Is histogram analysis useful in the diagnosis of COVID-19 patients?
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COVID-19 patients histogram analysis

Aim: In this study, we aimed to show the contribution of the chest computed tomography (CT)-based histogram analysis method, which will enable us to make quick decisions for patients who are clinically suspected of having COVID-19 infection and whose diagnoses cannot be confirmed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) tests.

Material and Methods: A total of 84 patients, 40 in the PCR-positive group (age range: 17-90 years) and 44 in the PCR-negative group (age range: 15-75 years), were included in the study. A total of 154 lesions with ground-glass density, 78 in the PCR-positive group and 76 in the PCR-negative group, were detected in these patients' thorax CT scans. The region of interest was placed on the ground-glass opacities from the images and numerical data were obtained by histogram analysis. Numerical data were uploaded to the MATLAB program.

Results: The localizations of ground-glass densities in the CT findings of patients with probable and definite COVID-19 diagnoses were similar; 74.7% of the ground-glass areas in both groups showed peripheral distribution. Lesions were frequently observed in right lungs and lower lobes. In histogram analysis, standard deviation, variance, size %L, size %M, and kurtosis values were higher in the PCR-positive than the PCR-negative group. When receiver operating characteristic curve analysis was performed for standard deviation values, the area under the curve was 0.640, and when the threshold value was selected as 123.4821, the two groups could be differentiated with 62.8% sensitivity and 61.8% specificity.

Discussion: The use of histogram-based tissue analysis, which is a subdivision of artificial intelligence, for clinically highly suspicious patients increases the diagnostic accuracy of CT. Therefore, performing CT analysis with the histogram method will significantly aid healthcare professionals, especially in clinics where rapid decisions are required, such as in emergency services.
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Introduction
The new coronavirus disease (COVID-19), which was first seen in the city of Wuhan, in China’s Hubei province, spread extremely rapidly, causing a global epidemic in a short time. The causative agent of the disease is a single-stranded RNA virus belonging to the family Coronaviridae and it has been named SARS-CoV-2 [1, 2]. Since the beginning of the pandemic, as of May 10, 2021, the World Health Organization states on its official website that more than 190 million cases have been reported worldwide, and more than 4 million of those cases have resulted in death (https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/weekly-epidemiological-update-on-covid-19).

Early diagnosis of coronavirus infection is very important to alleviate and control the spread of this pandemic. Real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) is considered the gold standard for confirming infection. However, its long turn-around time and relatively low sensitivity limit its use [3]. Sometimes, due to insufficient material, RT-PCR tests can be negative even in positive cases. On the other hand, computed tomography (CT) is used as an auxiliary diagnostic tool with 98% sensitivity among COVID-19 patients [4, 5]. In spite of negative RT-PCR tests, positive CT findings can be seen. In addition, CT can be used in the follow-up of COVID-19 infections [6].

Texture analysis is a new field for the quantitative measurement of density changes and concentration of tissues in CT images that cannot be seen with the naked eye. It helps to make image-based decisions about the underlying pathological processes of tissues [7]. Histogram analysis has been used in the evaluation of many lung diseases such as interstitial disease, nodules, and embolisms [8-11]. In histogram-based measurements, the heterogeneity of the tissue can be distinguished by evaluating the gray levels and pixel distributions in the image.

In our study, we aimed to reveal whether there is a difference in CT images between PCR-negative and PCR-positive patients by using the histogram-based texture analysis method in cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection and to show its contribution to diagnosis.

Material and Methods
Ethical approval (Session: 2020/222, Decision No: 02) was obtained from our hospital’s ethics committee. The study was conducted between June and September 2020.

Patients
Our study included patients with diagnoses of possible or definite COVID-19 defined according to the guidelines of the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Turkey (https://covid19.saglik.gov.tr/Eklenli/39061/0/covid-19rehberileriskinhastatedavisipdf.pdf). Patients with fever, headache, sore throat, muscle pain, decrease or loss in taste and/or smell, and a history of contact with a COVID-19 patient or with pulmonary involvement compatible with COVID-19 on CT were considered as possible cases.

The RT-PCR test results were negative in two swab samples taken 24 hours apart in probable COVID-19 patients. Patients with positive RT-PCR test results from swab samples taken by combined nasal/oral method were determined as definite COVID-19 cases. Chest CT scans of patients with probable or definite diagnoses were retrospectively re-evaluated by two radiologists (10 and 11 years of experience). Patients with findings of ground-glass density, which is considered a typical finding for COVID-19 on chest CT, were selected. Eighty-four patients and 154 lesions belonging to these patients were included in the study.

Study Design and Image Processing
Lesions with ground-glass density were determined on the chest CT scans of patients with probable and definite COVID-19 diagnoses and were classified according to their locations. Lesions that had contact with the pleura or did not have contact with the pleura but extended parallel to the pleural surface and had less extension to the parenchyma were called subpleural lesions. Lesions adjacent to the pleura that extended...
toward the parenchyma and that had a greater parenchymal extension than the pleural extension were termed subpleural-parenchymal. Lesions located far from the pleura and within the parenchyma were termed parenchymal (Figure 1).

The location of the lesion was also defined as right/left lung and upper/middle/lower lobe. A region of interest (ROI) was placed with a manual drawing surrounding the boundaries of each lesion using the ROI form on a 27-inch iMac computer (Apple Inc., Cupertino, CA, USA) (Figures 2 and 3). Lesions far from vascular structures were preferred in patients with multiple lesions.

CT Examination

Chest CT studies without a contrast agent were performed using a 16-detector-array CT device (Alexion Toshiba Medical Systems, Nasu, Japan) with tube voltage of 120 kVp and tube current of 200 mAs. Slice thickness, reconstruction increment, scan field of view, and matrix size were 3 mm, 0.75 mm, 37 cm, and 512 × 512, respectively. CT images were obtained in the supine position at the full inspiration of the patient.

Statistical Analysis

Histogram analysis from the ROIs was performed using a computer program (Matrix Laboratory, MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA, USA). Mean, standard deviation (SD), minimum, maximum, median, variance, entropy (irregularity), uniformity (inhomogeneity), skewness, and kurtosis values were calculated from the ROIs.

Data were expressed as mean±standard deviation. The chi-square test was used to compare genders and the Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare other parameters of the groups. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Values of p<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

This study included 40 patients in the PCR-positive group (14 women, 26 men; mean age: 46.4±15.7 years; age range: 17-90 years) and 44 patients in the PCR-negative group (10 women, 34 men; mean age: 45.4±12.6 years; age range: 15-75 years), with a total of 84 patients included. There was no significant difference between the two groups in terms of age or gender (p>0.05).

A total of 78 lesions were detected in the CT images of patients with PCR-positive results. Of these lesions, 60.3% were located in the right lung while 39.5% were located in the left lung. A total of 76 lesions were evaluated in the PCR-negative patient group, with a total of 84 patients included. There was no significant difference in mean, entropy, skewness, or uniformity values (p>0.05), while the standard deviation, variance, size %L, size %M, and kurtosis values were statistically significant different (p=0.003, p=0.003, p=0.031, p=0.036, and p=0.002, respectively).

When receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed for standard deviation values, the area under the curve (AUC) was 0.640, and when the threshold value was selected as 123.4821, the two groups could be differentiated with 62.8% sensitivity and 61.8% specificity.

ROC analysis was also performed for the kurtosis value, yielding an AUC of 0.648, and when the threshold value was selected as 484.34 HU for subpleural-parenchymal lesions, 484.34 HU for subpleural-parenchymal lesions, and 484.34 HU for parenchymal lesions.

Discussion

The increasing severity of the pandemic and the serious increase
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* The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare the groups. SD: Standard deviation.

Regardless of the location of the lesions, the mean value of the lesions was 377.49±161.03 Hounsfield units (HU) in women and 451.77±171.83 HU in men. When the lesions were examined according to their localization, the mean value was 392.37±164.42 HU for subpleural lesions, 426.12±163.27 HU for subpleural-parenchymal lesions, and 484.34±184.90 HU for parenchymal lesions.
in mortality necessitate the diagnosis of COVID-19 with high accuracy and speed. Although CT findings are typical along with clinical findings, a definite diagnosis of COVID-19 cannot be made from PCR alone as it does not confirm the diagnosis at the time of admission. Therefore, in our study, we hypothesized that we could obtain more data and reduce the contradictions in diagnosis by using a new method, histogram-based tissue analysis, in addition to CT findings in possible and definite COVID-19 cases.

Based on our review of the literature, tissue analysis methods have not been used before among COVID-19 patients and our study is the first work in this context.

Chest CT can be used as a screening method to reveal lung findings in potential COVID-19 patients even if the PCR results are negative [12, 13]. In the meta-analysis conducted by Bao et al., examining 13 studies, ground-glass opacities (83.3%) were the most common CT findings of COVID-19. Following this, ground-glass opacities accompanied by consolidation (58.4%), thickening of the adjacent pleura (52.5%), interlobar septal thickening (48.5%), and air bronchograms (46.5%) could be seen. Other CT findings include crazy-paving patterns (14.8%), pleural effusion (5.9%), bronchiectasis (5.4%), pericardial effusion (4.6%), and lymphadenopathy (3.4%) [14]. Although there were different CT findings in our cases, ground-glass density was the most common finding, similar to the literature. Chen et al. evaluated 216 lesions in 33 patients and determined the distribution of peripheral localization for 85% of the lesions [15]. In the study carried out by Song et al., it was found that 86% of the lesions were peripherally located and 90% of them had lower lobe involvement [16]. In our study, similar to the literature, the distribution of lesions was mostly in the lower lobes and peripheral.

Three different basic methods, statistical, model-based, and transform-based, are used in texture analysis [17]. Although statistical methods are the most common among these, texture analysis can also be performed with the histograms of the intensity values of pixels [18]. In other words, histogram-based texture analysis enables quantitative data to be obtained by evaluating the differences in the background's gray-level density. Miles et al. demonstrated how histogram parameters are associated with image analysis [19]. The standard deviation tends to increase with heterogeneous distribution in the tissue. Contrarily, kurtosis tends to decrease with heterogeneity in tissue distribution. There was no significant difference in the number of pixels of the lesions included in both groups in our study. In contrast, the ground-glass areas' gray-level density in the PCR-positive group was observed in a larger area. This led to differences in standard deviation and kurtosis values.

On the other hand, this also shows the gray-level changes and the irregularity of the gray-level density in histograms by revealing the relationship of each pixel in terms of entropy and ROI with the neighboring pixels [20]. Another parameter, skewness, shows asymmetry in histograms [21]. The increase in the brightness of pixels shifts the tail of the histogram to the right, causing positive skewness. In our study, the gray-level density of the pixels did not show irregularity or asymmetry in either group. The difference between the size %IL and size %M values indicated a difference in the distribution of values in PCR-positive patients.

The limitations of the present study are as follows: As a result of being a single-center study, the number of cases and variety of lesions were low. Also, lung areas with ROIs were not the same in the two groups because the lung area involved was not the same in every individual.

Conclusion

Although chest CT has an important place in diagnosing COVID-19, false-negative PCR results are observed for many patients. The use of histogram-based tissue analysis, which is a subdivision of artificial intelligence, for clinically highly suspicious patients further increases CT's diagnostic accuracy, making chest CT superior to RT-PCR testing. Therefore, performing CT analysis with histograms will significantly aid healthcare professionals, especially in clinics where rapid decisions are required, such as in emergency services.
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