

Sent: December 30, 2020 8:27 PM

To: Nat\_Intake/Nat\_Triage-RCMP/GRC <RCMP.Nat.Intake-Triage.Nat.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>

Subject: reporting Corruption in Canada

I wish to report corruption but am scared for my life. How can I be protected? How can I be confident I will be taken seriously? Can I make it anonymous?

---

RE: reporting Corruption in Canada

From: Nat\_Intake/Nat\_Triage-RCMP/GRC <RCMP.Nat.Intake-Triage.Nat.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>

Date: 31/12/2020, 5:46 a.m.

Good day,

The Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) National Division Intake Unit has received your email indicating you would like to make a report of corruption anonymously. National Division Criminal Operations' mandate is to safeguard and investigate significant threats to Canada's political, economic, and social integrity.

Under this mandate National Division Intake Unit investigates complaints concerning federally elected members of parliament and/or crown corporations. If your complaint falls within above noted mandate then you may wish to send a synopsis of your corruption complaint to be reviewed under the mandate.

If your complaint does not fall under the above mandate then any complaints of a criminal nature should be reported to the police of jurisdiction for the area where the occurrence took place. This also includes any concerns you may have for your safety as you indicated you are scared for your life.

Please note that complaints can be submitted anonymously, however if during the course of our review/investigation if there are questions or more information required for the investigation anonymous submittals do not allow for them to be answered and could result in a matter being concluded due to lack of information provided. Please be advised that the RCMP does not provide complainants name or information to anyone.

Thank you for contacting the RCMP National Division.

Sincerely,

Cst. WEBSTER

RCMP National Division - Intake Unit

GRC Division nationale - Groupe du triage

Tel / Tél: 613-993-6884

[RCMP.Nat.Intake-Triage.Nat.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca](mailto:RCMP.Nat.Intake-Triage.Nat.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca)

Sent: December 31, 2020 12:13 PM

To: Nat\_Intake/Nat\_Triage-RCMP/GRC <RCMP.Nat.Intake-Triage.Nat.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>

Subject: Re: reporting Corruption in Canada

Thank you for your response. I will work on putting that together.

What about judicial corruption? Who examines that issue and if you say the canadian judicial council who examines them because I've already been there and that is part of the problem.

---

RE: reporting Corruption in Canada

From: Nat\_Intake/Nat\_Triage-RCMP/GRC <RCMP.Nat.Intake-Triage.Nat.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>

Date: 04/01/2021, 6:58 a.m.

Good morning Mr. Holsworth,

If your complaint has to do with a federal judge then we would refer you to Canadian Judicial Council. If your complaint has to do with provincial/municipal judges then each province there own provincial judicial council. For example in Ontario you could contact Law Society of Ontario or Ontario Judicial Council.

You may also wish to contact a lawyer of your choice that maybe able to assist you.

Please note RCMP National Division Intake Unit is still currently waiting for a synopsis of your complaint to review under our mandate. National Division Criminal Operations' mandate is to safeguard and investigate significant threats to Canada's political, economic, and social integrity.

Thank you for contacting the RCMP National Division.

Sincerely,

Cst. WEBSTER

RCMP National Division - Intake Unit

GRC Division nationale - Groupe du triage Tel / Tél: 613-993-6884

RCMP.Nat.Intake-Triage.Nat.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca

Sent: January 5, 2021 2:29 PM

To: Nat\_Intake/Nat\_Triage-RCMP/GRC <RCMP.Nat.Intake-Triage.Nat.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>

Subject: Re: reporting Corruption in Canada

Constable Webster,

Well I guess the complaint is no longer necessary to be anonymous.

The complaint has to do in part with a federal judge and I have been in contact with the Canadian Judicial Council (CJC). You see firstly I wanted to report a lawyer who created a fraudulent court order so I presented the transcript to Justice Duncan Shaw at trial who rejected that evidence and instead called up the Plaintiff and preferred her testimony and her lawyer's court order over the transcript.

I received a reply written by letter from Norman Zabourin, Executive Director and General Counsel of the Canadian Judicial Council on 28th August 2007, "in the Opinion of The Honourable Robert Pigeon Senior Associate Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Quebec and Vice-Chairperson of the Judicial Conduct Committee of the Council" where my complaint was, as quoted "you complain Justice Shaw accepted the testimony of your former spouse and her lawyer instead of accepting the transcript. You also complain that Justice Shaw allowed a lawyer not to comply with an order The admissibility and weighing of evidence is a matter that falls within 'judicial discretion'.... the exercise of a discretion is not a matter of conduct."

I wrote back to the Canadian Judicial Council suggesting that their response posed a problem for the Canadian Charter of Rights and the Rule of Law not to mention the Administration of Justice however I did not receive a reply to that letter nor did I receive a reply to my Freedom of Information Act request for documents in my personal file held by their office. I did however make a complaint to the CJC that Chief Justice Robert Pigeon should be subject to an investigation as to his fitness for office to hold a position that is contrary to the Charter of Rights which requires that they dispense "fundamental justice" and that it be "fair" and "impartial". The CJC responded by calling my complaint an "abuse of process" and rejected it. I had requested that Parliament be called upon to determine the legality of the Judges claim.

Following the failure to resolve the issue through the CJC I made the appropriate Charter of Rights application to the Attorney General and Minister of Justice Mr David Lametti and requested that Parliament investigate the matter. I have received no response to that communication and when pressed through the Prime Minister's office the Attorney General's office merely responded by stating that they do not provide legal advice to members of the public. I do note that it is the Attorney General's statutory duty to protect the public, the rule of law and the charter of rights.

I have sent emails already to the RCMP media contacts in British Columbia that Police should stop arresting people until this breach in the charter of rights has been resolved. Whilst the Courts are not providing fundamental justice it is imperative that Canadians including the Police pressure the Judges and Politicians to restore the Rule of Law and the Charter. How can my safety be assured?

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Trevor Holsworth

---

RE: reporting Corruption in Canada

From: Nat\_Intake/Nat\_Triage-RCMP/GRC <RCMP.Nat.Intake-Triage.Nat.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>

Date: 06/01/2021, 5:54 a.m.

Good day, Mr. Holsworth

The Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) National Division Intake Unit has received your complaint concerning your displeasure with a review outcome from a complaint you submitted to the Canadian Judicial Council in 2007. Upon review of the information you provided, it was determined that your matter does not fall within the National Division mandate. National Division Criminal Operations' mandate is to safeguard and investigate significant threats to Canada's political, economic, and social integrity.

As previously indicated to you on January 01, 2021 a complaint submitted to us concerning a federal judge would not fall under our mandate and we would refer you to the Canadian Judicial Council.

To assist you further with your complaint you may wish to contact a lawyer of your choice.

You may also wish to conduct follow ups with Canadian Judicial Council, Freedom of Information Act and Attorney General and Minister of Justice to obtain information you have requested, or for your questions to each to be answered.

Any safety concerns you have can be directed to the police of jurisdiction for the area where the occurrence took place.

We regret we cannot be of any further assistance to you at this time

Sincerely,

Cst. WEBSTER

RCMP National Division - Intake Unit

GRC Division nationale - Groupe du triage Tel / Tél: 613-993-6884

RCMP.Nat.Intake-Triage.Nat.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca

Jan 6<sup>th</sup>, 2021  
Constable Webster,

<attachment – United Nations – Attorney General complaint >

Thank you for your response. I have followed up with the Canadian Judicial Council and pointed out the problem that their decision to claim absolute power over Canadian citizen outside of their constitutional bounds under the rule of law and the charter of rights but they have refused to respond except to refuse to send the matter to Parliament for examination and to call my email an "abuse of process".

You state that the National Division Criminal Operations' mandate is to safeguard and investigate significant threats to Canada's political, economic, and social integrity.

1. I cant think of anything more important to safeguard than our constitutional guarantees can you?

2. I think it is also a significant threat to Canada's political, economic and social integrity dont you?

I have made a request of the Canadian Judicial Council under the Freedom of Information Act and have received no response. I have made follow up inquiries to the Review board and they have informed me that the CJC is not bound by the FOI Act and that there is nothing that they can do to compel the CJC to disclose any records to me.

I have made a Charter of Rights claim to the Attorney General and requested that the matter of Canadian Judges claiming a right to arbitrary rule contrary to the Charter of Rights be placed before Parliament but they have refused to respond. I contacted the PM's office requesting that the AG/Minister of Justice Mr David Lametti respond and merely received a response stating the the AG's office "does not provide legal advice to members of the public."

I have submitted a claim to the United Nations because legal attempts within Canada have stalled, which I attach for your information as it also includes the correspondance to the Attorney General. The AG is charged with upholding the public interest. "The Attorney General of Canada has a unique and profoundly important role. They stand at the heart of accountable government as the person responsible for defending the rule of law by ensuring that all government action is in accordance with the Constitution, including the Charter of Rights and Freedoms."

What you have here is a coup. The Courts are saying that they are not subject to the Rule of Law, they are not subject to the Charter of Rights and they are not subject to Parliament. This is a problem that fits with your mandate precisely - to safeguard and investigate significant threats to Canada's political, economic, and social integrity.

What do you think I should tell the police of jurisdiction for the area where the occurrence took place - the R.C.M.P. that I have not told you but you are not acting to protect me? Since the R.C.M.P. are still bound to comply with any order of a court which by definition is arbitrary since they reserve the right to ignore the best evidence that any Canadian can possibly provide ( the transcript ) and so are operating outside of their Constitutional boundaries and you are not willing to protect me but seem ok with the Charter of Rights being destroyed. Orders of the court operating outside of the law are invalid by definition.

This is the reason I have requested that the R.C.M.P. stand up for the Charter of Rights and stop the violation of Canadian's rights to a fair and impartial trial. The R.C.M.P. must stop arresting people when you know that they will not have their Charter of Rights recognized by the Courts because the Judges have admitted that they are not doing so.

I am still very concerned regarding my security of the person, given the issues that I mention. I am not

confident of the local R.C.M.P.'s ability to provide adequate protective security.

Let me know the steps you will take to protect the Charter of Rights?

What else does the National Division Criminal Operations do if it doesn't do its job?

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I'm sure that you do appreciate the importance of the message that I provide.

---

Jan 6<sup>th</sup>, 2021

Mr Webster,

I hope that you appreciate that my concern is for the proper administration of justice and the enforcement of the Rule of Law and The Charter of Rights. It would be of great concern to all Canadians if the administration of justice were to fail due to the correct interpretation that it is not operating in a "fair" or "impartial" manner nor according to any rules of fundamental justice. This is of great concern to all Canadian's. If a murderer, a rapist, a politician or judge accepting bribes or anyone else that should be prosecuted and punished were to walk free because they could easily demonstrate that the court was not complying with the rule of law, or the charter of rights. That is a problem. This needs to be addressed as quickly as possible to resolve this situation for Canada.

---

January 6<sup>th</sup>, 2021

Cosgrove v. Canadian Judicial Council, 2007 FCA 103, [2007] 4 F.C.R. 714 at paragraph 32 [Cosgrove]:

...judicial independence does not require that the conduct of judges be immune from scrutiny by the legislative and executive branches of government. On the contrary, an appropriate regime for the review of judicial conduct is essential to maintain public confidence in the judiciary: Moreau Bérubé v. New Brunswick (Judicial Council), 2002 SCC 11, [2002] 1 S.C.R. 249, at paragraphs 58-59.

You will however find, like me, is that if you talk to a lawyer they will refuse to give advice on this matter as it inherently causes a conflict in loyalties between their need to defend the legal institution regardless of the evidence and their requirement to uphold the law.

---

January 6<sup>th</sup>, 2021

Furthermore someone in the administration of the parliamentary committee on human rights and justice who refused to put their name on their communication with me, despite my request, refused to allow my brief to be submitted to the committee, including a brief that the FOI Act should apply to the Canadian Judicial Council.

Preventing our elected officials access to information pertinent to their enquiries is Obstruction of Justice.

---

RE: Your complaint to NDIU RCMP

From: Nat\_Intake/Nat\_Triage-RCMP/GRC <RCMP.Nat.Intake-Triage.Nat.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>

Date: 07/01/2021, 11:07 a.m.

Good day, Mr. Holsworth

The Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) National Division Intake Unit has received your 4 emails in which you express your displeasure with our review outcome to your complaint, and continue to forward information as to why you believe your complaint falls under our mandate.

As indicated to you in several previous emails National Division Criminal Operations' mandate is to safeguard and investigate significant threats to Canada's political, economic, and social integrity. Under this mandate we investigate matters concerning federally elected members of parliament and crown corporations.

Complaints concerning judges during court proceedings at any level of government dose not fall within our mandate. As you indicate that your complaint is concerning a federal judge the Canadian Judicial Council is where your complaint for this matter will be dealt with. If during the course of an investigation a criminal offence is determined, then they will forward the matter to the appropriate police of jurisdiction.

As previously indicated you may contact a lawyer of your choice to assist you further with your complaint concerning court proceeding that took place in 2007.

Again any concerns for your safety should be brought to police of jurisdiction.

If you are not satisfied with the service you have received from your local RCMP, you may wish to make a complaint with the Civilian Review and Complaints Commission for the RCMP. They can be contacted by phone at 1(800) 665-6878, by mail at their "National Intake Office P.O. Box 1722, Station B Ottawa, ON K1P 0B3" or online by completing an online complaint form at <https://www.crec-ccecp.gc.ca/en/make-complaint>.

Please note that the RCMP's involvement in this matter has been concluded. Unless you are requested by the RCMP to do so, it is respectfully requested that no additional documents be forwarded to the RCMP regarding this matter.

Thank you for contacting the RCMP National Division.

Sincerely,

Cst. WEBSTER  
RCMP National Division - Intake Unit  
GRC Division nationale - Groupe du triage Tel / Tél: 613-993-6884  
[RCMP.Nat.Intake-Triage.Nat.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca](mailto:RCMP.Nat.Intake-Triage.Nat.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca)

January 7<sup>th</sup>, 2021  
Constable Webster

<attachment – CJCLetter regarding Shaw by Chief Justice Pigeon>

Thank you once again for your continued communication.

I attach for your information the letter from the Canadian Judicial Council claiming that they have the discretion to reject the transcript of trial arbitrarily, not only completely lacking in fundamental justice, but blatantly unfair and partial. That is the gold standard we hold our judiciary too and it is completely contrary to the guarantees provided for by the Canadian Charter of Rights.

In your letter you state "Under this mandate we investigate matters concerning federally elected members of parliament"

I am not asking you to examine the conduct of the Judge, or the Canadian Judicial Council. It is the Attorney General of Canada whom is a federally elected member of Parliament that I wish you to investigate because he is abusing the rule of law.

The Duty of the Minister of Justice is laid out in s 4 of the Department of Justice Act and shall see that the administration of public affairs is in accordance with the law; have the superintendence of all matters connected with the administration of justice in Canada not within the jurisdiction of the governments of the provinces.

I also refer you to <https://pm.gc.ca/en/news/backgrounders/2019/08/14/review-roles-minister-justice-and-attorney-general-canada>

The R.C.M.P. cannot function without the Fundamental Rights of the Charter being enforced. The Judiciary and the Courts cannot function if they operate outside of the Charter of Rights. It is absolutely imperative that the Rule of Law and the Charter of Rights is enforced.

What you are suggesting is that you would knowingly allow the Charter of Rights to be abused, including my own individual rights alongside every other Canadian.

The Administration of public affairs is NOT in accordance with the law. A Charter of Rights claim regarding my lack of fundamental justice in the federal courts has been duly served requesting Parliament be the judge in this situation AND has been completely ignored. The Minister of Justice is absolutely failing to comply with his job.

To suggest that you have no further involvement in this matter is a failing. You should absolutely be interested in further evidence. Failing to do so calls into question your responsibility under your mandate to stop corruption to "investigate matters concerning federally elected members of parliament". We are talking about crimes committed and political cover-up of those crimes. THAT is the definition of corruption; the "dishonest or fraudulent conduct by those in power."

It is of course amazing to have this situation unfolding at the same time as the collapse of the United States President's attempted coup and the re-establishment of the Rule of Law and the upholding of the Constitutional guarantee's in that country. Which side are you on?

---

January 8<sup>th</sup>, 2021

Good day, Mr. Holsworth

The Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) National Division Intake Unit has received your email in which you request an investigation of Attorney General's Office for abusing the rule of law.

After reviewing all information, you have provided to RCMP National Division Intake Unit since December 30, 2020 it has been determined that you have not provided substantiated evidence of a criminal offence to be investigated concerning Attorney General or the Canadian Judicial Council under National Division Criminal Operations' mandate.

As previously indicated you may contact a lawyer of your choice to assist you further with any concerns or complaints you have concerning court proceeding that took place in 2007.

You may also wish to contact The Ombudsman to assist you with your complaint concerning the Attorney General. The Ombudsman can be contacted by phone at 1-800-263-1830, by email at, [info@ombudsman.on.ca](mailto:info@ombudsman.on.ca) or online at <https://www.ombudsman.on.ca/have-a-complaint/make-a-complaint>.

As advised on January 07, 2021, RCMP National Divisions Intake Units involvement in this matter has been concluded. Unless you are requested by the RCMP to do so, it is respectfully requested that no additional documents be forwarded to the RCMP regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Cst. WEBSTER

RCMP National Division - Intake Unit

GRC Division nationale - Groupe du triage Tel / Tél: 613-993-6884

[RCMP.Nat.Intake-Triage.Nat.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca](mailto:RCMP.Nat.Intake-Triage.Nat.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca)

---

RE: Complaint to NDIU RCMP

From: Nat\_Intake/Nat\_Triage-RCMP/GRC <[RCMP.Nat.Intake-Triage.Nat.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca](mailto:RCMP.Nat.Intake-Triage.Nat.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca)>

Date: 11/01/2021, 5:57 a.m.

Good day, Mr. Holsworth

The Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) National Division Intake Unit has received your email in which you request an investigation of Attorney General's Office for abusing the rule of law.

After reviewing all information, you have provided to RCMP National Division Intake Unit since December 30, 2020 it has been determined that you have not provided substantiated evidence of a criminal offence to be investigated concerning Attorney General or the Canadian Judicial Council under National Division Criminal Operations' mandate.

As previously indicated you may contact a lawyer of your choice to assist you further with any concerns or complaints you have concerning court proceeding that took place in 2007.

You may also wish to contact The Ombudsman to assist you with your complaint concerning the Attorney General. The Ombudsman can be contacted by phone at 1-800-263-1830, by email at, [info@ombudsman.on.ca](mailto:info@ombudsman.on.ca) or online at <https://www.ombudsman.on.ca/have-a-complaint/make-a-complaint>.

As advised on January 07, 2021, RCMP National Divisions Intake Units involvement in this matter has been concluded. Unless you are requested by the RCMP to do so, it is respectfully requested that no additional documents be forwarded to the RCMP regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Cst. WEBSTER

RCMP National Division - Intake Unit

GRC Division nationale - Groupe du triage Tel / Tél: 613-993-6884

[RCMP.Nat.Intake-Triage.Nat.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca](mailto:RCMP.Nat.Intake-Triage.Nat.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca)

Sent: January 11, 2021 2:12 PM

To: Nat\_Intake/Nat\_Triage-RCMP/GRC <RCMP.Nat.Intake-Triage.Nat.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>

Subject: Re: Complaint to NDIU RCMP

Cst. Webster,

Thank you once again for your response.

As we previously discussed, I understand and never suggested that the investigation was about the Canadian Judicial Council as I understood that is not in your mandate because they are not a crown corporation. My concern is that the Minister of Justice is not complying with the law; he is responsible to see that the administration of public affairs is in accordance with the law. Allowing Judges to conduct themselves in an unfair, partial and contrary to the principles of fundamental justice is a breach of the highest law of Canada, our Charter of Rights. I believe THAT is in your mandate. I thought that you, me and everyone in and out of Government have the responsibility to ensure that the Laws in Canada are enforced, particularly the Constitution and the Charter of Rights.

I note that you request that I do not provide evidence and that you have not reviewed evidence provided after December 30th, 2020. What happens if I have or discover further evidence - should I destroy it, or send it to the media instead. I do not understand why you would respectfully request that I do not forward anything. Im sure you realize that not all evidence is disclosed in the initial contact. It feels like you dont want to know more, and are refusing to investigate regardless of further information. Naturally this is a concern to all citizens, particularly when reporting corruption in Canada, where open government is the standard. Please explain what I should do next time I discover corruption in Canada.

I suppose there is probably a conflict of interest issue here. How could I reasonably expect the r.c.m.p. to investigate the Minister of Justice. Interestingly enough the Judge who initially disregarded the transcript of trial in order to protect a lawyer was also the subject of a previous complaint to the Canadian Judicial Council where essentially every Police Chief across Canada weighed in AND it was discussed in Parliament. Justice Duncan Shaw allowed child pornography to be a charter right under freedom of expression but somehow also found it NOT a charter violation in my case to reject the transcript of trial submitted as evidence to correct a court order - which eventually post trial I did get changed. Just saying, that we are on the same side here, unless of course, we arent.

That is a nice suggestion regarding the Ombudsman. However the federal government does not have a federal ombudsperson. The state ombudsman does not have jurisdiction over the federal government.

You may be interested to know that I have taken the issue to court and the Judge promises me a fair trial or to allow it to expire according to the Jordan ruling.

---

RE: Complaint to NDIU RCMP

From: Nat\_Intake/Nat\_Triage-RCMP/GRC <RCMP.Nat.Intake-Triage.Nat.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>

Date: 12/01/2021, 6:34 a.m.

Good day, Mr. Holsworth

The Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) National Division Intake Unit has received your email in which you continue to insist your complaint falls under national divisions mandate.

As previously advised upon review of the information you provided, it was determined that your matter does not fall within the National Division mandate for the RCMP to investigate. National Division Criminal Operations' mandate is to safeguard and investigate significant threats to Canada's political, economic, and social integrity.

As indicated to you on January 7, 2021 complaints concerning judges during court proceedings at any level of government doesn't fall within our mandate and is to be dealt with within the judicial system's.

The RCMP has provided you with the following referrals to assist you with your complaint(s).

- Canadian Judicial Council
- Contact a lawyer of your choice
- Police of jurisdiction for safety concerns
- Contact The Ombudsman. The Ombudsman can be contacted by phone at 1-800-263-1830, by email at, [info@ombudsman.on.ca](mailto:info@ombudsman.on.ca) or online at, <https://www.ombudsman.on.ca/have-a-complaint/make-a-complaint>.

As advised to you on January 07, 2021 and January 11, 2021 the RCMP National Divisions Intake Units involvement in this matter has been concluded. Unless you are requested by the RCMP to do so, it is respectfully requested that no additional documents be forwarded to the RCMP regarding this matter. Any future communications sent to RCMP National Division, unless solicited by the RCMP concerning this matter, will not be reviewed and will be destroyed locally.

If you are not satisfied with the service you have received from RCMP National Division Intake Unit, you may wish to make a complaint with the Civilian Review and Complaints Commission for the RCMP. They can be contacted by phone at 1(800) 665-6878, by mail at their "National Intake Office P.O. Box 1722, Station B Ottawa, ON K1P 0B3" or online by completing an online complaint form at <https://www.crcc-ccetp.gc.ca/en/make-complaint>.

Thank you for contacting the RCMP National Division.

Sincerely,

Cst. WEBSTER  
RCMP National Division - Intake Unit  
GRC Division nationale - Groupe du triage Tel / Tél: 613-993-6884  
[RCMP.Nat.Intake-Triage.Nat.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca](mailto:RCMP.Nat.Intake-Triage.Nat.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca)

---

December 12, 2021

Mr Webster,

Thank you once again for your communication. I understand that we have a difference of opinion regarding your mandate and what comprises significant threats to Canadas political, economic and social integrity. I thought it important to communicate to the very best of my ability my concerns to all appropriate persons. I understand that this will be our last communication and although we have difference of opinions I remain open to re-establishing a connection in the future.

I wish to emphasis once again that my complaint is NOT at all about judges during court proceedings.

Once again for the sake of clarification this is about the Minister of Justice and Attorney General NOT complying with their statutory requirements; and protecting a certain class of person, namely lawyers, and lawyers that have been promoted to be judges from investigation by Parliament as required by statute, specifically to protect the Charter of Rights of Canadians as well as the integrity of the legal institutions as required for public confidence. Once again it is impossible for the public to have confidence in the integrity of the legal institutions if they reserve the right to reject any and all evidence. The legal institutions become a religion based on faith not fact. Absolutely no Canadian will knowingly submit themselves to be tried in such a manner.

Thank you for the recommendations on referrals. As you know I have pursued those avenues and met with refusal to communicate on the matter whatsoever, because legally there is NO defence.

I am sure that you are aware of the public service code of conduct as well as the rcmp code of conduct so I dont really need to go over those documents but I am somewhat concerned that you would destroy evidence without examination but since I have seen that done before it doesnt surprise me but it is an embarrassment to ALL Canadians.

---