Retrospective analysis of smoking cessation campaign in aviation personnel
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Abstract
Aim: Because of many health hazards, we carry out training and treatment efforts to reduce the use of tobacco products by aviation personnel. In this retrospective study, we aimed to evaluate our smoking cessation rates according to treatment modality, patients’ education level, and marital status via outpatient clinic records.

Material and Methods: The records of the Smoking Cessation Polyclinic between January 01, 2016 and April 30, 2018 were analyzed in this study. Patients’ demographics, smoking attitudes, cessation method, the Fagenstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence (FTND), anxiety and depression scales were evaluated. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test, Independent Samples T-Test or the Mann-Whitney-U test were used in between-groups analyzes. The Chi-Squared test was used for testing relationship between categorical variables.

Results: One hundred forty-two patients were included in this study and 3 of them were female. All females and 38.9% of males quit smoking. The overall cessation rate was 40.1%. The duration of smoking was higher, the first and last measured CO values were lower, and the follow-up periods were longer in the quitters’ group (p<0.05). The cigarettes smoked per day and FTND scores were higher in the non-quitters’ group (p>0.05). The cessation rate was slightly increased with education duration (p>0.05). Married patients’ cessation rate was higher than single or separated patients (p>0.05). The highest cessation rate was achieved in the Varenicline group (p<0.001).

Discussion: The use of tobacco products in aviation personnel is similar to that of the normal population. We can suggest that cessation success can be affected by marital status, addiction level, education, and the treatment method.
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Introduction

Many chemicals are released during the combustion of tobacco. These chemicals contain toxic and carcinogenic substances that can cause many diseases and cancers. The most well-known of these are tar and carbon monoxide. In addition, smoking is closely related to COPD and lung cancer [1]. Nicotine addiction is the leading cause of smoking. Because of many health hazards, we carry out training and treatment efforts to reduce the use of tobacco and tobacco products by aviation personnel. In 2015, the smoking cessation campaign was started, and lectures were given about the hazards of smoking. We gave consultancy to aviation personnel about smoking cessation therapies in this period. Smoking cessation outpatient clinic was established in January 2016. The patient’s health status, compliance with the treatment and the side effects of the smoking cessation drug were taken into consideration during the selection of smoking cessation methods in our outpatient clinic. In this retrospective study, we aimed to evaluate our smoking cessation rates according to treatment modality, patients’ education level, and marital status using outpatient clinic records.

Material and Methods

Each stage of the research was carried out based on the Helsinki Declaration, and the local Clinical Research Ethics Committee approved this study. Our study was planned as a retrospective study. The records of the patients who applied to the Smoking Cessation Policlinic between January 01, 2016 and April 30, 2018 were included and analyzed in this study. Demographic characteristics, smoking behavior and attitudes, cessation method, the Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence (FTND), anxiety and depression scales, and exhaled carbon monoxide (CO) levels were evaluated.

Patient smoking status at the beginning of the treatment, compliance with the treatment, and the cessation status were controlled with multiple implications of the “CO Exhalation Test” during the first visit and the follow-up period. Patients who had negative results of the CO Exhalation test especially in the last visit of the following period were accepted as “quitter”. The study data were edited in Microsoft Excel and statistical analysis was executed in the SPSS program. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test was done for all parameters. The Independent Samples T-Test or the Mann-Whitney-U test were used in between-groups analyzes. The Chi-Squared test was used for testing relationships between categorical variables. The Spearman Correlation test was used for ordinal variables.

Results

One hundred and ninety-one patients were admitted to our smoking cessation policlinic between January 01, 2016 and April 30, 2018. One hundred eighty-seven of them were males and 4 were females. The descriptive statistics of all applicants are presented in Table 1.

Forty-nine (48 male, 1 female) smokers did not attend the outpatient appointment after the counseling and were excluded from the study. One hundred forty-two patients were included in this study and 3 of them were female. All 3 female cases (100%) and 38.8% of males quit smoking after treatment. The overall cessation rate of smoking cessation policlinic was 40.1%. In our study, between-group analyzes could not be performed due to the small number of females receiving the treatment. A total of 139 male subjects were included in between-group analyzes in our study.

Cases were grouped according to smoking cessation status and normality analysis of these subgroups was evaluated using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Only age and smoking duration variables were found to distribute normally in the subgroups formed according to smoking cessation status. The mean age was similar between the groups and the difference was statistically insignificant. The duration of smoking was high (p = 0.028), the first and last measured CO
Table 1. The comparison of demographics, smoking attitudes, scores, and results of patients according to the gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Onset</th>
<th>Smoking Per Day (N)</th>
<th>Smoking Duration (Year)</th>
<th>Pack Per Year</th>
<th>First CO</th>
<th>FTND Score</th>
<th>Depression Score</th>
<th>Anxiety Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Means SD</td>
<td>34.67±8.41</td>
<td>25.51±3.62</td>
<td>16.81±3.55</td>
<td>20.86±8</td>
<td>17.56±8</td>
<td>15.87±9.31</td>
<td>10.25±5.34</td>
<td>5.12±2.34</td>
<td>5.74±3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Means SD</td>
<td>30.50±8.27</td>
<td>23.75±1.5</td>
<td>18.75±1.5</td>
<td>13.75±4.78</td>
<td>12.8±4.5</td>
<td>9 ±8.08</td>
<td>7.75±2.87</td>
<td>2.5±1.73</td>
<td>5.75±7.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The comparison of demographics, smoking attitudes, scores, and results of male patients according to the cessation status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education Level</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Smoking Per Day (N)</th>
<th>Willing Insistence</th>
<th>Onset Age</th>
<th>Smoking Duration (Year)</th>
<th>Pack Per Year</th>
<th>FTND Score</th>
<th>BMl</th>
<th>First CO</th>
<th>Last CO</th>
<th>Follow-up (Week)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary Education</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Means SD</td>
<td>35.64±9.04</td>
<td>18.57±7.53</td>
<td>4.21±0.70</td>
<td>16.67±3.17</td>
<td>20.17±8.29</td>
<td>17.94±9.91</td>
<td>5.99±3.69</td>
<td>4.52±2.32</td>
<td>2.31±3.19</td>
<td>8.28±5.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.194**</td>
<td>0.051**</td>
<td>0.796**</td>
<td>0.899**</td>
<td>0.028*</td>
<td>0.477**</td>
<td>0.815**</td>
<td>0.544**</td>
<td>0.069**</td>
<td>0.128**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Comparison of cessation rates according to education level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education Status</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quitters</td>
<td>Non-Quitters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Education</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>38.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher Education (University or Higher)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>39.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>38.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Smoking contributes to significant morbidity and mortality, including chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and lung cancers [1]. The global rate of tobacco smoking has declined substantially in recent years with an estimated 1.1 billion people consume tobacco products worldwide [2]. Training and treatment efforts were carried out globally to reduce the use of tobacco and tobacco products. While awareness of tobacco products' harmful effects has increased, determinants associated with smoking status should be well analyzed. Nagano T. et al. stated that for effective smoking cessation, making regular contact with healthcare workers is important. As a key to the continuation of smoking cessation, it is important to provide support for the prevention of re-smoking when relapse is likely to occur usually 3 months after the start of smoking cessation [3]. In our outpatient clinic, patients are closely monitored and, in every visit, non-invasive CO levels are measured and smoking status is documented in the patient records. In our study, the follow-up period was calculated via the outpatient clinic records, and the duration of the follow-up period might be different for each patient. Our follow time is similar to the published literature and outpatient follow-up times were higher in the quitter's group (p <0.008). Control visit number of the quitters group was found to be higher than the non-quitters group and a statistically significant weak correlation was found between the number of control visits and values.
Chang CP et al. found that the smoking cessation rate was 48.1% in the elderly population and declared no significant difference among the baseline characteristics between quitters and non-quitters except for the type of medication. There was no difference between the two groups in terms of age, gender, body weight, smoking duration, the daily consumption number of cigarettes, FTND score, and exhaled CO concentration [4]. In our study, it was found that the rate of smoking cessation polyclinic was 40.1% and the duration of smoking was higher (p <0.05) and the follow-up periods were longer (p <0.05) in the quitters group. In addition, the exhaled air CO test was performed on all patients at each control visit, and the mean value of the first and last CO tests results was lower in the quitters group than the non-quitters group (p=0.004, p=0.001). The number of cigarettes smoked per day and FTND scores were also found to be higher in the non-quitters group (p>0.05). In our study, the overall cessation rate was similar to the published literature. We can suggest that patients in the quitters group had a longer smoking history, were mentally more ready for the cessation and had a higher compliance with the treatment.

Peña P et al. report that years of education were associated with failure in therapy in the multivariate analysis and people with more than 12 years of education had significantly less risk of failure (OR 0.38, p = 0.014) [5]. We also found that the smoking cessation rate was slightly increased with the increase of the education period in our study (p>0.05).

Parekh TM et al. stated that marital status was significantly associated with reporting of former-smoker status; single (OR=0.66, 95%CI 0.51 to 0.87), divorced (OR=0.60, 95%CI 0.50 to 0.72) and widowed (OR=0.70, 95%CI 0.57 to 0.85) participants had lower odds of former-smoker status compared to those who were married [6]. Sagayadevan V. et al. report that only marital status was found to be a significant correlate of health reasons as a motivator to stop smoking and those who were ever married were less likely to report health reasons as a motivation to stop smoking than those who were never married (p=0.002) [7]. In our study, the cessation rate of married patients was higher than single or separated patients (p>0.05). Gonzales et al. showed that 4-week continuous abstinence rate for Varenicline vs. placebo was 44.0% and 17.7% (OR, 3.85; P<.001); Varenicline vs. Bupropion SR 44.0% and 29.5% (OR, 1.93; P<.001), consecutively. They also state that Bupropion SR was significantly more efficacious than placebo (OR, 2.00; P<.001) [8]. Hurt RD, and colleagues showed that the prevalence of smoking-cessation rates at six weeks in the group taking 300 mg of Bupropion/day was significantly higher than that of a placebo group (44.2 % versus 19.0 %, p <0.01) [9]. Karadogan D et al. report that patients smoking quit rate was 37.9% after the third month and Varenicline use was associated with higher treatment adherence (p<0.001) and only being in the treatment-adoherent group was associated with cessation rate (OR=5.01, 95%CI: 1.88–4.81, p=0.001) [10]. In our study, the highest smoking cessation rate was achieved in the Varenicline group (p<0.001). Comparison of cessation rates of Nicotine Band, Bupropion SR, and Psychosocial Support therapies showed that the difference in the cessation rates was not statistically significant (p>0.05).
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