Interview with the CCDPW, Jun. 8, 2021.

Courts presume that defendants in possession of at least 0.75 milligrams of LSD, 375 milligrams of amphetamine, or

607 According to a source within the judiciary interviewed by the Cornell Center on the Death Penalty Worldwide, neither the Criminal Procedure Code nor confidential judicial guidelines mandate that the defendant rebut the presumption beyond a reasonable doubt, but judges use this standard in practice. Anonymous source within the judiciary, Interview with the CCDPW, Jun. 8, 2021.


609 As of this writing, over 84% of the women incarcerated in Thailand were convicted of drug offenses. See Thailand Institute of Justice, Women’s Pathways into, through and out of Prison, p. 118, https://perma.cc/XVG4-G96S, Mar. 8, 2021.


613 In a 2021 study of 16 women convicted of cross-border trafficking, 8 of whom were sentenced to death, 93.8% of the women had never been previously arrested. By contrast, 39% of the 18 men in that study, six of whom were sentenced to death, had been previously arrested. See Samantha Jeffries et al., Extending Borders of Knowledge: Gendered Pathways to Prison in Thailand for International Cross Border Drug Trafficking, p. 12, Psychiatry, Psychology and Law, https://perma.cc/BV2H-N352, May 26, 2021. Of incarcerated individuals, including 39 women convicted of drug offenses and four women sentenced to death, only 17% of the women and 41% of the men in the study had prior criminal records. See Samantha Jeffries et al., A gender-comparative exploration of women’s and men’s pathways to prison in Thailand, p. 547, Australian & New Zealand Journal of Criminology, volume 53, issue 4, Dec. 1, 2020. Of persons convicted of drug offenses, including one woman sentenced to death, 33% of the women and 56% of the men had been previously arrested. See Samantha Jeffries et al., Gendered Pathways to Prison in Thailand for Drug Offending? Exploring Women’s and Men’s Narratives of Offending and Criminalization, p. 86, Contemporary Drug Problems, volume 46, issue 1, Mar. 2019.


615 Ibid. at 13. In a 2018 survey of 2,499 incarcerated women, 79.9% of whom had been convicted of a drug offense, the two most commonly reported factors leading to the offense were financial need (22.3% of responses) and the need to support family (15.6% of responses). See Thailand Institute of Justice, Prison Database: Thailand, https://perma.cc/PC5M-A2SM, last accessed Jul. 21, 2021. Other research suggests that most incarcerated women were
the sole providers for their families before their arrest. According to a survey of 450 incarcerated women, of whom 83% were convicted of drug-related offenses and at least four were originally sentenced to death, 55% of respondents financially supported themselves, their children, and/or their family without help, and over half of respondents reported their income was not enough to live on. See Thailand Institute of Justice, Women Prisoners and the Implementation of the Bangkok Rules in Thailand, pp. 131–132, https://perma.cc/D353-T6N9, Nov. 2014.


617 Samantha Jeffries et al., Extending Borders of Knowledge: Gendered Pathways to Prison in Thailand for International Cross Border Drug Trafficking, p. 13, Psychiatry, Psychology and Law, https://perma.cc/BV2H-N352, May 26, 2021. In a 2018 survey of 2,499 incarcerated women, 79.9% of whom had been convicted of a drug offense, the two most commonly reported factors leading to the offense were financial need (22.3% of responses) and the need to support family (15.6% of responses). See Thailand Institute of Justice, Prison Database: Thailand, https://perma.cc/PC5M-A2SM, 2018. Other research suggests that most incarcerated women were the sole providers for their families before their arrest. According to a survey of 450 incarcerated women, of whom 83% were convicted of drug-related offenses and at least four were originally sentenced to death, 55% of respondents financially supported themselves, their children, and/or their family without help, and over half of respondents reported their income was not enough to live on. See Thailand Institute of Justice, Women Prisoners and the Implementation of the Bangkok Rules in Thailand, pp. 131–132, https://perma.cc/84UJ-CXXN, Nov. 2014.


621 Samantha Jeffries et al., Extending Borders of Knowledge: Gendered Pathways to Prison in Thailand for International Cross Border Drug Trafficking, p. 13, Psychiatry, Psychology and Law, https://perma.cc/BV2H-N352, May 26, 2021. In a 2018 survey of 2,499 incarcerated women, 79.9% of whom had been convicted of a drug offense, the two most commonly reported factors leading to the offense were financial need (22.3% of responses) and the need to support family (15.6% of responses). See Thailand Institute of Justice, Prison Database: Thailand, https://perma.cc/PC5M-A2SM, last accessed Jul. 21, 2021. Other research suggests that most incarcerated women were the sole providers for their families before their arrest. According to a survey of 450 incarcerated women, of whom 83% were convicted of drug-related offenses and at least four were originally sentenced to death, 55% of respondents financially supported themselves, their children, and/or their family without help, and over half of respondents reported their income was not enough to live on. See Thailand Institute of Justice, Women Prisoners and the Implementation of the Bangkok Rules in Thailand, pp. 131–132, https://perma.cc/84UJ-CXXN, Nov. 2014.

Of the 31 women in a 2020 study who cited economic familial provisioning as their main motivation for offending (29% of whom were convicted of drug offenses), 71% had dependent family members other than children. Of the 12 incarcerated men who cited economic familial provisioning in this study as their main motivation for offending (50% of whom were convicted of drug offenses), only 33% had dependent family members other than children. See Tristan Russel & Samantha Jeffries, A gender-comparative exploration of women’s and men’s pathways to prison in Thailand, pp. 552–555, https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0004865820954463, Sep. 23, 2020. In a 2019 study, a larger portion of the women were responsible for providing for extended family members than the men were. See Samantha Jeffries, Chontit Chuenurah & Rebecca Wallis, Gendered Pathways to Prison in Thailand for Drug Offending? Exploring Women’s and Men’s Narratives of Offending and Criminalization, pp. 96–99, https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/0091450918818174, Dec. 17, 2018.


Ibid.

Ibid.


56% of women and 28% of men had been separated from their parents; 50% of women and 11% of men had experienced childhood abuse; 50% of women and 11% of men had been exposed to illicit drugs and/or crime in their communities; and that family members of 50% of women and 28% of men had used substances and/or engaged in other ‘deviant’ behavior. Ibid. at 47.
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