

# Project-Based Learning (PBL)

## A Literature Review



Project-based Learning, commonly known as PBL, is a way to teach that uses student-directed projects as a vehicle for meeting academic standards. Historically projects have been used to demonstrate that students have gained content knowledge. For example, students would build a model of a historic battleground after learning about that battle in a social studies class. PBL is an instructional approach where students learn by investigating a complex question, problem, or challenge and develop a solution to that problem. The project culminates in student-led action to tackle that identified issue.

Post-action, students then reflect on the entire PBL experience. This reflection has been found to be central to the learning process, and gives students the opportunity to lay the foundation for their work in the future (English and Kitsantas 2013). For learners to be motivated to take action, they need to master the skills of inquiry, analysis, exploration, and curiosity (Jeffery 2010). While PBL is a method of content instruction, it also works on the mastery of these critical thinking skills.

This review summarizes the benefits of project-based approach to classroom instruction. The educational research community overwhelmingly supports the conclusion that PBL generates a wealth of learning gains for students. It creates problem-solvers, helps students achieve higher levels of academic success, increases engagement in the classroom, and creates environmental stewards among other great benefits. These outcomes are discussed below.

This type of teaching has been found to be most effective when integrated directly into curriculum (Bridgeland et al. 2008) as opposed to thinking of it as an add-on. When done effectively, PBL is youth-driven, meaning students have a say in the direction of their learning (Bridgeland 2008; Levinson 2014). Giving youth the power to make decisions changes how students understand the world (Levinson 2014). Levinson argues that PBL helps reshape students' ability to think about social and political possibilities.

A body of research extending back almost three decades shows a multitude of gains for students participating in PBL classes as compared to traditional teaching. Morgan and Streb (2001) found that empowerment created by giving students a voice in their own learning improved their sense of self-efficacy and belief in their own competence. In particular, they found that when students had responsibility over real tasks, made decisions, and planned their action, it had substantial positive impacts on self-concept and improved attitudes towards people dissimilar from themselves.

Similarly, a study by Bitter and Loney (2015) found that students who went through programs like PBL achieved higher academically, had stronger inter- and intrapersonal skills, had higher on-time graduation rates, and a higher rate of enrollment in four year colleges compared to their peers. Students find these programs more interesting, and say it helps motivate them to work hard (Bridgeland et al. 2008). These results are echoed in Furco (1996) where students developed more positive attitudes towards school, themselves, others, and their community. Melchior (1998) found improvement in school engagement and math grades specifically when participating in these programs. In this study, an overwhelming number of participants said they learned a new useful skill, and said they learned more than they would have in a "typical class". Billig et al. (2005) found that participants in these projects scored higher on multiple outcomes, as well as enjoyed school more compared to their peers. It has also been noted that having gone through these project-based experiences can help students stay in school should major life events occur (Bridgeland et al. 2008). A plethora of other studies

# Project-Based Learning (PBL)

## A Literature Review



have been conducted that also show similar academic gains (Billing et al. 2012; Coyle 2005; Harris et al. 2014; Lieberman and Hoody 1998; NELS 1988; Zint et al. 2014). There have also been findings of educational and motivational gains for educators as well (Billing et al. 2012). The jury is out- PBL helps students, and educators, learn and grow.

In addition to these more immediate learning benefits, PBL combined with environmental content has been shown to increase long-term stewardship behavior. This body of work began with Hungerford and Volk (1990) when they made the case that traditional, more instructional approaches to environmental education were not creating stewards. Instead, they insisted that students need to have a deep understanding of the issues at hand, the tools to analyze data, and the ability to learn citizenship skills. Hungerford and Volk's argument lead to a variety of studies testing this idea, which has become substantially supported. In 2012, Chawla and Derr did a meta analysis on this concept. They looked at a variety of education delivery methods and found that this project/community-based approach was the most successful in creating behavior changes in students. It has also been found, time and again, that not just promoting environmental knowledge, but problem solving *skills* are needed in order to instill environmental behavior change (Cheng and Monroe 2012; Zint et al. 2014).

PBL in a school-based setting provides a wonderful opportunity to help students prosper, both in the short- and long-term, academically and behaviorally. The literature shows that students often do better academically, socially, have increased motivation and engagement in school, build critical thinking skills, and stewardship behaviors. They will engage more in their community, and learn first-hand how to problem solve. When done effectively, students will own their voices, have a say in their own learning and increase their self-efficacy. All of these, and more, are attainable with project-based approaches integrated into classroom curriculum.

### LITERATURE CITED

Billig, S., Root, S., & Jesse, D. (2005). The impact of participation in service learning on high school students' civic engagement. Circle Working Paper, 33.

Billing et al. (2012). Engaging students through academic service-learning: national guide to implementing quality academic service-learning. National Coalition for Academic Service-learning, [www.service-learning.org/filemanager/download/K-12\\_Service-Learning\\_Project\\_Planning\\_Toolkit.pdf](http://www.service-learning.org/filemanager/download/K-12_Service-Learning_Project_Planning_Toolkit.pdf).

Bitter, C., & Loney, E. (2015). DEEPER LEARNING: Improving student outcomes for college, career, and civic life. Education Policy Center at American Institutes for Research, <https://www.air.org/policycenter>.

Bridgeland, J., Diullio, J., & Wulsin, S. (2008). Engaged for success: service-learning as a tool for high school dropout prevention, [www.civicenterprises.net](http://www.civicenterprises.net).

# Project-Based Learning (PBL)

## A Literature Review



Chawla, L., & Derr, V. (2012). Ch. 28: The development of conservation behaviors in childhood and youth. *The Oxford Handbook of Environmental and Conservation Psychology*. Oxford University Press, 527-555.

Chen-Hsuan C. J., & Monroe, M. C. (2012). Connection to nature: children's affective attitude toward nature. *Environment and Behavior*, 44(1), 31–49.

Coyle, K. (2005). Environmental literacy in America. What ten years of NEETF/Roper research and related studies say about environmental literacy in the U.S., The National Environmental Education & Training Foundation (NEETF), [www.neetf.org](http://www.neetf.org).

English, M. C., & Kitsantas, A. (2013). Supporting student self-regulated learning in problem-and project-based learning. *Interdisciplinary journal of problem-based learning*, 7(2), 6.

Furco, A. (1996). "Is service-learning really better than community service? A study of high school service program outcomes." *Service Learning General*, 154.

Harris, C. J. et al. (2014). Curriculum materials make a difference for next generation science learning: Results from year 1 of a randomized controlled trial. Menlo Park, CA: SRI International.

Hungerford, H. R., & Volk, T. L. (1990). Changing learner behavior through environmental education. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 21(3), 8-21.

Ingels, S. J. et al. (1988). National education longitudinal study of 1988 (NELS:88). National Center for Education Statistics, <https://nces.ed.gov>.

Jeffery, H. (2010). Student-centred learning: options for the application of constructivist thinking in occupational therapy education. [WikiEducator.org](http://WikiEducator.org), 1-15.

Levinson, M. (2014). Action civics in the classroom. *Social Education*, 78(2), 68–70.

Lieberman, G., & Hoody, L. (1998). Closing the achievement gap: Using the environment as an interesting context for learning. San Diego, CA, State Environment and Education Round Table.

Melchior, A. (1998). National evaluation of learn and serve America school and community-based programs: final report. <https://digitalcommons.unomaha.edu/slcek12/2>.

Morgan, W., & Steb, M. (2001). Building citizenship: How student voice in service-learning develops civic values. *Service Learning General*, 83.

# Project-Based Learning (PBL)

## A Literature Review



Zint, M., Kraemer, A., & Kolenic, G. (2014). Evaluating meaningful watershed educational experiences: An exploration into the effects on participating students' environmental stewardship characteristics and the relationships between these predictors of environmentally responsible behavior. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 41, 4-17.