



Silicon Valley Arbitration and Mediation Center

Prospective Tech List Appointee Experience Summary

The Silicon Valley Arbitration and Mediation Center (SVAMC) maintains the “Tech List.” This List of the World’s Leading Technology Neutrals is peer-vetted and limited to exceptionally qualified arbitrators and mediators known globally for their experience and skill in crafting business-practical legal solutions in the technology sector.

Selection Criteria for the Tech List are as follows:

- Highly regarded Arbitrators and/or Mediators well-recognized by peers in their geographic locale
- Vetted members of a panel or roster of at least one leading national or international arbitral or mediation institution
- Substantially experienced in the legal and technical issues of the technology sector
- Verifiable practice credentials as an Arbitrator or Mediator (prior service with one or more Tech List Appointees preferred)
- Exceptionally skilled in efficient and business-practical technology dispute resolution
- Actively engaged in ADR education and training initiatives (e.g., teaching, panels, writing)
- Practitioners of the highest ethical and practice standards
- Diversity candidates and others who bring valuable perspectives to technology dispute resolution

In connection with your possible appointment to the Tech List, please provide the following information:

A. General Background

1. Name.
2. Employer.
3. Address, telephone number, email and website.
4. Please list each arbitration or mediation roster to which you have been appointed and the year appointed.
5. Please identify each associate, undergraduate, or graduate degree(s) you hold (degree, school, date and discipline). Please be specific with regard to any degrees in science/engineering/technology.
6. Please list the national, state and/or federal bars to which you are admitted, the date admitted, and any other relevant licenses.

7. How many years have you been acting as a business arbitrator? As a business mediator?
8. In how many business cases have you been appointed as an arbitrator? How many of these were within the last five years? How many resulted in the entry of a final award?
9. In how many business cases have you been appointed as a mediator? How many of these were within the last five years? In how many did you conduct a mediation session?
10. Please list the appointees to the SVAMC Tech List with whom you have served on a panel or who are familiar with your work as an arbitrator or mediator.
11. Is this questionnaire being submitted in response to a recommendation from a current member of the Tech List? If so, who?
12. Approximately what percentage of your practice is devoted to each of serving as an arbitrator or mediator, practicing law, teaching, or to another profession (please describe)?
13. Have you been disciplined by any court or licensing authority since you became an attorney or licensed professional? If so, please provide details.
14. Have you been the subject of criminal proceedings since you became an attorney or licensed professional? If so, please provide details.

B. Technology Experience

15. Please describe any non-litigation legal experience (e.g., regulatory, opinion work, licensing, transactional) that focused on or heavily involved science/engineering/technology.
16. Please describe any experience as a litigator (in court, arbitration, or other tribunal) that focused on or heavily involved science/engineering/technology.
17. Please describe any quasi-legal experience (e.g., as a technology transfer agent, scientific advisor to a law firm) that focused on or heavily involved science/engineering/technology.
18. Considering only those arbitrations in which you were an arbitrator, in which there were significant proceedings, and in which one or more major issues directly involved technology:
 - (a) how many such arbitrations were there?
 - (b) in how many were you the chair of a panel?
 - (c) in how many were you the sole arbitrator?

(d) in how many were you principally responsible for preparing a reasoned award? and

(e) please briefly describe (to the extent you can without violating your confidentiality obligations) what types of technology issues had to be resolved by you or the panel to make a decision in each of five (and up to ten) such arbitrations.

19. Considering only those mediations in which you were the mediator and in which one or more major issues directly involved technology:

(a) how many such mediations were there? and

(b) please briefly describe (to the extent you can without violating your confidentiality obligations) in a representative sample of such mediations what types of technology issues had to be considered by the parties in the mediation.

20. Please describe any neutral work by you other than as an arbitrator or mediator (e.g., special master, early neutral evaluator) that focused on or heavily involved science/engineering/technology.

21. Please list any technology-related certifications you hold from private, government, or military institutions (name of institution, discipline, and approximate date).

22. Please describe any work experience you have as a scientist, engineer, or technologist.

23. If you have not worked as a scientist, engineer, or technologist, have you had employment (other than as a lawyer) that required you to exercise or which heavily involved science/engineering/technology knowledge/expertise.

24. Are you admitted to practice before any patent office as a patent attorney, patent agent, or the like? If so, which office.

25. Please list any publications you authored, presentations you made, or teaching you did which focused on or heavily involved technology.

26. Please provide names and contact information of up to three references, preferably with whom you have sat as a co-arbitrator or mediator, whom we can contact, and who can speak to your work as an arbitrator or mediator. In choosing references, please focus on people who are familiar with your work in cases that focused on or heavily involved science/engineering/technology. Be careful to choose references who do not have a current conflict or disclosure issue should they be asked to comment about you.

27. Is there any additional information that you would like the SVAMC Tech List Selection Committee to know?