Title: Standardized Developmental Screening, Referral to Early Intervention (EI) for Children Identified at Risk for Developmental, Behavioral and Social Delays and Provider Feedback from EI, in the First Three Years of Life

Specific Measures:
1. Measure #1: Proportion of Children Screened for Risk of Developmental, Behavioral and Social Delays Using a Standardized Screening Tool
2. Measure #2: Proportion Children Identified at Risk for Developmental, Behavioral and Social Delays Who Were Referred to Early Intervention
3. Measure #3: Proportion Children Identified at Risk for Developmental, Behavioral and Social Delays Who Were Referred to Address the Risk Identified (This includes providers beyond EI)
4. Measure #4: Proportion of At-Risk Children Who Were Referred to Early Intervention (EI) for whom information about Early Intervention is in the Primary Care Provider’s Medical Chart

Source(s)
Medical Chart Specifications Developed by Oregon Pediatric Improvement Partnership, © Oregon Health & Science University

Specifications were piloted as part of Oregon’s Assuring Better Child Health and Development-III Performance Improvement Project. This project involved eight managed care organizations.

Measure Domain

Primary Measure Domain
Clinical Quality Measures: Process

Secondary Measure Domain
Clinical Quality Measures: Outcome (Measure #4, Children who were referred to EI, accessed EI and information was feedback to be used for care coordination)

Brief Abstract

Description

Measure #1
The percentage of children aged 1, 2 and 3 years who were screened for risk for developmental, behavioral and/or social delays in the last 12 months.

Measure #2
This measure identifies the proportion of children identified at-risk by a standardized screening tool who...
were referred by their providers to Early Intervention (EI) (Field 3.1). This is a measure of referral in the first three years of life and includes three, age-specific indicators assessing whether children at risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays are referred to EI by their first, second or third birthdays.

**Measure #3**

This measure identifies the proportion of children who were identified at risk for delays using a standardized screening tool who were referred for follow-up services (Field 3.1 and/or 3.14). This is a measure of referral in the first three years of life and includes three, age-specific indicators assessing whether children at risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays are referred by their first, second or third birthdays.

**Measure #4**

This measure identifies the proportion of at-risk children who referred to EI for whom EI results were documented in the medical record (Fields 4.1 through 4.5). This is a measure of receipt of EI results by the referring provider (Field 4.4). The measure includes three, age-specific indicators assessing whether providers who referred children to EI received results from EI as documented in the medical record by the child’s first, second or third birthday.

**Rationale**

This suite of measures is focused on accessing the Bright Futures recommendations related to developmental screening using a standardized tool and the related follow-up and care coordination steps articulated in the American Academy of Pediatrics statement on developmental screening to which the Bright Futures recommendations are based. Lastly, the measure builds off the work and recommendations of the Assuring Better Child Health and Development (ABCD) effort related to screening, follow-up and care coordination.

**Evidence for Rationale**


Council on Children With Disabilities; Section on Developmental Behavioral Pediatrics; Bright Futures Steering Committee; Medical Home Initiatives for Children With Special Needs Project Advisory Committee. Identifying infants and young children with developmental disorders in the medical home:

Hix-Small, Hollie, PhD, et al. Impact of Implementing Developmental Screening at 12 and 24 Months in a Pediatric Practice Pediatrics Vol. 120 No. 2 August 2007, pp. 381-389


Primary Health Components

; children

Denominator Description

Measure #1

- Denominator 1: The children in the eligible population who turned 1 year during the measurement year and had a 9 month well-child visit during the measurement year (Field 1.8).
- Denominator 2: The children in the eligible population who turned 2 years during the measurement year and had an 18 month well-child visit during the measurement year (Field 1.8).
- Denominator 3: The children in the eligible population who turned 3 years during the measurement year and had a 24 month well-child visit during the measurement year (Field 1.8).
- Denominator 4: The entire sample.

Measure #2

- Denominator 1: Children in the eligible sample who turned 1 year, who had concerning results from a standardized screening test that assessed risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays (Fields 2.4 through 2.14) that was documented in the medical record during the
measure year.

- Denominator 2: Children in the eligible sample who turned 2 years, who had concerning results from a standardized screening test that assessed risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays (Fields 2.4 through 2.14) that was documented in the medical record during the measurement year.

- Denominator 3: Children in the eligible sample who turned 3 years, who had concerning results from a standardized screening test that assessed risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays (Fields 2.4 through 2.14) that was documented in the medical record during the measurement year.

- Denominator 4: Total.

**Measure #3**

- Denominator 1: Children in the eligible sample who turned 1 year, who had concerning results from a standardized screening test that assessed risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays (Fields 2.4 through 2.14) that was documented in the medical record during the measurement year.

- Denominator 2: Children in the eligible sample who turned 2 years, who had concerning results from a standardized screening test that assessed risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays (Fields 2.4 through 2.14) that was documented in the medical record during the measurement year.

- Denominator 3: Children in the eligible sample who turned 3 years, who had concerning results from a standardized screening test that assessed risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays (Fields 2.4 through 2.14) that was documented in the medical record during the measurement year.

- Denominator 4: Total.

**Measure #4**

- Denominator 1: Children in the eligible sample who turned 1 year and who had a screening for risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays using a standardized screening tool (Field 2.1) (Field 1.8), concerning screening results (Field 2.4 through 2.14) and an EI referral documented in the medical record during the measurement year.
• Denominator 2: Children in the eligible sample who turned 2 years and who had a screening for risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays using a standardized screening tool (Field 2.1) (Field 1.8), concerning screening results (Field 2.4 through 2.14) and an EI referral documented in the medical record during the measurement year. The standardized screening test was conducted at their 18 month well-child visit.

• Denominator 3: Children in the eligible sample who turned 3 years and who had a screening for risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays using a standardized screening tool (Field 2.1) that met, concerning screening results (Field 2.4 through 2.14) at their age-specific well child visit (Field 1.8) and an EI referral documented in the medical record (Field 4.1 through 4.5) during the measurement year.

• Denominator 4: Total.

Numerator Description Measure #1

• Numerator 1: Children in Denominator 1 who had one or more screenings for risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays using a standardized screening tool documented in the medical record (Field 2.1).

• Numerator 2: Children in Denominator 2 who had one or more screenings for risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays using a standardized screening tool documented in the medical record (Field 2.1)

• Numerator 3: Children in Denominator 3 who had one or more screenings for risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays using a standardized screening tool documented in the medical record (Field 2.1)

• Numerator 4: Children in the entire eligible population who had one or more screenings for risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays using a standardized screening tool documented in the medical record (Field 2.1)

Measure #2

• Numerator 1: Children in Denominator 1 who had an EI referral documented in the medical record (Fields 3.1) by their first birthday.

• Numerator 2: Children in Denominator 2 who had an EI referral documented in the medical record (Fields 3.1) by their second birthday.
- **Numerator 3**: Children in Denominator 3 who had an EI referral documented in the medical record (Fields 3.1) by their third birthday.
- **Numerator 4**: Children in Denominator 4 who had an EI referral documented in the medical record (Fields 3.1) by their 1st, 2nd or 3rd birthday.

**Measure #3**

- **Numerator 1**: Children in Denominator 1 who had a referral documented in the medical record (Field 3.1 and/or 3.14) by their first birthday.
- **Numerator 2**: Children in Denominator 2 who had a referral documented in the medical record (Fields 3.1 and/or 3.14) by their second birthday.
- **Numerator 3**: Children in Denominator 3 who had a referral documented in the medical record (Fields 3.1 and/or 3.14) by their third birthday.
- **Numerator 4**: Children in Denominator 4 who had a referral documented in the medical record (Fields 3.1 and/or 3.14) by their 1st, 2nd or 3rd birthday.

**Measure #4**

- **Numerator 1**: Children in Denominator 1 who had EI results documented in their medical record (Fields 4.1 to 4.5) by their first birthday.
- **Numerator 2**: Children in Denominator 2 who had EI results documented in their medical record (Fields 4.1 to 4.5) by their second birthday.
- **Numerator 3**: Children in Denominator 3 who had EI results documented in their medical record (Fields 4.1 to 4.5) by their third birthday.
- **Numerator 4**: Children in Denominator 4 who had EI results documented in their medical record (Fields 4.1 to 4.5) by their 1st, 2nd or 3rd birthday.

**Evidence Supporting the Measure**

**Type of Evidence Supporting the Criterion of Quality for the Measure**

1. Bright Futures Recommendations, American Academy of: Hagan JF, Shaw JS, Duncan PM, eds. 2008. Bright Futures: Guidelines for Health Supervision of Infants, Children and...
Additional Information Supporting Need for the Measure

• Need for Medical Chart-Based Specifications and Measures on Referral and Care Coordination:
There is an existing CHIPRA core measure on Developmental Screening (http://www.medicaid.gov/Medicaid-CHIP-Program-Information/By-Topics/Quality-of-Care/Downloads/InitialCoreSetResourceManual.pdf). The CHIPRA measures is a population-based measure of developmental screening based on claims or medical chart review data authored by Ms. Reuland who is submitting this suite of measures. This suite of measures are complementary, but importantly different. This suite of measures is meant to assess screening AND referral and care coordination for children who had a visit at which screening is recommended. This measure also provides detailed medical chart abstract specifications that can be applied in operationalizing this measure. This suite of measures was designed for use as part of Performance Improvement Project implemented by eight managed care organizations in Oregon and is designed to be implemented as part of quality review efforts.

Need for Measures on Developmental Screening, Referral and Follow-Up:

• The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) defines a developmental delay as a “condition in which a child is not developing and/or achieving skills according to the expected time frame.” A child that is developmentally challenged may face many barriers throughout life; these barriers are even more severe if a delay in development is not detected early. Delayed or disordered development can lead to further health and behavior problems, including failure in school and social and emotional problems. (Council on Children With Disabilities; Section on Developmental Behavioral Pediatrics; Bright Futures Steering Committee; Medical Home Initiatives for Children With Special Needs Project Advisory Committee, 2006) Approximately 12 to 18 percent of U.S. children may have a developmental and behavioral problem. However, only about two percent of children from birth to two years old receive the necessary early intervention services. (Hix-Small, Hollie, PhD, et al., 2007) A child who is identified as having a delay in development by the time he starts school and participates in early intervention programs is more likely to graduate high school, hold a job, live independently, and avoid teen pregnancy, delinquency and violent crimes -- representing a saved cost to society of between $30,000 and $100,000 per child. (Glascoe FP, PhD, et al., 2007) Studies have shown that developmental surveillance based on non-standardized clinical judgment and observation alone does not accurately identify children with delays. Therefore, national recommendations call for routine, standardized screening of children three times in the first three years (at the 9, 18 and 24-or 30-month well-visit).

• Pediatricians are not usually successful in identifying children with developmental delays without use of a standardized tool (Hix-Small, 2007). This measure will encourage the use of standardized tools for developmental screening, as delineated by guidelines. Children who are identified earlier are more likely to have developmental promotion activities, that can further improve the likelihood that they will be able to start school ready to learn. Demonstrated quality
improvement activities such as the Assuring Better Child Health and Development program (http://www.nashp.org/abcd-welcome) have shown that providers can feasibly and sustainably implement standardized screening, and when done so, more children are refereed to Early Intervention and other services and that the kinds and types of referrals performed are more appropriate than was previously done without standardized screening.

- Findings from the National Survey of Children Health show that only 19.5% of children are screened in the first five years of life. Despite the evidence, the use of standardized developmental screening tools is uncommon; only about 20 percent of physicians routinely use developmental screening tests (The Commonwealth Fund, 2008). One study found that pediatricians failed to identify and refer 60 to 80 percent of children with developmental delays in a timely manner. Another study found that 68 percent of children with delays were not detected by pediatricians. Though many significant delays occur before school age, less than 50 percent of children with delays are identified before starting school -- leading to missed opportunities for treatment (Hix-Small, 2007).

- Studies suggest income disparities exist for developmental screening. One study found that only 23 percent of low-income children receive recommended preventive and developmental services (Bethell et al, 2002). The Early Intervention Periodic Screening, Diagnosis and Treatment (EPSDT) benefit for Medicaid children includes screening at each visit, however, as of 2007, 28 states were engaged in lawsuits due to a failure to properly deliver this service (Glascoe et al, 2007). Another study found that children most at risk for school difficulty were those whose mothers had less than a high school education, those who came from single-mother families, those who had received public assistance, and those who lived in families in which the primary language was not English (High, 2008).” Specifically related to screening, the National Survey of Children’s Health found that while improvements were needed in increasing screening for all children, significant variations existed in the rates of screening by race-ethnicity and insurance status.

- Studies also suggest that the use of a validated developmental screening questionnaire is more cost-effective method to identify developmental delay when compared to yearly professional assessments.

- Both research and demonstrated quality improvement activities such as the ABCD Screening Academy have shown that providers can feasibly and sustainably implement standardized screening, and when done so, more children are refereed to Early Intervention and other services and that the kinds and types of referrals performed are more appropriate than was previously done without standardized screening.

- A recent AAP Periodic Survey of Fellows found that the percentage of pediatricians who reported using more than one standardized tools more than doubled between 2002 and 2009, demonstrating significant improvement after changes in AAP policy, enhanced guidance on reimbursement, and increased emphasis on developmental screening through research and educational programs as well as the new Bright Futures guidelines. However, approximately half of the pediatricians reported that they did not routinely use the recommended formal screening tools with patients younger than 36 months of age. Many pediatricians continue to rely on informal checklists completed by the pediatrician, office staff, and/or parents.

- Early identification of developmental disabilities through surveillance and screening can lead to timely evaluation, diagnosis and appropriate treatment, including developmental intervention. Developmental surveillance should be a component of every preventive care visit. Standardized developmental screening tools should be used when such surveillance identifies concerns about a child’s development. Furthermore, it is recommended that standardized...
screening for developmental, behavioral and social delays occur at the 9-, 18-, and 24-month OR 30-month well visits.

- When a child has a positive screening result for a developmental problem, developmental and medical evaluations to identify the specific developmental disorders and related medical problems are warranted. Children diagnosed with developmental disorders should be identified as children with special health care needs; chronic-condition management for these children should be initiated.

- It is important to note that measure does not included standardized screening for a specific domain of development (e.g. social emotional screening via the ASQ-SE, autism screening) as it is anchored to recommendations focused on global developmental screening using tools that focus on identifying risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays. National recommendations also call for autism screening at the 18-month and 24-month well-visit and future, separate measures may specified and build off the data collection efforts used for this measure to capture domain-specific screening. Additionally, many of the ABCD states included a distinct focus on complementary, but separate, screening specifically focused on social-emotional development (using tools such as the ASQ-SE). Similarly, future efforts may maximize the data collection efforts for this measure to include additional specifications focused specifically on social-emotional screening so that a separate measure may be calculated.

Evidence for Additional Information Supporting Need for the Measure


Hix-Small, Hollie, PhD, et al. Impact of Implementing Developmental Screening at 12 and 24 Months in a Pediatric Practice Pediatrics Vol. 120 No. 2 August 2007, pp. 381-389


High, Pamela C. and the Committee on Early Childhood, Adoption, and Dependent Care and Council on School Health. School Readiness. Pediatrics 2008;121:e1008-e1015

http://www.nschdata.org

**Extent of Measure Testing**

The measure was tested in eight managed care organizations who participated in the ABCD III Performance Improvement Project in Oregon. Each managed care organization identified a sample that met the inclusion criterion. A total of **1,082** medical charts were reviewed and data analyzed.

**State of Use of the Measure**

**State of Use**

Current routine use (Five of the managed care plans that participated in the ABCD III project are continuing work in this area and are planning to do additional data collection)

**Current Use**
External oversight/Medicaid
External oversight/State government program
Internal quality improvement
Quality of care research

Application of the Measure in its Current Use

Measurement Setting

Ambulatory/Office Based Care, Managed Care Plans, Medicaid

Professionals Involved in Delivery of Health Services

Advanced Practice Nurses
Nurses
Physician Assistants
Physicians

Least Aggregated Level of Services Delivery Addressed

Managed Care Organization (Although the specifications could be used by individual clinicians wanting to assess their care).

Statement of Acceptable Minimum Sample Size

For purposed of managed care analysis and cross comparison analysis, the preferred sample size is 411, with a sample of 137 for each age-specific group. Given that, in general, between 17-21% of children screened in the primary care setting will have concerning results to the standarized screen and be eligible to be in the denominator for measures 2-4, then considerations may be needed to ensure sufficient samples of children identified at risk.

Target Population Age

Ages 0-3 years old (Specifically for children who had the “9 month”, “18 month” and “30 or 36 month” visit.

Target Population Gender

Either male or female
National Strategy for Quality Improvement in Health Care

National Quality Strategy Aim

Better Care

National Quality Strategy Priority

Effective Communication and Care Coordination
Health and Well-being of Communities
Person- and Family-centered Care

Institute of Medicine (IOM) National Health Care Quality Report Categories

IOM Care Need

Staying Healthy

IOM Domain

Effectiveness
Patient-centeredness

Data Collection for the Measure

Case Finding Period

The measurement year

Denominator Sampling Frame

Enrollees or beneficiaries

Denominator (Index) Event or Characteristic

Encounter
Patient/Individual (Consumer) Characteristic

Denominator Time Window

Time window precedes index event

Denominator Inclusions/Exclusions

Inclusions
Measure #1

- Children who turned one, two, or three between January 1 and December 31 of the measurement year, and received a corresponding well-child visit that year (i.e. a 9-month, 18-month, and 24-month well-child visit)

- Continuous enrollment
  - For children 1 year: 31 days - 1 year of age. Calculate 31 days of age by adding 31 days to the child’s date of birth.
  - For children 2 years: Children who are enrolled continuously for 12 months prior to child’s 2nd birthday.
  - For children 3 years: Children who are enrolled continuously for 12 months prior to child’s 3rd birthday.
  - No more than one gap in enrollment of up to 45 days during the measurement year. To determine continuous enrollment for a Medicaid beneficiary for whom enrollment is verified monthly, the beneficiary may not have more than a 1-month gap in coverage (i.e., a beneficiary whose coverage lapses for 2 months (60 days) is not considered continuously enrolled.
  - Anchor date: enrolled on the member’s 1st, 2nd or 3rd birthday.

- Age-specific well-child visit:
  - For children 1 year: The 9 month well-child visit. This visit includes any well-child visit between 8 and 10.99 months of age.
  - For children 2 years: The 18 month well-child visit. This visit includes any well-child visit between 17 and 21.99 months of age.
  - For children 3 years: The 24 month well-child visit. This visit can between 22 months and 32.50 months of age.

Measure #2

- Children in the eligible sample who turned one, two, or three, who had concerning results from a standardized screening test that assessed risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays that was documented in the medical record during the measurement year.

- Concerning screening results are documented by a provider and are based on results from a
standardized screening tool that indicate that a child is at high risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays.

• For children to be considered referred to EI, they have had:
  o A screening test for risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays using a standardized screening tool (Field 2.1)
  o Concerning screening results documented in the medical record by their provider (Fields 2.4 through 2.14)

Measure #3

• Children in the eligible sample who turned one, two, or three, who had concerning results from a standardized screening test that assessed risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays that was documented in the medical record during the measurement year.

• Concerning screening results are documented by a provider and are based on results from a standardized screening tool that indicate that a child is at high risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays.

• For children to be considered referred, they have had:
  o A screening test for risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays using a standardized screening tool (Field 2.1)
  o Concerning screening results documented in the medical record by their provider (Fields 2.4 through 2.14)

Measure #4

• Children in the eligible sample who turned one, two, or three and who had a screening for risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays using a standardized screening tool, concerning screening results and an EI referral documented in the medical record during the measurement year. The standardized screening test was conducted at their 9-, 18-, or 24-month well-child visit.

• Developmental, behavioral and social delays screening documentation must include the following:
  o A note indicating the date on which the test (screening) was performed (Field 2.2),
  o The standardized tool used (Field 2.3), and
• Evidence of a screening result or screening score (Fields 2.4 through 2.14)

• Concerning screening results are documented by a provider and are based on results from a standardized screening test that indicate that a child is at high risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays (See Medical Chart Abstract Tool)

• For children to be considered evaluated by EI they have had:
  o A screening test for risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays using a standardized screening tool (Field 2.1)
  o Concerning screening results documented in the medical record by their provider (Fields 2.4 through 2.14)
  o A referral to EI by their provider (Fields 3.1)

• EI Referral Documentation must include:
  o The date of EI referral (Field 3.3)
  o A note indicating the reason for referral (Field 3.4).

Exclusions
Exclude eligible children from the denominator for whom the provider made a note about not administering the standardized screening tool due to existing, identified conditions, and/or for whom the screening tool would not, in their clinical judgment, be applicable or useful.

Exclusions/Exceptions
Medical factors addressed and noted in the chart by the provider about why they didn’t administer the screening tool.

Numerator Inclusions/Exclusions

Inclusions

Measure #1

• Children in the corresponding Denominator who had one or more screenings for risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays using a standardized screening tool documented in the medical record

• Documentation in the medical record must include all of the following:
  o A note indicating the date on which the screening test for risk for developmental, behavioral
and social delays was performed (Field 2.1), and
  o The standardized tool used (see below) (Field 2.3), and
  o Evidence of a screening result or screening score (Field 2.4 through 2.14)

• Tools must meet the following criteria:
  o Developmental Domains: The following domains must be included in the standardized screening tool: motor, language, cognitive, and social-emotional.
  o Established Reliability: Reliability scores of approximately 0.70 or above.
  o Established Findings Regarding the Validity: Validity scores for the tool must be approximately 0.70 or above. Measures of validity must be conducted on a significant number of children and using an appropriate standardized developmental, behavioral or social assessment instrument(s).
  o Established Sensitivity/Specificity: Sensitivity and specificity scores of approximately 0.70 or above.

• Current recommended tools that meet these criteria:
  o Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ) - 2 months – 5 years
  o Ages and Stages Questionnaire - 3rd Edition (ASQ-3)
  o Battelle Developmental Inventory Screening Tool (BDI-ST) – Birth – 95 months
  o Bayley Infant Neuro-developmental Screen (BINS) - 3 months – 2 years
  o Brigance Screens-II – Birth – 90 months
  o Child Development Inventory (CDI) - 18 months–6 years
  o Infant Development Inventory – Birth – 18 months
  o Parents’ Evaluation of Developmental Status (PEDS) – Birth – 8 years
  o Parent’s Evaluation of Developmental Status - Developmental Milestones (PEDS-DM)

Measure #2

• Children in the corresponding Denominator who had an EI referral documented in the medical record (Fields 3.1) by their first, second, or third birthday.
• Developmental, behavioral and social delays screening documentation must include all of the following:
  o A note indicating the date on which the test (screening) was performed (Field 2.2), and
• The standardized tool used (Field 2.3) and
• Evidence of a screening result or screening score (Fields 2.4 to 2.14)

Referral Documentation must include all of the following:
• The date of EI referral (Field 3.3)

Measure #3

• Children in the corresponding Denominator who had an EI referral documented in the medical record (Fields 3.1) by their first, second, or third birthday.

• Developmental, behavioral and social delays screening documentation must include all of the following:
  • A note indicating the date on which the test (screening) was performed (Field 2.2), and
  • The standardized tool used (Field 2.3) and
  • Evidence of a screening result or screening score (Fields 2.4 to 2.14)

Referral Documentation must include all of the following:
• The date of referral (Field 3.1 and/or 3.14)

Measure #4

• The numerators identify children were referred to EI by their providers and who had EI results documented in their medical record.

• Children in the corresponding Denominator who had EI results documented in their medical record (Fields 4.1 to 4.5) by their first, second, or third birthday.

• Documentation of EI results in the medical record must include all of the following:
  • The date on which the EI results were received/document (Field 4.1)
  • Results from EI about Eligibility and/or Evaluation Results (Fields 4.4 and 4.5)

Exclusions

Measure #1

• Standardized tools specifically focused on one domain of development [e.g. child’s socio-emotional development (ASQ-SE) or autism (M-CHAT)] are not included as an eligible screening test, as this measure is anchored to recommendations focused on global developmental
screening using tools that focus on identifying children at risk for developmental, behavioral and social delays.

- Exclude eligible children from the numerator for whom the provider made a note about not administering the standardized screening tool due to existing, identified conditions, and/or for whom the screening tool would not, in their clinical judgment, be applicable or useful.

**Numerator Search Strategy**

Fixed time period or point in time

**Data Source**

Administrative clinical data

Paper medical record

**Type of Health State**

Does not apply to this measure

**Instruments Used and/or Associated with the Measure**

Medical Abstraction Tool

**Computation of the Measure**

**Measure Specifies Disaggregation**

Does not apply to this measure

**Basis for Disaggregation**

As per Inclusion and Exclusion criteria identified for Numerator and Denominator

**Scoring**

Rate/Proportion

For each of the measure, the following five step process is recommended:

**Step 1: Determine the denominator (See specifications for each measure)**

Identify the denominator for each age-specific indicator:

**Step 2: Determine the numerator (See specifications for each measure)**

Step 3: Calculate the age-specific indicators (1-3) by dividing the numerator by the denominator and multiplying by 100 to get a percentage.
Step 4. Create the measure based on the age-specific measures.

Numerator: Numerator for Indicator 1 + Numerator for Indicator 2 + Numerator for Indicator 3 (Divided by)

Denominator: Denominator for Indicator 1 + Denominator for Indicator 2 + Denominator for Indicator 3

Step 5: Multiply by 100 to get the proportion percentage

Interpretation of Score

Desired value is a higher score

Allowance for Patient or Population Factors

Overall and Analysis by subgroup (age of child)

Description of Allowance for Patient or Population Factors

Report three age stratifications and a total rate for all eligible children:

- 1 year
- 2 years
- 3 years
- Total

The total is the sum of the age stratifications.

Standard of Comparison

External comparison at a point in, or interval of, time
External comparison of time trends
Internal time comparison
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Measure #3: Children Identified at Risk for Developmental, Behavioral and Social Delays and/or with Developmental Disabilities Who Were Referred to Address the Risk Identified (This includes providers beyond EI)
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