<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line No</th>
<th>Commenter's Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location in Document</th>
<th>Page Number</th>
<th>Comment / Requested Change</th>
<th>Distillation</th>
<th>Planning Commission Response to Comment</th>
<th>Agreed Upon Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Joe LaFiandra</td>
<td>6/6/2019</td>
<td>THE TOWN'S PLANNING HISTORY</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>There is no mention of limiting the annexation of property in the Purcellville SMA. After the PUGAMP was canceled in the 2012-2013 time frame, the Town and County recognized no further growth outside of the Town boundaries into the SMA was anticipated. Including a provision limiting any further annexations or major boundary line adjustments in the Plan would fulfill a pledge the Town made at the time of the cancellation of the PUGAMP. Restraining the Town’s expansion will help maintain the quality of life in the Purcellville area by reducing demands on water supply, traffic congestion, the need for additional schools, and tax increases to support infrastructure improvements.</td>
<td>Do we adequately address the issue of annexation in the Comprehensive Plan?</td>
<td>The Loudoun County Comprehensive Plan says Purcellville does not intend to grow beyond its present boundaries. This is consistent with the Fiscal and Land Use Balances and Reasons to Amend the Plan sections of the Purcellville Comprehensive Plan. FISCAL AND LAND USE BALANCES: The ultimate goal is to allow the Town to grow within its existing boundaries and to carefully evaluate annexations and boundary line adjustments and their impacts on the Town’s ability to provide services in those areas. REASONS TO AMEND THE PLAN: Should annexation appear to be prudent or necessary, the future land use of any annexation considered should remain consistent with the Loudoun County future land use designation applicable to the property when under county jurisdiction. The following items should be considered to inform any discussion of annexation: • Infrastructure Impacts • Costs/Benefits • Community Input</td>
<td>The Planning Commission forwards the Version 5.0 document to Town Council with no revision in this regard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mark Nelis</td>
<td>6/6/2019</td>
<td>THE PLANNING PROCESS</td>
<td>9-11</td>
<td>The Plan lacks muscle evidenced in the brevity of its statistical analysis.</td>
<td>The Plan’s statistical analysis, starting on page 17, and in the supporting documents volume, is adequate.</td>
<td>The Planning Commission forwards the Version 5.0 document to Town Council with no revision in this regard.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mark Nelis</td>
<td>6/6/2019</td>
<td>THE PLANNING PROCESS THE LAND USE FOCUS AREAS</td>
<td>9-11</td>
<td>There is also a large emphasis on the comments of the community members and they’re very helpful and interesting, but they occasionally side into the Plan’s recommendations.</td>
<td>Where the Planning Commission references community input in a recommendation, it is the Commission’s decision to follow that recommendation. This demonstrates that the community has a voice in the drafting of the Plan and that the Commission has listened.</td>
<td>The Planning Commission forwards the Version 5.0 document to Town Council with no revision in this regard.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mark Nelis</td>
<td>6/6/2019</td>
<td>REGIONAL GROWTH AND DEMAND FOR HOUSING HOUSING AND NEIGHBORHOODS</td>
<td>17-19</td>
<td>If the Planning Commission considers at-place employment you’ll find that everyone who lives here drives east and everyone who works here drives west and that’s a failure of our land use program. There’s nothing in this Plan to support affordable housing.</td>
<td>Address affordable housing in the Comprehensive Plan.</td>
<td>The Planning Commission intentionally separated out an affordable housing plan from the Comprehensive Plan, and will develop an Implementation Plan for the construction, rehabilitation, and maintenance of affordable housing under the Short Term Efforts (page 99). HOUSING AND NEIGHBORHOODS: In accordance with the Code of Virginia, an implementation plan for construction, rehabilitation, and maintenance of affordable housing must be put in place. The Hirst East, West End, Downtown South, and East Main focus areas all have room to accommodate affordable housing in the form of single family residential, multifamily residential, mixed use buildings, or senior living. INITIAL ACTION PRIORITIZATION: SHORT TERM EFFORTS: • Develop an Implementation Plan for the construction, rehabilitation, and maintenance of affordable housing.</td>
<td>The Planning Commission forwards the Version 5.0 document to Town Council with no revision in this regard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Mark Nelis</td>
<td>6/6/2019</td>
<td>REGIONAL GROWTH AND DEMAND FOR HOUSING</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>The depriorization of development on page 18 of the plan Figure 32 is one that is no longer permitted in Loudoun County.</td>
<td>County development pattern depicted. Change the picture on page 19.</td>
<td>No change. This type of development is actually permitted by the County.</td>
<td>The Planning Commission forwards the Version 5.0 document to Town Council with no revision in this regard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Mark Nelis</td>
<td>6/6/2019</td>
<td>SITE OF USES</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>The goal of achieving a 30 percent commercial tax revenue ratio is expressed but this is contradicted by other statements in the document that act to limit commercial development.</td>
<td>The Mayor is interested in using a revenue ratio, instead of a tax ratio (since the former allows the Town to generate more revenue). Town Council will address this issue.</td>
<td>The Planning Commission forwards the Version 5.0 document to Town Council with no revision in this regard.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Mary Ellen Stover</td>
<td>6/8/2019</td>
<td>2018 LAND USE PLAN</td>
<td>33-48</td>
<td>There are too many commercial and residential uses in the new districts that have been created.</td>
<td>With regard to commercial and residential uses, this has been addressed through the changes below.</td>
<td>Each aspect respectfully considered by Planning Commission.</td>
<td>The Planning Commission forwards the Version 5.0 document to Town Council with no revision in this regard.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Planning Commission Decisional

8  Jimmy Reynolds  6/8/2019  EAST END FOCUS AREA  33-48  Whenever this Plan does it indicate that there was an analysis done of the 2025 Plan that said what works, what doesn't work, what's broken and what needs fixing. Wholesale changes were made to the land use designations without any reasons or justifications given other than increased clarity, and this increased clarity and the changes to the land use designations are going to create havoc for the zoning people for years to come because there are no zones right now that marry up to any of the land use designations, particularly on the East End. In my opinion, there are too many permitted by-right uses in the zoning categories which gives the town very little ammunition to say no. The Planning Commission has stated that it's not its intent to make wholesale material changes to the 2025 Plan (refer to the audio record of the May 4, 2019 meeting), and that's exactly what was done. You need to get back to the roots. Increase clarity in land use designations, particularly in the East End focus area.

9  Mark Nelis  6/8/2019  INDUSTRIAL BUSINESS  45  This has been accomplished through a revision below. See Line: 25

10  Mark Nelis  6/8/2019  INDUSTRIAL BUSINESS  45  Replace Figure 113: Existing industrial business with something in Purcellville. Replace Figure 113 on page 45. See Errata sheet

11  Sam Brown  6/8/2019  RURAL TRANSITION  EAST END  47  Purcellville has too much commercial development; the change to the Rural Transition land use shouldn't become another 7-11, another Catoctin Corner, etc.; we have enough convenience stores, enough filling stations, enough commercial stores in Purcellville. Rural Transition allows for too much commercial development; re-examine commercial development allowances throughout the Town.

12  David Eno  6/8/2019  RURAL TRANSITION  47  The Plan is difficult to follow, a concise plan that would be more understandable. The land development attorney, based on what is in the Rural Transition section, could file suit against the Town and easily win a significant judgement, and further, the Plan is loaded with words that would set this Town in the sights of developers and people who would not care about maintaining Purcellville as it is.

13  Mark Nelis  6/8/2019  HRST EAST FOCUS AREA  61  Allow for a conference center and/or small hotel in the focus area. Conference center or small hotel. Conference centers or small hotels have been removed from the Comprehensive Plan. See Line: 43

14  Mark Nelis  6/8/2019  HRST EAST FOCUS AREA  61  Area 1 of the First East Focus Area Map should represent the commercial uses that are there now and unlikely to change in the near future. Existing land use. The land use expressed in the Comprehensive Plan is aspirational.

15  Robert Schonder  7/11/2019  WEST END FOCUS AREA  63  Please do not change the zoning (future land use designation) on my property from "mixed commercial" to "mixed use neighborhood scale". Property owner does not want "mixed use neighborhood scale".

The Planning Commission forwards the Version 5.0 document to Town Council with no revision in this regard.

The Planning Commission forwards the Version 5.0 document to Town Council with no revision in this regard.

The Planning Commission forwards the Version 5.0 document to Town Council with no revision in this regard.

The Planning Commission forwards the Version 5.0 document to Town Council with no revision in this regard.

The Planning Commission forwards the Version 5.0 document to Town Council with no revision in this regard.

The Planning Commission forwards the Version 5.0 document to Town Council with no revision in this regard.

The Planning Commission forwards the Version 5.0 document to Town Council with no revision in this regard.

The Planning Commission forwards the Version 5.0 document to Town Council with no revision in this regard.
Because East Stephens Drive has been closed off midway, the only access to these two residential properties is through the commercial Browning Equipment property along South 9th Street rather than through the residential properties along South Maple Avenue. If the Browning property, currently being used for storage of equipment, is more fully developed commercially, it will lead to devaluation of the residential property. Preferably, either: (1) include the residential properties in the Mixed Commercial zoning; (2) convert the surrounding residential properties on South 9th Street to Multi-Family Residential zoning; or (3) leave all the surrounding properties on South 9th Street as Single Family.

These two residential properties should have the same land use as each other, Address Browning Equipment land use on the west side of S. 9th Street.

There was some confusion in that the community members were focused on zoning while the Planning Commission is focusing on land use. However, there is an argument to be made that the proposed land use is in error. The land use of the property currently being used by Browning Equipment as a storage yard, being the “vertical” set of parcels fronting on west side of S 9th Street and south of E Stephens Drive – will be designated Single Family Detached Traditional.

6/6/2019
Philip Lataille
Robert Ritchie

69
EAST MAIN FOCUS AREA

60
EAST END FOCUS AREA

TOWN HISTORY facing page

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

This item came about through the Planning Commission’s 7/18/2019 deliberations upon Uta Brown’s public hearing comments. The Planning Commission agrees to include this revision in the draft of the Version 5.0 document that will be recommended to Town Council.

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council consider this matter while acknowledging the new population figure is an estimate, and forwards the Version 5.0 document to Town Council with no revision in this regard.

Add a bullet (second in order) to say: Purcellville’s...character while providing for a high-quality of life for future generations. [BACKGROUND AND KEY FINDINGS, page 12.]

Each aspect respectfully considered by Planning Commission.

In Round 3, participants collectively ranked “growth management” as the highest priority followed by “character and design” and “economic development.” In ranking the guiding principles, the participants focused on approaches that supported “infill and redevelopment” as solutions for most priorities but also preferred some “managed growth” solutions, especially for the “growth management” and “open space” priorities. [THE PLANNING PROCESS, page 15.]

In this Plan, the Town clearly expresses a desire to ensure that any future growth of Purcellville coincides with the availability of adequate public utilities and does not create negative effects for the community. [REGIONAL GROWTH AND DEMAND FOR HOUSING, page 19.]

With regard to quality of life issues, in order to preserve or create open space within the Town corporate limits, the Town of Purcellville (as funded by Town residents and businesses) may have to purchase or otherwise acquire real estate from “private property owner”.

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.
22 Planning Commission 7/11/2019

AGRICULTURAL COMMERCIAL MIX OF USES

Create a new bullet, as the third from the last bullet, to contain the following text: “Carefully consider the impacts and mitigations of implementing mixed-use developments in the focus areas and their effects on: (1) paving vs. loss of green space; (2) traffic volume and patterns throughout the Town; and (3) economic and infrastructure impacts.”

The Planning Commission agrees to include this revision in the draft of the Version 5.0 document that will be recommended to Town Council. See Line 4

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

23 Planning Commission 7/11/2019

COMMERCIAL NEIGHBORHOOD SCALE

Refine the definition of the Commercial Neighborhood Scale land use.

The Planning Commission agrees to include this revision in the draft of the Version 5.0 document that will be recommended to Town Council. In the Commercial Neighborhood Scale land use category statement of purpose and intent, follow the first sentence with a second sentence containing this text: “It focuses on smaller physical structures, often former residential or other buildings of similar size, singly or in small groups, located in close proximity to residential neighborhoods, that are compatible with the architectural styles of the neighborhood.”

See Lines: 7, 11, 18

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

24 Planning Commission 7/11/2019

COMMERCIAL MEDIUM SCALE

Refine the definition of the Commercial Medium Scale land use.

The Planning Commission agrees to include this revision in the draft of the Version 5.0 document that will be recommended to Town Council. In the Commercial Medium Scale land use category statement of purpose and intent, follow the statement with a second sentence containing this text: “It contemplates retail and commercial buildings larger than Neighborhood Scale, compatible with their surrounding architecture, but not approaching the size of “big box” stores.”

See Lines: 7, 11, 18

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

25 Planning Commission 6/23/2019

INDUSTRIAL BUSINESS

Buildings are one to two stories with footprints in the range of 20,000 square feet. Why is this here? We removed area sizes elsewhere.

The Planning Commission agrees to include this revision in the draft of the Version 5.0 document. See Line 9

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

26 Planning Commission 6/27/2019

AGRICULTURAL COMMERCIAL

Change the name/title of the land-use category by deleting the word “commercial”.

The Planning Commission agrees to include this revision in the draft of the Version 5.0 document that will be recommended to Town Council. See Lines: 7, 11, 18

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

27 Planning Commission 6/27/2019

AGRICULTURAL COMMERCIAL

Delete the words ‘and encourages commercial land uses’ from the statement of purpose/intent.

The Planning Commission agrees to include this revision in the draft of the Version 5.0 document that will be recommended to Town Council. See Lines: 7, 11, 18

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

28 Planning Commission 6/27/2019

AGRICULTURAL COMMERCIAL

Delete the word ‘commercial’ from the second bullet.

The Planning Commission agrees to include this revision in the draft of the Version 5.0 document that will be recommended to Town Council. See Lines: 7, 11, 18

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

29 Planning Commission 6/27/2019

AGRICULTURAL COMMERCIAL

Delete the bullets three and four in their entirety.

The Planning Commission agrees to include this revision in the draft of the Version 5.0 document that will be recommended to Town Council. See Lines: 7, 11, 18

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

30 Planning Commission 6/27/2019

RURAL TRANSITION

Delete the entire Rural Transition land use category, therefore delete all text on the entire page.

The Planning Commission agrees to include this revision in the draft of the Version 5.0 document that will be recommended to Town Council. See Lines: 11, 12, 17

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

31 Planning Commission 6/28/2019

HIRST EAST FOCUS AREA

Re-create and renumber the subareas in the Hirst East Focus Area.

The Planning Commission agrees to include this revision in the draft of the Version 5.0 document that will be recommended to Town Council. See Lines: 7, 8, 13, 14, 18

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.
32 Planning Commission 6/23/2019 FIRST EAST FOCUS AREA 61 Redesignate the land uses of the subareas in the First East Focus Area. Correction of intent. This item came about through the Planning Commission's 7/11/2019 deliberations upon Mary Ellen Stover's, Jimmy Reynolds', Sam Brown's, Mark Nelis' and Uta Brown's public hearing comments. The land uses of the subareas of the First East Focus Area are: AREA 1: Commercial Neighborhood Scale; AREA 2: Industrial Business; AREA 3: Mixed-Use Neighborhood Scale; AREA 4: Agricultural; and AREA 5: Commercial Neighborhood Scale. See Lines: 7, 8, 11, 13, 14, 18 The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

33 Planning Commission 6/23/2019 FIRST EAST FOCUS AREA 61 Redefine the subareas in the First East Focus Area. Correction of intent. This item came about through the Planning Commission's 7/11/2019 deliberations upon Mary Ellen Stover's, Jimmy Reynolds', Sam Brown's, Mark Nelis' and Uta Brown's public hearing comments. AREA 1 • Redevelopment consistent with small format buildings compatible with the neighboring residential area, with sufficient surface parking and loading not adjacent to the residential area. AREA 2 • Redevelopment consistent with Industrial or lesser intensity uses with sufficient surface parking. AREA 3 • Small-scale Mixed-Use development, possibly including housing for seniors. AREA 4 • This area is suitable for agriculture enterprises and/or open space use, preserving streams and other resources. Open spaces, parks, and trails should be designed as integral parts of any development in this area. Trails could be used to establish connections between neighborhoods and to enhance pedestrian and bicycle mobility. AREA 5 • Development with small format commercial and office buildings. See Lines: 7, 8, 11, 13, 14, 18 The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

34 Planning Commission 6/23/2019 FIRST EAST FOCUS AREA 61 Allow for a conference center and/or small hotel in this focus area. Allow conference centers and small hotels. This item came about through the Planning Commission's 7/11/2019 deliberations upon Mark Nelis's public hearing comments. Based on community input, conference centers and small hotels have been removed from the Comprehensive Plan. Move Figure 160. A traditionally designed small format hotel that has good scale, detail, and character for something in this area of Purcellville from page 70, replacing Figure 136. Local Home for example architecture, and Figure 137. Purcellville Gateway development for example design. See Lines: 7, 8, 11, 18 The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

35 Planning Commission 7/11/2019 DOWNTOWN NORTH FOCUS AREA 67 De-intensify the land use in the Downtown North Focus Area 1. Correction of intent. This item came about through the Planning Commission's 7/11/2019 deliberations upon Mary Ellen Stover's, Jimmy Reynolds', Sam Brown's, Mark Nelis' and Uta Brown's public hearing comments. The Planning Commission agrees to include this revision in the draft of the Version 5.0 document that will be recommended to Town Council. In Area 1 of the Downtown North Focus Area, change the designated land use from Industrial Business to Commercial Neighborhood Scale. See Lines: 7, 8, 11, 18 The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.
Planning Commission Decisional

67. De-intensify the land use in the Downtown North Focus Area. Correction of intent. The item came about through the Planning Commission’s 7/11/2019 deliberations upon Mary Ellen Stover’s, Jimmy Reynolds’, Sam Brown’s, Mark Nelis’ and Uta Brown’s public hearing comments. The Planning Commission agrees to include this revision in the draft of the Version 5.0 document that will be recommended to Town Council. Delete the complete text for “AREA 1” and replace with: “Maintain commercial development in this area and encourage in-character infill when appropriate. Redevelopment should be consistent with Commercial Neighborhood Scale.” See Lines: 7, 8, 11-18

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

68. Refine the "COMMUNITY INPUT" section of the East Main Focus Area. Revise "COMMUNITY INPUT" paragraph

The Planning Commission agrees to include this revision in the draft of the Version 5.0 document that will be recommended to Town Council. Revise "COMMUNITY INPUT" paragraph to read: "Participant input during community engagement activities indicated a desire for some retail/apartment mixed use buildings, as well as improved green space within the area. Respondents looked for opportunities to specifically redevelop the existing Purcellville Shopping Center and the Shoppes at Main and Maple.” See Line 3

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

69. De-intensify the land use designation of several parcels in the East Main Focus Area from Commercial Medium Scale to Commercial Neighborhood Scale. Correction of intent. The smaller commercial properties along East Main Street were redesignated as Commercial Neighborhood Scale rather than the larger commercial Medium Scale as outlined below:

See Line: 8

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

69. Readjust the boundaries of the Multi-Family land use and redesignate the land use of the properties at the western and of Area 1 in the Hirst East Focus Area. Correction of intent. Create Area 4A in the Hirst East Focus Area out of the eastern column of properties designated Multi-Family, moving the western column of properties out of the focus area and redesignating them Single Family Detached Traditional. Create Area 8 out of the three western-most properties of Area a and designate their land use to be Commercial Neighborhood Scale, matching the properties across from them on West Main Street.

See Lines: 8, 16

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.

69. Revise subarea descriptions in the East Main Focus Area. Correction of intent. Make the following changes to the subarea descriptions of the East Main Focus Area:

AREA 1: Change "Encourage redevelopment with …" to "Redevelop …"
AREA 2, 3, and 4: Change "Encourage sustainment and redevelopment with …" to "Sustain and redevelop with …"
AREA 5: Change "Encourage small-scale buildings …" to "Small-scale buildings …"
AREA 8: Change "Encourage sustainment and redevelopment with …" to "Sustain and redevelop with …"

See Line: 8, 16

The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning Commission</th>
<th>Date: 6/27/2019</th>
<th>EAST END FOCUS AREA</th>
<th>Page 70</th>
<th>Within the location map, indicate the Crooked Run Orchard property (SW quadrant of roundabout) is included within the East End with the appropriate shading. Include Crooked Run Orchard.</th>
<th>The item came about through the Planning Commission's 7/11/2019 deliberations upon Mark Nelis' public hearing comments. The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planning Commission</td>
<td>Date: 6/27/2019</td>
<td>EAST END FOCUS AREA</td>
<td>Page 70</td>
<td>In the center column of text, labeled &quot;Community Input&quot;, delete the word &quot;commercial&quot; (near the end of the sentence). In the Location Map the area of Crooked Run should be colored in.</td>
<td>The item came about through the Planning Commission's 7/18/2019 deliberations upon Mark Nelis’ public hearing comments. The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Commission</td>
<td>Date: 6/27/2019</td>
<td>EAST END FOCUS AREA</td>
<td>Page 70</td>
<td>For Figure 160, replace picture with an example of a &quot;small format hotel&quot; (existing in Purcellville?). Remove figure 160.</td>
<td>This item came about through the Planning Commission's 7/11/2019 deliberations upon Mark Nelis’ public hearing comments. The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Commission</td>
<td>Date: 7/18/2019</td>
<td>EAST END FOCUS AREA</td>
<td>Page 70</td>
<td>In the &quot;COMMUNITY INPUT&quot; paragraph, add two final sentences reading: &quot;The southwest and southeast quadrants are mainly agricultural and provide a transition to adjacent to rural county areas consistent with community input.&quot; Expand &quot;COMMUNITY INPUT&quot; paragraph.</td>
<td>The Planning Commission agrees to include this revision in the draft of the Version 5.0 document that will be recommended to Town Council. The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Commission</td>
<td>Date: 6/27/2019</td>
<td>EAST END FOCUS AREA</td>
<td>Page 71</td>
<td>Re-label Catoctin Corner to be included in area #1 (together with Purcellville Gateway), and indicate area #2 (the properties east of the Catoctin Corner commercial development). Clarify subareas in East End Focus Area.</td>
<td>The item came about through the Planning Commission's 7/11/2019 deliberations upon Patricia Kipfer’s public hearing comments. The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Commission</td>
<td>Date: 6/27/2019</td>
<td>EAST END FOCUS AREA</td>
<td>Page 72</td>
<td>Increase clarity in land use designations, particularly in the East End Focus area. Clarify subareas in East End Focus Area.</td>
<td>This item came about through the Planning Commission's 7/11/2019 deliberations upon Patricia Kipfer’s public hearing comments. The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Commission</td>
<td>Date: 7/18/2019</td>
<td>SERVICES AND FACILITIES</td>
<td>Page 78</td>
<td>In recommendation #11, delete the word “allow” and replace it with the word “consider”.</td>
<td>The item came about through the Planning Commission's 7/18/2019 deliberations upon Mark Nelis' public hearing comments. The Planning Commission recommends that Town Council accept this revision to the Version 5.0 document.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>