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Dear Ms. Kilroy:

In keeping with generally accepted government auditing standards and the Audit Services Division’s policy, as authorized by D.R.M.C. § 20-276, our division has a responsibility to monitor and follow-up on audit recommendations to ensure that audit findings are being addressed through appropriate corrective action and to aid us in planning future audits.

This report is to inform you that we have completed our follow-up effort for the Office of Marijuana Policy audit issued October 20, 2016. Our review determined that the office has adequately implemented some of the recommendations made in the audit report, while others that were agreed to be implemented by January 2017 are still in progress. Despite the agency’s efforts, auditors determined that the risk associated with the audit team’s initial findings has not been fully mitigated. As a result, our office may revisit these risk areas in future audits to ensure that appropriate corrective action is taken.

For your reference, this report includes a highlights page that provides background and summary information on the original audit and the completed follow-up effort. Following the highlights page is a detailed implementation status update for each recommendation.

This concludes audit follow-up work related to this audit. I would like to express our sincere appreciation to you and to agency personnel who assisted us throughout the audit and follow-up process. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at 720-913-5000 or Patrick Schafer, Lead Auditor, at 720-913-2020.

Denver Auditor’s Office

Timothy M. O’Brien, CPA
Auditor
Office of Marijuana Policy
December 2017

Status
The Office of Marijuana Policy (OMP), now under the Department of Excise and Licenses, has implemented three and partially implemented two recommendations made in our October 2016 audit report.

Background
Established in 2014, OMP’s mission is to recommend, administer, and implement policies; oversee and coordinate City agencies; and act as a liaison between the City and other local, state, and federal officials, agencies, and stakeholders. To accomplish this, OMP works closely with various City agencies regarding marijuana regulation, enforcement, and education. OMP’s appropriated 2016 budget was $1.2 million. As stewards of marijuana policy in Denver, OMP personnel work closely with City agencies to ensure that the City complies with multiple layers of federal, state, and local laws regarding marijuana.

Purpose
The purpose of the audit was to assess the extent to which OMP accomplishes its mission. We also examined the effectiveness of OMP’s governance structure, specific policy initiatives, and the City’s process for determining how to allocate marijuana tax revenue.

Highlights from Original Audit
Our audit found that the Office of Marijuana Policy was a valued addition to the City’s organizational structure and had accomplished a great deal in the two years since it was established. City agencies, with whom OMP works closely to accomplish its mission, reported strong collaborative relationships with OMP personnel. Additionally, OMP’s strategic planning efforts placed it ahead of benchmark cities we reviewed. However, despite these strengths, we identified several areas where OMP could have worked toward increasing transparency and collaboration regarding the City’s marijuana tax revenue and marijuana policy.

First, we identified a lack of transparency with regard to the City’s use of marijuana tax revenue. Specifically, most of this revenue is allocated for other City services through the City’s General Fund and is not budgeted for marijuana-related expenditures. In addition, only $9.1 million of the $14 million in revenue from the Denver special retail marijuana sales tax—which is intended to support marijuana regulation, education, enforcement, public health, and other expenses related to operating the City and its facilities—and part of the state shareback and licensing fees were budgeted for these priority areas in 2016. As a result, visibility to the specific use of those revenue dollars not budgeted for marijuana-related purposes was reduced.

Next, OMP could have improved its outreach to marijuana businesses and the community, which is a fundamental part of its mission. Our focus group with representatives from the marijuana industry demonstrated that OMP’s industry outreach activities—including regular electronic bulletins and quarterly check-in meetings—were not reaching a diverse representation of the industry. Further, our focus groups with registered neighborhood organizations and representatives from Denver Public Schools and youth advocacy groups highlighted several areas where OMP could improve its outreach to these entities.

Finally, OMP’s tracking of its accomplishments should have been strengthened. Two strategies from OMP’s 2015 Strategic Plan were no longer being tracked, even though these strategies had not yet been implemented. Also, OMP did not formally document the outcomes of its various internal meetings, which reduced OMP’s ability to demonstrate the value of these meetings.

Findings at Follow-up
The Office of Marijuana Policy, now under the Department of Excise and Licenses, has increased marijuana outreach through quarterly industry check-in meetings, publication of Marijuana Industry Bulletins, and attendance at Registered Neighborhood Organization meetings. Additionally, the office has improved its documentation to allow for better tracking and monitoring of its strategies. However, the agency can continue to improve the transparency of marijuana-related revenue expenditures and better integrate its performance measures with its goals and strategies from its strategic plan.

For a copy of this report, visit www.denvergov.org/auditor or contact the Auditor’s Office at 720-913-5000.
## Recommendations: Status of Implementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Auditee Action</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FINDING:</strong> Despite Many Accomplishments since Its Recent Establishment, the Office of Marijuana Policy Can Increase Transparency and Collaboration Regarding Marijuana Tax Revenue Spending and Marijuana Policy Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.1</strong> OMP should work with the Budget and Management Office to develop a separate fund for marijuana-related revenue, or list marijuana-related expenditures as line items in the General Fund, and document this in the Mayor’s Budget to improve the City’s transparency and accountability with regard to this revenue source.</td>
<td>The Office of Marijuana Policy (OMP) worked with the Budget and Management Office to detail in the Mayor’s Budget how approximately $21 million in special retail marijuana sales tax revenue is spent. First, the revenue pays for various agencies’ regulation, enforcement, education, and public health efforts around marijuana. Then it funds the City’s deferred maintenance projects, such as transportation, parks, and recreation centers. However, specific programs or efforts that agencies will complete in the four marijuana-related areas listed above are not described in a consistent manner in budget summaries from either the Department of Excise and Licenses or from individual City agencies.</td>
<td>Partially Implemented</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Recommendations: Status of Implementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Auditee Action</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.2</strong> OMP should improve its communication with all known marijuana businesses through diversifying the industry’s representation in its quarterly industry check-in meetings, striving to increase the number of marijuana businesses receiving the Marijuana Industry Bulletins via email, and timely updating the Newsroom section of the City’s Denver Marijuana Information website with all Marijuana Industry Bulletins.</td>
<td>OMP has made substantial progress in increasing outreach with representatives from the marijuana industry. In 2017, attendance at quarterly industry check-in meetings has nearly doubled, including attendance by an additional five marijuana businesses. Additionally, the publication frequency of OMP’s Marijuana Industry Bulletins on their website has increased consistently with an improved subscribership from more than 800 to now more than 1,000.</td>
<td>Implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.3</strong> OMP should identify and implement one or more strategies to increase contact with Registered Neighborhood Organizations, either through regularly attending meetings of RNOs with the largest concentration of marijuana businesses, or convening quarterly check-in meetings with these RNO representatives.</td>
<td>In 2017, OMP staff members have regularly attended meetings on a monthly basis with a diverse group of Registered Neighborhood Organizations, including those that have marijuana businesses located within their boundaries. Additionally, in collaboration with Denver Inter-Neighborhood Cooperation (INC), OMP hosted a two-session Citizen Academy in May 2017 designed to provide information on various marijuana-related rules and regulations, Colorado amendments, and Denver ordinances, to which Registered Neighborhood Organizations and their members were invited.</td>
<td>Implemented</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Recommendations: Status of Implementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Auditee Action</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.4</strong></td>
<td>OMP should improve its strategy tracking documentation so that all previous and current strategies are documented along with their current status and the reason for the addition or removal of any strategy.</td>
<td>In November 2016, OMP was merged into the Department of Excise and Licenses. With this merger, OMP strategies were absorbed into the overall goals and strategies of this department. Strategies identified prior to the merger in OMP’s draft 2016-2021 Strategic Plan are still being tracked by the department. The department has made significant improvements to its strategy tracking documentation. The improved documentation includes detailed coverage of strategy revisions, an expected date of completion for each strategy, and an intended plan of action for each strategy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.5</strong></td>
<td>OMP should integrate its performance measures from the Mayor’s Budget with the goals and strategies from its strategic plan and incorporate more outcome, or impact-related, measures.</td>
<td>Since OMP was merged into the Department of Excise and Licenses, previous marijuana-related performance measures are no longer included in the Mayor’s Budget. Additionally, the department does not currently have a centralized document identifying all marijuana-related performance measures in order to tie them to departmental goals and strategies. OMP created additional performance measures, both output- and outcome-related, for areas such as youth education and public health compliance inspections. OMP included these measures in its November 2016 Peak Performance Report and is reporting on them at events such as Denver’s annual Marijuana Management Symposium.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Recommendations: Status of Implementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Auditee Action</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.6 OMP should formally document the outcomes, such as action items or decisions made, of each of its recurring meetings with City agencies as well as external stakeholders.</td>
<td>In response to this recommendation in the original audit report, OMP officials only agreed in part to this recommendation. However, the Auditor's Office only accepts either “Agree” or “Disagree” as responses. Therefore, we considered OMP’s response to be “disagree.”</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion

While the Office of Marijuana Policy, which is now under the Department of Excise and Licenses, has implemented some recommendations from our 2016 audit, others have yet to be acted upon or fully implemented. Despite the office’s efforts, auditors determined that the risk associated with the audit team’s initial findings has not been fully mitigated. For example, the Department of Excise and Licenses should provide increased transparency on how all marijuana revenues will be spent.

Additionally, the office could better integrate its performance measures with its goals and strategies from its strategic plan. Finally, while the office is documenting outcomes and action items for meetings with external stakeholders and select internal City agencies, it did not agree to document these items for all marijuana-related meetings. As a result, the Audit Services Division may revisit these risk areas in future audits to ensure that appropriate corrective action is taken.

On behalf of the citizens of the City and County of Denver, we thank staff and leadership from the Office of Marijuana Policy for their cooperation during our follow-up effort and their dedicated public service.