Effects of orthopedic instruments breakage during surgery: A minimum five-year follow-up

Bahtiyar Haberal¹, Salih Beyaz²

¹Department of Orthopaedic and Traumatology, Faculty of Medicine, Baskent University, Ankara
²Department of Orthopaedic and Traumatology, Faculty of Medicine, Baskent University, Adana, Turkey

Abstract

Aim: The present study aims to investigate the long-term effect of metallic orthopedic instruments that were broken and not removed during surgery on the health status of patients.

Material and Methods: Radiographs of 12,601 patients (5765 females and 6836 males; mean age: 48.9 years; range: 0-105) who underwent orthopedic surgery in our clinic between January 2009 and January 2015 were screened. Thirty-six patients (15 females and 23 males; mean age: 45.3 years; range: 12-82) with metallic instruments, broken and not removed during surgery, were included in the study and minimum five-year follow-up radiographs of the patients were examined.

Results: The rate of orthopedic instrument breakage during surgery was 0.28%. This was 0.64% in trauma cases and 0.08% in elective surgery cases. The broken instrument was a Kirschner wire (K-wire) in 16 (44.4%) cases, a screw in 14 (38.9%), and a drill bit in 6 (16.6%). The rate of instrument breakage was 7.44 times higher in trauma cases than in elective surgery cases, which was statistically significant (p = 0.001). Only 1 patient required reoperation for the broken instrument 7 years later. No surgical notes regarding broken implants were identified in patient files.

Discussion: Instruments that are broken and not removed during surgery do not cause any complication if they are entirely within the bone. Nevertheless, any instance of instrument breakage should be documented, and the patient should be informed about the condition and followed closely.
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Introduction
There is an unwritten bond of trust between physicians and patients, and this forms the basis of medicine. Physicians are required to consider the benefit of the patient to the greatest extent possible in every procedure they perform and adhere to the principle of primum non nocere. This should serve as a guideline, especially in decisions involving unexpected complications that may arise during surgeries. The most common material broken during surgical operations is the drill bit with 40%, followed by hand tools with 26%, needle tip with 18%, guidewire with 7%. It has been reported that failure to remove the broken metallic implant does not cause serious complications in the patient [1]. However, FDA USA reported that nearly 1000 cases were reported annually, and implants that were not removed in these cases could cause complications, including infection, local reaction and even death [1].

In the literature, the rate of instrument breakage during orthopaedic procedures has been reported to be between 0.18% and 0.35%; [2, 3] In general, the broken instrument must be removed if it is (i) embedded in soft tissue, (ii) adjacent to or in contact with the joint, (iii) adjacent to neurovascular structures, or (iv) intersecting both cortices of the bone [2]. If it is estimated that the surgical time for the removal of the instrument fragment may adversely affect the patient’s health and entail further complications, the surgeon may opt not to remove the substance. There are case reports indicating that instruments broken during or after surgery can cause serious complications and even death [4, 5].

The recent increase in malpractice lawsuits in Turkey and worldwide has led to a reconsideration of decisions taken during surgeries, especially concerning patient health. The present study aims to investigate the effects of orthopedic instruments that were broken and not removed during surgery on the health status of patients over a five-year period.

Material and Methods
Initially, 18,578 patients who were operated in the Orthopaedics and Traumatology Department of the Faculty of Medicine between January 2009 and January 2015 were included in the study. Outpatient surgeries (closed reduction, soft tissue tumor removal, carpal tunnel syndrome treatment, etc.) were then excluded. Postoperative radiographs of the remaining 12,601 patients (5765 females and 6836 males; mean age: 48.9 years; range: 0–105) were examined. Location and number of fragments of metallic instruments that were not planned to or in contact with the joint, (iii) adjacent to neurovascular structures, or (iv) intersecting both cortices of the bone [2]. If it is estimated that the surgical time for the removal of the instrument fragment may adversely affect the patient’s health and entail further complications, the surgeon may opt not to remove the substance. There are case reports indicating that instruments broken during or after surgery can cause serious complications and even death [4, 5].

The recent increase in malpractice lawsuits in Turkey and worldwide has led to a reconsideration of decisions taken during surgeries, especially concerning patient health. The present study aims to investigate the effects of orthopedic instruments that were broken and not removed during surgery on the health status of patients over a five-year period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Case Group (n=36)</th>
<th>Control Group (n=12601)</th>
<th>OR [95%CI]</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>45.28 ± 19.47</td>
<td>48.95 ± 23.01</td>
<td>0.99 [0.98-1.01]</td>
<td>0.540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number %</td>
<td></td>
<td>Number %</td>
<td>OR [95%CI]</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5765</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>2.01 [1.06-4.15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6836</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elective</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8095</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4506</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>7.44 [3.26-17.00]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistical significance: 0.05; Binary logistic regression analysis. * SD: Standard deviation. * OR: Odds ratio
Discussion

The patient filed lawsuits considering the failure to remove orthopedic implants broken during surgery as part of malpractice. In these cases, patients demand serious compensation because the implants broken during surgery seriously affect their lives.

Our study, which was conducted to clarify these claims, showed that the implant broken in the bone does not adversely affect the patient’s health in the long-term.

In the present study, orthopedic instrument breakages occurring during surgery were mostly in trauma cases and in the lower extremities and long bones, which was in line with the literature [2, 3]. Although there are numerous case reports elaborating on life-threatening complications due to implants broken during surgery, we did not come upon such conditions in our case series.

Price et al reported a 0.18% rate of instrument breakage in their study [3]. The rate was 0.03% in elective cases and 0.79% in trauma cases. Among the 14 broken instruments, 11 were drill bits, and 8 of the surgical cases were performed by residents. In 7 cases, the fragment was removed during surgery, but patients in most cases were not informed about the situation.

In a multicenter study by Pichler et al, the rate of implant breakage was 0.35% [2]. The fragment was removed in 5 cases and left in situ in 7. No complications were encountered in the cases without removal. However, only in 3 out of the 7 cases, the situation was recorded in the operation note. The investigators of the study underlined the necessity of documenting implant breakages.

The present study determined a rate of instrument breakage similar to both studies above. One major reason for the breakages may be that drill bits and guide wires, which should normally be single-use, are sterilized and reused in general orthopedic practice. As mentioned above, implant breakages were more common in trauma cases, and this is apparently related to the frequent use of drill bits and K-wires in surgery. The investigators also realized that the instruments that were broken and not removed during surgery were left undocumented, possibly meaning that patients were not informed either. This may entail a high risk of legal problems in the future.

K-wires without fixation to the bone must be removed due to their tendency to migrate into soft tissue. There are publications reporting cases of unremoved K-wires in soft tissue that are later extracted from the esophagus, abdominal cavity, spinal cord and brachiocephalic artery [6-9]. In the present study, there was migration of a broken drill bit in 1 case, and the fragment was removed without causing neurovascular complications. Examination of postoperative radiographs of the patient revealed that the fragment was not entirely within the bone cortex and had soft tissue penetration.

It seems likely that limited surgical approaches due to the increasing popularity of minimally invasive intervention in the last 20 years have played part in breakages of drill bits and K-wires. In the present study, in 3 cases with broken drill bits located in the proximal humerus, the fragments corresponded to the alignment of the calcar screw. This suggests that the screw may have been inserted at a tight angle with a minimal incision so as not to damage the axillary nerve adjacent to the insertion point. Similarly, the fragments of drill bits broken in the posterior acetabular surgery and tibia plateau posterior approach were found aligned with the distal holes of the plates. In the cases with broken K-wire, the breakage was in the guide pin used for cannulated screw placement. A major reason for guide pin breakage is repeated use, which causes deformation,
resulting in reduced flexibility and diminished torsion strength [10]. The guide pin direction may be distorted while passing through bones of differing densities or during transition through a joint, in which movement of the joint during fixation, or pin deflection crossing the joint space, creates a slight change in direction. The deformation of direction may impede smooth passage of the drill bit over the guide pin and can erode the pin, creating a stress that results in breakage. Roy et al. have described a minimally invasive technique for the retrieval of broken guide pins using a cannulated drill [11]. However, it is risky to use this technique in regions such as hip and pelvis, where the neurovascular structures are concentrated.

In the case report by Afshar et al., a cannulated screw placed adjacent to the joint for the fixation of femoral neck fracture caused breakage of the K-wire and its ensuing advance into the pelvis. Through the ilioinguinal approach, a wire fragment was retrieved from the pelvic surface of the acetabulum [4]. Screw head breakage, especially when removing locking screws, is not an uncommon complication. Special sets and techniques have been developed to remove a screw with a broken head [12]. The working principle of these sets is based on drilling a hole around the remaining shaft larger than its diameter and clenching the shaft with another instrument. However, the hole drilled during this process may indeed weaken the cortical bone. In addition, the removal of each broken screw increases the operating time.

Broken metallic instruments should be routinely removed if the remaining fragment is within the bone, not in contact with the joint space, and not adjacent to neurovascular structures. The surgeon should properly assess the type of operation, the time and effort required to remove the fragment, and any complications that may arise during and after the procedure. Our investigation did not substantiate that broken implants not removed during surgery necessarily lead to complications and second surgeries. In our series, there was only 1 case with a broken drill bit, which required revision surgery. It is probable that the perioperative assessment was not duly performed in this particular case.

Drill bits used during the surgery are single-use. If the torque on the drill is too large, the drill may tend to jam or even to break in the bone [12, 13]. Reuse of drills bits may result in metal fatigue and, in turn, breakages. Higher rate of drill bit breakage in our case series compared to the literature may be associated with this phenomenon.

According to the results of our investigation, screw fragments in the bone due to head breakage did not cause complications in the long haul. However, broken screws are encountered in patients with implant failure due to various reasons after plate osteosynthesis technique applied with screws in long bone fractures. In a long bone fracture that failed to heal, it is necessary to remove all screw fragments in the canal with an intramedullary nail (IM nail) prior to the revision procedure.

**Study Limitations**

A major limitation of the present study is the uncertainty regarding the exact number of cases where instruments are broken and not removed during surgery. Another limitation is that it is not clear how many times the drill bits and K-wires had been used prior to breakage. Availability of this particular data would enable more accurate results in investigation. Another limitation of our study is that it is not known whether metallic implants that have not been removed cause a problem in patients' magnetic resonance imaging. There is no data on whether this situation is a problem for patients or not.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the rate of orthopedic instrument breakage in the present study was in line with the literature. Although remaining fragments in the patient's body did not cause severe complications, according to our case series, perioperative assessment should be duly performed, the situation should be properly documented and the patient should be informed within the framework of a sound physician-patient relationship. In addition, these patients should be followed closely in order to ensure early diagnosis of possible complications.
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