

YOUR ADDRESS

TODAY's DATE 2021

GP Name and Address

Face Coverings and Medical Treatment

Dear Dr. NAME

I refer to your recent refusal to carry out medical treatment after I informed you I was exempt from wearing a face covering. I am formally making you aware of guidance around mask wearing and how your illegal refusal of medical treatment is in violation of the Universal Convention on Human Rights. Please take note: -

- As of 19 March 2020, 'COVID-19' is no longer considered to be a high consequence infectious disease (HCID) in the UK/Europe. It is therefore unclear as to why the government and the Health Service has continued to pursue the wearing of face coverings for a largely non-critical disease.
- All of my research to date on the supposed 'efficacy' of face coverings has shown that there has been no long-term testing on human subjects and that there are many unknowns as to how it might have adverse effects on human recipients, or how it might interact with other medications or conditions in the patient.
- From all of the publicly available information around mask wearing, I am deeply concerned that this is not safe and leads to a number of medical conditions from rashes to bacterial pneumonia. Your insistence on wearing a mask therefore contravenes your Hippocratic oath to 'do no harm'.
- A mask or face covering is, in the case of this supposed medical emergency, regarded as a medical experiment, which remains subject to the Nuremberg Code of medical ethics. In particular, the risk of the medical experiment must be weighed against the expected benefit. There is no available evidence that this risk/benefit analysis has been carried out and that potential serious or fatal injury to patients due to prolonged covering of the mouth and nose has not been quantified or qualified.
- Neither should the degree of risk taken ever exceed that determined by the humanitarian importance of the problem to be solved by the experiment. In the case of Covid19/Coronavirus, the government's own data shows a recovery rate from the infection of 99.97%. Why then the enforcement of face coverings?

Ultimately, the voluntary consent of the patient/subject is absolutely essential. I have the legal capacity to give my consent. Given that bodily integrity remains one of my fundamental human rights and I have the legal capacity to decline my consent, I have chosen not to participate in this medical experiment. I will therefore not be forced into this breach of medical ethics through wearing a face covering.

Your insistence on face coverings in the clinic is itself unethical in that it hampers the individuals exercise of freedom of choice and in itself contains an element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior forms of constraint or coercion in order to

receive treatment. This is contrary to all general codes of medical ethics including the Nuremberg Code and is a crime against humanity.

I trust that you will find this in order and that you can appreciate the deeply held concerns that I have about this experimental programme and the many unknowns as to its ongoing efficacy and safety.

I also expect an apology, in writing, for your refusal of treatment and your threat to illegally withdraw medical treatment. Withholding medical care is a clear breach of our inalienable human rights and is also a crime against humanity.

I would also like you to confirm an appointment to have my treatment carried out.

Thank you

Your Name

CC Chief Executive – Health Trust